

B"H

# DeProgram Program

*From the Mind of Sha'i ben-Tekoa*

*Transcript*

<http://www.deprogramprogram.com>

*Date: December 4, 2016 / ה'תשע"ז*

*Parasha: Vayeitzay / ויצא*

*Title: The Jewish Race?*

**Copyright: Sha'i ben-Tekoa 2016**  
**www.deprogramprogram.com**

*Shalom laYehudim, Shalom laBnai Noach, Shalom laGoyim.* It is the evening of the 2<sup>nd</sup> day, *beb* *beKislev*, *Parashas Vayeitzay*, *tav-shin-ayin-zion*, the evening of the 1st day, Sunday, 4 December 2016, webcasting from the State of Israel in suspended animation.

Well, not really. Suspended in midair might be more descriptive, wrestling every day with the fate of 40 Jewish families living in humble dwellings built on barren land for twenty years now.

It is simply disgraceful that the only Jewish state in the world has never been able to stake its claim to the land on which these humble dwellings were erected and more importantly with the aid of every government that paved roads, put in telephone lines, electricity and water lines.

Only now, the High Court demands these innocent Jewish families be turned into homeless refugees. Their homes must be destroyed.

Israel took this real estate away from the Jordanians who had no right to be there for twenty years. This was land the League of Nations pronounced “the historic Jewish homeland,” but for fifty years since 1967, Israel has never been able to proclaim the absolute right of Jews to make their homes and live on these barren hilltops, bothering no allegedly ancient “Palestinian” people. It is somewhat mindboggling that the government is daily trying to find some verbal formula that will simultaneously please the High Court that has assumed the role of the gentile *paritz*, the tyrant, who orders Jews out of their homes and turns them into homeless refugees. I think it is a scandal that Israel has a High Court that easily could have thrown out of court the petition for this land as bogus. First of all, where were the alleged owners for the first ten years of

alleged land theft? What surely is unknown to world antiJewry is that every Jewish community built in Judea and Samaria since 1967 was built on barren, unworked soil. The settlements displaced no Arab families. None were thrown out of their “ancestral” homes and forced to live in the street.

Israel did not steal tracts of farmland that the Ancient Ones had worked for generations; steal their orchards or their vineyards.

I find it shocking but freighted with meaning that the top story in Israel today remains the approaching dreaded day of December 25 when there is likely to a Cecil B. DeMille confrontation on this land between thousands of civilians passively resisting and thousands of uniformed police and soldiers who come to drive the forty Jewish families from their homes and turn these people into homeless refugees.

Naftali Bennett is driving the Regulation Law to prevent that. He wants to bless all Jewish communities in Judea and Samaria as legal, as of right, which is a bit dishonest on his part, and playing word games. Bennett wants to annex Area C, but if so, then Israel has the right to annex the other two areas A and B as well.

The recognition of Areas A, B, and C has been kowtowing to world antiJewry; it is part of the Oslo dementia. This division of the land was part of the Oslo delusion in 1993 that was proven to be a major, bloody flop, yet we continue to honor it by the continuing use of these terms and concepts.

What Bennett wants to do is annex the ground under these Jewish communities but not the surrounding landscape? We are tragically in this fix over Amona thanks to the continuing results of the Oslo documents. Indeed, what is Netanyahu’s tactic if not accepting the Oslo parameters and trying to make the best of a bad deal?

Yesterday, he petitioned the High Court for another 30-day “stay of execution,” so to speak, as he tries to cobble together a law satisfactory to the whole coalition and the High Court; with the whole nasty business resulting from the acceptance of the lexicon and concepts of the Oslo delusionists, such as “The Palestinians and their right to and need for a state.”

What Israel needs is a kind house cleaning of the mind, and that can start with the realistic judgment that Oslo was a bloody failure and the whole paradigm needs to be junked.

