

**B"H**

# *DeProgram Program*

*From the Mind of Sha'i ben-Tekoa*

*Transcript*

<http://www.deprogramprogram.com>

**Date: November 19, 2019 / כב מר חשוון תש"ף**

**Parasha: Khayei Sara / חיי שרה**

**Title: Bravo Pompeo, with Reservations**

**Copyright: Sha'i ben-Tekoa 2019**

**www.deprogramprogram.com**

*Shalom laYehudim, Shalom laBnai Noach, Shalom laGoyim.* It is the evening of the 4th day, *kaf-bes bekhodesh Mar Khashvan, Parashas Khayei Sarah, taf-shin-peh, 5780*, the evening of the 3rd day, Tuesday, 19 November, 2019, webcasting from the criminal empire of the Jews in illegal occupation of Judea and Samaria in minds infected with the spiritual plague of antiJewitis.

Most days there is plenty of food for thought emanating from within Israel and the Exile to comment on. Rarely is there nothing to think about out loud with you, and certainly not this evening, not after the bombshell yesterday of Secretary of State Mike Pompeo shamelessly, courageously, like the soldier he was, made a statement about Israel and significantly the first sentence contained this sequence of words: "...the Trump administration is reversing the Obama administration's approach toward Israeli settlements."

The world rocked at that today, this astonishing statement, this opposition to the international consensus, virtually universal on the planet that the Jews have no right to live in Judea and Samaria, what is called the "West Bank." Unfortunately, Secretary Pompeo used that language too, which was the downside of his otherwise heartwarming policy statement. Rightly, he cited the late great President Ronald Reagan who stood firm against calling Jewish life restored in Judea and Samaria illegal, but to please his antiJew State Department types, Reagan admitted they were not conducive to peace-making, or words to that effect.

Secretary Pompeo cited a historically American policy different from that of the world community, then, while not specifically citing the antisemitic UN Security Council Res. 2334 in December 2016, he alluded to it when he said, "Secretary Kerry

changed decades of a careful bipartisan approach by publicly reaffirming the supposed illegality of settlements.” He said, “This administration agrees with President Reagan. The establishment of Israeli civilian settlements in the West Bank is not per se inconsistent with international law.”

He went on to say that the ultimate status of the “West Bank” is for the Israelis and Palestinians to negotiate,” and that was the downside of his otherwise heart-warming announcement. He said, “The hard truth is that there will never be a judicial resolution to the conflict, and arguments about who is right and wrong as a matter of international law will not bring peace. This is a complex problem that can only be solved by negotiations between the Israelis and the Palestinians.” And this was more of the downside.

He is wrong to jettison respect for international law as something to be pursued. Doing that is a concession to the Muslim enemy. International law includes the League of Nations that drew a map of western Palestine as some of the historic homeland of the Jews they are entitled to live in, with eastern Palestine as another Arab state to be created in the post WWI period along with the Arab states of Lebanon, Iraq, Saudi Arabia and modern Syria. They all came into being after the WWI, including the future Hashemite kingdom of Jordan on the east bank.

And it is that document that proves Judea and Samaria and Gaza in international law are the property of the Jews, and it is no shame to demand that the Ishmaelites respect the League of Nations’ decision that continues to be a living, legal instrument embedded in Chapter 80 of the UN Charter.

But most of all, the otherwise splendid Mike Pompeo’s performance was less than perfect, which it can never be when he uses vocabulary words like “West Bank” and “Palestinians.” He wants to see Israelis and “Palestinians” negotiate a peace, which reinforces the negative antiJew construction of reality; that this conflict is between Israelis and Balestinians. No, it is between Jews and Arabs, between Jews and Muslims, and their Christian and post-Christian supporters around the globe, which just renewed the UN’s support for UNRWA, the greatest welfare scam in history as part of the interminable hostility to Israel in the United Nations.

Mike Pompeo was a four-year-old boy during the Six-Day War, meaning, it was not a formative experience for him as for Israelis. And he certainly had no experience either of the Sinai Campaign 1956, let alone the War of Independence of 1948. In those decades, the 1950s, 60s and 70s, the conflict was called the Arab-Israeli Conflict. The Balestinians entered the stage of history at the UN only in 1970, and that is when the Arab-Israeli conflict was rebranded Israeli-Palestinian in order not to have to solve the Arab-Israeli conflict. UN Resolution 242 of November 1967 called for peace between the warring countries of the previous June, not one of them called Balestine. And as I show in my book, the invention of the “Palestinians” was rooted in the resistance to Res. 242. What the Arabs did was to invent a new national group, call it the principle

victim of Zionism and demand that Israel make peace with them first -- and of course the "Palestinian" refugees would never be satisfied with the existence of Israel.

For two decades, the principle diplomatic effort was to get the PLO to accept Res. 242, which Arafat refused to do because it said nothing of his Ancient Palestinians; Arafat, this native Egyptian Muslim Brother pretending to be an Ancient Palestinian.

Well, so much for the new Trump-Pompeo policy and its shortcomings. Its benefit does go to the heart of the matter, the heart of the conflict in which world antiJewry resists the return of the Jews to Judea and Samaria and Jerusalem. That is what Obama and Kerry's UN knife-in-the-back was all about. Not only did that resolution judge Jewish life reborn on barren hillsides dispossessing no Ancient Palestinian to be a crime. The Jewish presence in Judea and Samaria, including the Cave of the Jewish Patriarchs and Matriarchs, and of course on the Temple Mount is a war crime. The Jews are in illegal possession of what belongs to the Ancient Palestinians. That was the import of that poisonously antisemitic text.

So I was most pleased that in Secretary's Pompeo's first sentence he fingered by name the secret Muslim Brotherhood agent Obama whose antiJew policy the Trump administration was going to reverse, thank G-d, G-d bless President Trump and Secretary Pompeo, both of whom I am confident see the antisemitism in a policy denying Jews, because they are Jews, the right to live anywhere. It presupposes the rightness of not dictating to Jews that there are certain places they have no right to live in.

In post-WWII America, as the children of Yiddish-speaking refugees born and raised in America grew up, got educated and began to ascend the economic ladder, leaving city tenements behind and entering the middle class, they went shopping for individual homes, when real estate advertisements might contain at the bottom the language, "A restricted community," meaning, no Jews allowed. This was the age of a bestseller and movie, *Gentlemen's Agreement*. Yours truly grew up in a town where Jews were allowed in a new section, but not the old. Two houses away was the old section where Jews were denied purchase.

And that is more or less the situation regarding Judea and Samaria, with today's antisemites oblivious to their own antisemitism by supporting the idea that Jews have no right to live in Judea and Samaria. They are restricted areas, no Jews allowed.

It is rumored that Donald Trump's father, though of German heritage, as a builder and developer in New York after the War wanted to sell to Jewish customers and spread the word that the family was Dutch.

Donald Trump knows real estate, and surely knows that certain condominiums on the Upper East of Manhattan for the rich are likewise Jew-free. I remember a case in 1979 of a lawsuit filed by someone who claimed his denial of purchase in one of these condos, was antisemitic. I suspect both Trump and Pompeo sense the antisemitism of this international policy denying Jews the right to live on otherwise

barren hillsides displacing no allegedly ancient Balestinian family. It is ugly and especially so when the League of Nations ruled as it did.

Jews have nothing to be ashamed about where insisting that Judea and Samaria are legally and morally ours. And if the goyim here, the aliens on this land, are unhappy, so they are unhappy. They are not the first human beings to be inconvenienced by the results of a war, especially their brethren started. There is nothing more natural and human, unfortunately, than wars, which often end with the altering of the lines between the warring parties. When that happens, some people find themselves overnight as foreigners living in a new country and they have the choice of living with that or moving back to remain among their people.

The upside of the “Palestinian” aggression against us by world antiJewry is that it is more evidence of the amazing, monumental, indeed cosmic power of Jewishness to move history. Has there ever been such a phenomenon as the world community siding with an imaginary nation with its false claims to the Jews’ homeland? It is the No. 1 topic of discussion in the great glass temple of diplomacy in New York. The hostility to Israel has the upside of being testimony of the power of the Jewish idea that is so powerful it moves nations and history.

\* \* (Musical Interlude) \*

So, it was wonderful news yesterday, that statement issued by Secretary Pompeo, another exemplar of my experience with Italian-Americans who are the least antisemitic of Americans, their historic Catholicism notwithstanding.