Israel has to first proclaim its shameless, guiltless claim to the hills of Judea and Samaria as rightfully Israel’s land. It is territory that is three times over Jewish land. In the words of the League of Nations Mandate, this is the “historic homeland of the Jewish people.” And keep in mind that in 1922 when the Mandate went into effect, there was no place called “West Bank” in the heads of the authors of that document. When the authors looked at the map of Palestine found in historical works, they saw imprinted the words “Judea” and “Samaria.” And if the Mandate was to create a Jewish

homeland in the historic homeland of the Jews, they had to be thinking of Judea as part of it. It is where the word Jew comes from.

That is the No. 1 reason why Judea and Samaria belong to us. No. 2 reason: we took the land away from the attacking Arabs publically proclaiming their desire to finish Hitler's work. The Arabs came to destroy our little country in 1967, for a second time. They wanted to kill a lot of us and loot the wealth we Jews had created. Only, we pushed the aggressors back and took away the land they had used for their attacks over two decades. This land is for us Israelis the spoils of war that we are entitled to keep, unless you think like an antisemite that Jews uniquely in the world are not allowed to keep the spoils of war and must return them and allow the aggressor another chance to destroy their little country.

Reason No. 3: This land is at the heart of the Jewish religion. An attack on the right of Jews to live in Judea is an attack on the Bible, whose first Five Books are about the birth of the Children of Israel, this nation, and the gift of this land to them by the Creator of All That Is. It is our religion that is under attack when our right to live peacefully in Judea and Samaria is the issue. The resistance to our presence is a rejection of the Torah.

In any case, I never cease to look on in wonder at Israelis who see no historical connection between Amona and Gaza 11 years ago, and the generations of Jews driven from their homes, only now it happens in Eretz Yisrael and the government doing the wrecking of Jewish homes and the transformation of Jewish families into homeless refugees is the one in Jerusalem flying the flag of King David.

What Israelis, so cut off from Jewish history, do not see is that the opposition to Jewish life restored in Judea and Samaria is a continuation of goyim dictating to us where we may or may not live. Judea and Samaria have become the last redoubt of dry land on the planet where it is permissible, even laudatory, to declare this terrain must be Jew-free. This is what the Arabs say and world antiJewry says backing them up. Any place else in the world with a zoning law barring Jews would be raked over the coals by the ADL and Alan Dershowitz. But when it comes to Judea and Samaria, Dershowitz reveals his true character as an IBJ, an Inside the Beltway Jew, who rejects the right of Jews to live in Judea and Samaria, just like the antiJew goyim. He proudly states he has opposed the settlements from the very beginning – which is another way of admitting that he has learned nothing over the last Biblical generation of forty years. Yours truly also opposed the settlements for a dozen years after the Six-Day War but the behavior of the Arabs in that time taught me some new things about them that changed my thinking.

But not Alan Dershowitz, this fool who twice supported Barack Obama. He has learned nothing about the Arabs and the Muslims all this time, because for a post-Jew

like himself, his views on Israel are meant, first of all, to portray himself as socially acceptable, and only then does he engage with reasons and facts.

On Thursday, National Infrastructure Minister Yuval Steinitz said on Kol Yisrael national radio, the day after Mahmoud Abbas was re-elected head of Fatah, that Abbas is Israel's leading enemy opposed to Israel's existence. He said that Abbas will never recognize Israel's right to exist, which was a quotation from Abbas from the day before in the Fatah meeting.

Steinitz also commented on yet another UN General Assembly resolution on Wednesday in which only the Muslim terms for the Temple Mount were used. He said that resolution was a "bad joke that bordered on antisemitism."

I disagree. Steinitz is a fine fellow, but suffers from being a secular sabra, with a PhD in Philosophy. Steinitz thinks that what the UN General Assembly did "bordered on antisemitism," but I say no. That is exactly what it was. A manifestation of antisemitism in our time which looks different than in other times but underneath the same hatred of Jews is percolating.

\* \* (Musical Interlude) \* \*

Yes, Minister Steinitz as a post-religious Israeli looks upon the doings at the UN as "bordering on antisemitism" when I say that's all it is.

Antisemitism is, first of all, a word I do not like because it was dishonest the moment it was invented in the mid-19<sup>th</sup> century in Germany or possibly France. It makes no sense because it implies there is such a thing as Semitism, which Anti-Semitism opposes.