His statement was a victory against antisemitism which I think both he and President Trump see in this unjustifiable international certitude that Jews have no right to live in Judea and Samaria.

On the other hand, I wish he had gone further by using the names found on all maps in history until the 1970s instead of “West Bank.” And I wish he had referred to the goyim here as Arabs, as Muslims, anything but Balestinians because using that label is counter-productive toward a better tomorrow because it is a lie. There is nothing Palestinian about these people, which I find simply fascinating. This is the greatest historical hoax in the history of historical hoaxes. Everybody calls them Palestinians when there is nothing Palestinian about them.

And attached to that fantasy there are other verbal deceits that need to be deprogrammed and discarded on the junkheap of antiJew expressions down the corridors of time, such as the “Two-State Solution,” which is code for the destruction of Israel.

Maybe you noticed it was mentioned in virtually every Democratic presidential aspirant’s negative reaction to the Pompeo statement. It is fascinating to me how the Democratic Party of my boyhood and my young manhood has become home to antiJew politicians such Biden, Bernie, Warren, Glubachar and Buttigieg all of whom criticized the

new policy -- which is really the old policy -- by referencing the damage it will do the messianic Two-State Solution, which when inspected under a microscope reveals it to be truly malevolent toward the Jewish people.

The Two-State Solution is as antiJew as the BDS movement, both concepts pretending to a lie. BDS pretends to care only for the “West Bank,” when all now agree this is a ruse. And so the devotees of the Two-State Solution likewise foresee a policy leading to the destruction of Israel, G-d forbid, disguised as supporting a state of the Ancient Ones they have every right to,

Though in fairness I consider the possibility that with most of these Democrat aspirants, except for Bernie, don't know enough to know that the Two-State Solution in the minds of the Arabs, the Muslims, means the driving of over a half-million Jews from their homes and communities, their synagogues and businesses, presumably housing them in refugee camps, though where those camps will be built and how they will be funded is not considered.

The Two-State Solution in the minds of the Arabs is the expulsion of all the Jews, and if Gaza is any example, the Muslim Brotherhood will then take over to rule in the new state whose borders will be within small arms fire of the Knesset in Jerusalem as was the case before 1967, and no less within range of Ben-Gurion Airport. The Two-State Solution means expelling more Jews from Judea and Samaria than were expelled from Spain in 1492 and the installation of the same regime today in control in Gaza. This is what Elizabeth Warren and Joe Biden and Amy Klobuchar want to see? I don't think so. I think none of them knows enough about Israel, any more than what they may see in the New York Times.

The Two-State Solution is also a lie for its arithmetic. It is a five-state solution in reality, as the first chapter of my book makes plain. Today's right-wing Jews complain that the Arabs got 77% of Palestine from the League but what they got in historical fact was 77% of the map the League produced which was a lie used to fool the Arabs. The League's map bore no serious relation to the maps of Palestine extant in 1917 in the middle of WWI. It had been blown up like a balloon, and it was that map the Brits showed Faisal who as a Muslim had no concept of the shape and boundaries of Palestine. They used it to fool him into thinking he was getting 77%, when in historic fact, the final lines of the states that came into existence after WWI show today 53% of the classic map in Lebanese, Syrian and Jordanian territory.

The Two-State Solution was also, we should not forget, the brainchild of Dr. Joseph Beilin, PhD the atheist and his atheist cohorts for whom the invention of the Balestinians was a most useful tool to prevent religious Jews from bringing Judea and Samaria back to life. Beilin & Co. were the offspring of post-religious Jews whose Zionism was a rebellion against Jewishness, rooted in fidelity to the commandments found in a book the Jews believe was dictated by the Creator in the 14<sup>th</sup> century before the Common Era, versus the Enlightened who pooh-pooh that belief as “the opiate of the masses.”

Likewise, Ron Pundak, like Beilin, was a confirmed communist who once admitted that their peace plan was mostly not about peace with the Balestinians but preventing religious people from creating new communities in Judea and Samaria. In this, these Israeli Jews were in perfect agreement with antiJew goyim around the world in their hostility to the Zionist project.

Not surprisingly, unlike the others I named who are likely antiJew through ignorance and fashion far more than conviction, Bernie Sanders was the among the worst of the Democrat politicians in responding to Pompeo by saying “Israel settlements in occupied territory are illegal. This is clear from international law and multiple United Nations resolutions. Once again, Mr. Trump is isolating the United States and undermining diplomacy by pandering to his extremist base.”

That’s right, Bernie; it is extremist to support the right of Jews to live in Judea and Samaria. It is more antisemitism, Bernie, when you lie about “occupied territory,” when it has been obvious since 1967 that the conditions do not exist to apply the definition of occupied territory to Israel’s presence on land the League of Nations, forerunner of the United Nations, awarded to the Jews.

Bernie like all in his community of Jew-haters asserts the new Jewish communities are illegal in international law without ever citing that law or laws, and what book of laws one can find then in order to read for oneself and agree or disagree over the relevance to Israel’s situation. This is nothing more than toxic words for being mendacious. And when the target is the Jews, this is antisemitism, Bernie. You are an antisemite, one who lies about Jews, one of the lies being your claim that since your father’s family was killed in the Holocaust, you are immune to antisemitic thinking, which is pure, glatt kosher, horse manure.

\* \* (Musical Interlude) \*

So, in a class with Bernie Sanders was one of the nastiest antiJew Jews in our time, Dr. Martin Indyk. He tweeted, “A totally gratuitous move by a discredited Secretary Pompeo. Why slap the Palestinians in the face again? Why boost the settlement/annexation movement at the very moment Gantz is trying to form a government? This has Friedman’s finger prints on it.”

For sure, and proudly so. The story includes the goading by Amb. David Friedman in cahoots with Israel’s ambassador in Washington Ron Dermer who pushed for this policy reversal even more important than moving the embassy to Jerusalem; far more important.

Along with Indyk in his hostility to the right of Jews to live in Judea and Samaria without displacing any Muslims was Jeremy Ben-Ami of J Street who also waved the banner of the Two-State Solution now in jeopardy thanks to the reversal of Obama’s nasty policy.

And I think that the next step for pro-Israelites should be a war on the very language of the Two-State Solution. We need a verbal version of the Iron Dome system to knock

down the very concept of this profoundly dishonest antiJew idea disguised as something positive. Yes, let us build a state for the Ancient Ones who are as much entitled to a state in Balestine as the Jews. It would be wonderful contribution to create a brand-new State of Ancient Philistia. Who could complain against such a noble idea? Statehood for the Ancient Ones, a state right next to Israel, returning the State of Israel to its 1949 dimensions, 9 miles wide at its waist.

The Two-State Solution sounds so nice because it is a solution, the only solution, the Left insists. When the MSM quotes an Arab here over a real estate clash with Israel, a property dispute somewhere, the disputed land is always described as “land the Palestinians want for their future state.” What is never broadcast is the rest of their aspiration. They want the land for this future state which they will use to destroy the Jewish state. That never gets broadcast.

And last but not least, the AIPAC response was further evidence of the dying, via assimilation, American Jewish community. AIPAC is for those Jews who want the best for Israel even though they do not live Jewish lives. On the contrary, they fool themselves and assuage their consciences by thinking support for Israel by joining AIPAC is a substitute for not living as religious Jews.

Since most in this community vote Democrat and voted against Trump, AIPAC’s CEO Howard Kohr, who lives off the donations of comfortable Jews who marry off their children to gentiles and thus contribute to the shrinking of the Jewish people, conducted an historic AIPAC annual meeting, the first after Trump became president, during which he broke with the tradition of supporting whatever government and its policies at the moment was ruling in Jerusalem. Kohr remained loyal to the Obama policy of the Two-State Solution, rather than Netanyahu’s policy de facto opposed to the two-state fantasy/deception. Supporters of Israel today must target the two-state solution idea as the embodiment of the fiasco of the Oslo Abomination whose goal was handing over Judea and Samaria to our mortal Arab Muslim enemies because they did not like being sneered at by the in-crowd in Paris, London and New York and the United Nations as a whole. Zionism was for them about creating a New Jew who was not hated and persecuted, and the way to that goal for the Oslo freaks was agreeing that Zionism had victimized the poor poor putatively prehistoric Palestinian people who deserve to be compensated.

\* \* (Musical Interlude) \*