This is gobbledygook. Antisemitism as a word arose after European Christians had lost their faith. That barred them from continuing to see and hate Jews as Christ-killers, but they still hated them, and antisemitism was born in the same years as Darwinism in an attempt to formulate a kind of biological, anthropological theory of race and racial characteristics that made the presence in Europe of Jews obnoxious. In this 19<sup>th</sup> century climate, the Jewish people was repeatedly referred to not as a people or nation, but a race. And it was early believed that the Jewish race was a mongrel, gypsy race of many peoples; they had wandered all over the place. In fact, this was Hitler's complaint. He hated the Jews who did not care about race but were out to pollute the German bloodstream with their genetic filth and inferiority.

And it is no coincidence that today Israel is seen by its haters as a racist society, with a system of apartheid for the differences races, just like in South Africa. "Enlightened, progressive," college students today conduct Israel Apartheid weeks to act out their delusion about racist Jews.

In the 20<sup>th</sup> century, the Jews were hated by Nazis for their racial impurity, this migratory, gypsy-like nation. In the 21<sup>st</sup> century, the Jews became the world's worst racists denying others membership in their nation on the basis of race.

We heard this just recently in the survey of Marine Corps General James Mattis's career, the new Secretary of Defense. To his credit, he identifies Iran as the great malefactor in the Middle East. That is good, but he too seems have been infected with a touch of anti-Jewitis in sounding just like John Kerry and Jimmy Carter by threatening Israel with becoming an apartheid state if it does not genuflect before the Ancient Palestinians.

Well, you can't have it all. So long as Mattis does not become the architect of policy toward Israel, he should be the man for the job, judging by all the praise he has been given.

But I digress. One of Israel's shortcomings, that is, of Israelis, stems from the unavoidable absence in their formative years of living with gentiles. For them, the word "antisemitism" conjures of images of Nazi Stormtroopers goose-stepping and *Sieg heiling*, so anything less than that is not for them antisemitism.

They don't get that the resistance to Israel by the Arabs stems from their age-old character as desert marauders, in Rashi's Hebrew *listim*, and from their religion as well, whose very name reeks with antisemitism, with antiJewism. As Arabs, they want to steal the wealth we have created; as Muslims, they have a religious justification for it.

Islam means "submission" and points to *Akedas Yitzhak*, the binding of Isaac, which story they simply stole and plagiarized. The Midrash teaches Ishmael is a thief. As an Arab marauder, he steals your material possessions, and a Muslim he steals your religion and worse. To turn away from his own guilt, he accuses you of stealing his religion.

Instead, Israelis insist upon seeing these Arabs as "Palestinian" when there is nothing Palestinian about them. One might as well call them Eskimos or Hottentots. There is nothing Eskimo or Hottentot about them either.

Steinitz's interview on Kol Yisrael was similar to a remark made the same day by Deputy Foreign Minister Tsipi Hotovely who said that in her meeting that day with the visiting foreign minister from Uruguay, she told him to tell Abbas, his next meeting of the day, to "stop his diplomatic terrorism." She said he "attempts to harm Israel in international forums does nothing to move forward toward any type of agreement."

I hope she does not believe that; believe that this man, Abbas, has any interest in moving forward to anything.

The "Palestinians" are very much an Israeli invention. They are Israel's delusion about these Arabs, these Muslims that they are just like over people who want their own state in their ancient homeland. This aspiration is a major ingredient in the fantasy of the "Palestinian people. The Two- State Solution is the solution because that is what the "victims of Zionism" want. Their own little state in Judea and Samaria and of course Gaza.

This, of course, is all historical nonsense, for before the 1970s, there was no "Palestinian national movement" to speak of, for statehood in order to imitate the Zionist

movement of the Jews. If King Hussein in Amman never saw these Arabs on the other side the River as another nationality deserving of their own independent state on the other side of the River, Israel should not either.

What secular Israelis raised here in this huge ghetto of a state suffer from is that all their classmates in all their school years were Jews, so they have no experience of the antiJew mind at work and thus see the hostility to Israel in the world as “bordering on” antisemitism. It is nothing but the age-old hatred of Jews in modern dress.

\* \* (Musical Interlude) \* \*

Yes, Israel’s secular natives are unavoidably hobbled when it comes to identifying age-old Jew-hatred for several reasons. As noted, because they have no personal experience of it but also because the ideology of secular Zionism was itself defective in its diagnosis of antisemitism. and therefore the Zionists’ prescription was less than fully effective in responding to the disease -- and it is a disease, a mental disorder characterized by delusions of Jewish evil.

For example, Giulio Meotti on the Arutz 7 site on Friday, G-d bless him, exposed the following story. On 23 November, he wrote up a bus in use in Rome belonging to the Vatican travel agency, which runs pilgrimages to Christian sites here. Only, as I understood the story, the bus carried advertising for such trips to “Palestine.” No mention of Israel, no mention of Jerusalem. “Visit your Catholic holy sites in Palestine!” I guess.

A few days later, Mort Klein of ZOA wrote a letter of complaint to the Vatican about such advertising. The Vatican, evidently in answer, lied by saying that the Vatican does not organize such trips; it is an outside contractor, or something. But Meotti then exposed that as a lie. Indeed, the Vatican organizes trips for pilgrims to Israel to visit Catholic holy sites and they are advertised as trips to Balestine.

Palestine was, the moment it was invented in the year 135, like antisemitism, 1700 years later, a lie. *Palaestina* was invented to cover up the name Judea that had been the name in use by Rome at that point for two centuries.

And so the name Palestine was revived in our time likewise to cover up the name Judea. Today it is the “occupied Palestinian territories” a.k.a. “the West Bank.”

And Israelis have only themselves to blame for adopting the vocabulary of world antiJewry that calls these Arabs “Palestinians,” calls Judea and Samaria the “West Bank.” Israelis do that too. And even, like all prime ministers since 1993, the current one supports the right of said “Palestinians” to a state in Judea and Samaria, taking into consideration of course Israel’s “security concerns.”

Now, clearly in the matter of the Vatican, calling Jerusalem’s location Palestine is act of pure *dhimmitude*. The name Judea appears in the first verse of the second chapter of the Catholic Church’s New Testament and 41 other times throughout the volume.

Jesus never called the area Palestine, so why does the Vatican? The name Palaestina would not be invented for a century after Jesus was crucified along with a quarter-million other Jews.

How ironic, if that is the right word here. Due to the foundational defect in secular Zionism -- the absence of religion -- that hobbled the secular Zionist's understanding of the Arabs, Herzl's state produced a Jewish community that refers to the Arabs here as "Palestinians," which then bestows upon them a claim to Palestine because they are the "Palestinians." Israel admits they are Palestinians and they have, therefore, as much right to sovereign independence in Palestine as Germans in Germany and Russians in Russia, Turks in Turkey.

Because Israel's secular Zionists did not identify with the promise of this land made by to their ancestor Avraham, the promise to Yitzhak and Yaakov as well, of this land, they never have been able to respond to the claims of Palestinian nationalism by saying, "This land belongs exclusively to the Jewish people, and to no other." Official Israel, official post-religious Israel, has never denied the "Palestinian" claim and therefore finds itself constantly on the defensive.

The Torah teaches that when Israel is punished by exile, at least its homeland will not be inhabited by another people. And so it was a wasteland into the 19<sup>th</sup> century. There is abundant evidence of that in photographs, paintings, correspondence, official government and diplomatic documents.

But modern Israel has let the lie of a Palestinian people with identical rights to this land to grow and fester. And that happened because secular Israelis are so stripped of religious life, the ideology, if you will, that sustained this people for thousands years not only in homeless exile but under constant attack, abuse, persecution and even slaughter.

And for this reason as well, the top story in Israel today remains the ongoing word games inside the government whose purpose is to find a verbal construct that will satisfy the post-religious High Court that is incapable of justifying Jewish life reborn on barren patches of wasteland, and at the same time keep the Jews at Amona in their humble dwellings.

Why must these innocent Jews suffer by having their homes destroyed?