

**B''H**

# *DeProgram Program*

*From the Mind of Sha'i ben-Tekoa*

*Transcript*

<http://www.deprogramprogram.com>

**ב כסלב חשע"ז / December 1, 2016**

**Parasha: Toldos / תולדות**

**Title: Obama's Enduring Threat**

**Copyright: Sha'i ben-Tekoa 2016**

[www.deprogramprogram.com](http://www.deprogramprogram.com)

*Shalom laYehudim, Shalom laBnai Noach, Shalom laGoyim.* It is the evening of the 6th day, *bes beKislev*, Parashas *Toldos*, *tav-shin-ayin-zion*, the evening of the 5th day, Thursday, 1 December 2016, webcasting from Israel counting the days until the Muslim Brotherhood Agent in the Oval Office is gone.

For weeks before the November 8 elections and every week since then, either the prime minister here or friends of Israel in the States have gone public with a reminder to the Muslim missionary in the White House to do nothing in the way of deviating from decades of bi-partisan support opposing a “peace process” imposed upon Israel. Only direct negotiations between the parties will be acceptable.

On Tuesday evening in the House of Representatives, there was a sense of the House statement, a majority in support, of another reminder to Obama to do nothing to Israel at the UN, and obviously because Obama does nothing to remove the anxiety. He could, if he wanted to, go public with a sincere statement saying he will not break the tradition of defending Israel in the Security Council, but he has not done that. He prefers to keep people on edge, on tenterhooks, letting them fret about his unspoken menace directed at Israel, the most hated country in 56 official Muslim countries. Obama has been an advocate and defender of Islam from his first minutes after taking the oath of office eight years ago, and as such he supports their greatest, felt-sense of injustice: Zionism. Muslims see in the existence of the State of Israel a crime committed by the Jews against their religion. The Jews stole Muslim land and turned Muslims into homeless refugees.

So this bum Obama the Bummer did it again this week in the wake of this week's last episode in a long-running adventure series called "The Homicidal Muslim Maniac Show." This Homicidal Muslim Maniac was on the campus of OSU, Ohio State, that iconic, Middle American state university. There in the middle of that classic American institution, a Muslim acted just like so many of his homicidal, maniacal brethren here over the last year. You know, the Run'em Over in Your Car, Then Jump Out and Stab Them technique. And I found it kind of Twilight Zone-ish that nowhere in the MSM, certainly not in Israel, did I see anyone make the comparison. What this mad dog of a Somali did at OSU was what we have had here time and time again.

But I digress. The subject remains the Muslim Brotherhood operative in the White House and what he will or will not do to the object of his Muslim hatred, namely, Israel, before January 20. Following the horror at OSU, Obama's mouthpiece Josh Earnest said the attack may have been motivated by – fasten your seatbelts – "extremism." He also said, "We do not want to be casting aspersions on millions of people that adhere to a particular religion."

Listen again to these words and how he uses them. We have a fine specimen here of what Bat Ye'or foresaw decades ago for Europe. The condition of dhimmitude – her invented word -- in which a people learns to kowtow to the Muslims, to defer to the Muslims, to accept their diminished status as dhimmi under the Muslims. So here Josh Ernest was admitting that the attack may have been motivated by "extremism."

"Extremism"? This is an ism I am not familiar with. I have heard about and read a bit about socialism and communism, anarchism and anarcho-syndicalism; Marxism, Positivism, Existentialism, Nazism and Fascism, each one a mishmash of ideas about society. But a political theory called Extremism? What is that?

What we are looking at in his choice of words is a refusal to admit that that maniac was motivated not by Extremism but Islam; not Islamism but Islam, his religion. And in fact that seems to have been the case. That was the truth, and there can be no excuse for speaking as Josh Ernest did when the truth is known.

Likewise, "not wanting to be casting aspersions on millions of people that adhere to a particular religion." Again, Islam is the missing word here. "Islam" should have finished that sentence. Instead, we got "a particular," unnamed religion. In both instances, it was Obama's spokesman refusing, unwilling, to speak out against a religion that refuses to go to war against such behavior by its adherents. And for this, it is perfectly moral to accuse the totality of Islam, the religion, when none of its prominent leaders go public and demand that murdering people like this at OSU, or at the Bataclan in Paris, or on 9-11, is unacceptable. So long as the leaders of Islam do not come out to campaign against this kind of satanic insanity, the rest of the world has the right and even obligation to condemn the entire religion.

Not that I belittle the enormous barrier in Islam to such a religious leader acting that way and launching a campaign against these types of crazy outbursts by Muslims in the name of their religion. It is no easy task. A Muslim leader who goes public with his opinion that this is not a good thing to do, signs his own death warrant. He makes of himself fair game as target for assassination.

In any case, it was another instance over eight years of Obama putting on display his defense of Islam against his countrymen's suffering at the hand of an Islamic lunatic killer on the loose. And this is why on Tuesday we saw another example, the sense of the House resolution on Tuesday night, telling Obama to do nothing to harm Israel at the UN because they think he wants to.

It is really quite annoying that what is feared is Obama going along with a French text chastising Israel and maybe calling for sanctions. This is the same France that just ordered all Jewish goods produced in Judea and on the Golan and in Samaria to be marked -- unlike goods from northern Cyprus under the Turkish jackboot since 1975. They get no mark. What is it with these French and this age-old hostility to Jews?

And since the Trump election and Israel's refusal to be summoned to Paris for a tribunal of many nations siding with the Jew-killers, the French are likely inclined to press ahead in the Security Council in revenge. The question then becomes how Obama, the secret Muslim, will respond. And because he himself does nothing to respond to the weekly statements by various parties telling him to behave himself, suspicions about his intentions remain. Because he wants it that way. Because it discomfits the Jews and their friends.

How ironic that the United Nations has turned into what it is. It was born not long after the ovens at Auschwitz went cold, and one of its first major acts was the Partition Plan of 1947 to finally let the Jews have a state if only in about 11 % of the original League of Nations Mandate map of Palestine. How ironic that today this same United Nations Organization has become the furnace of world Jew-hatred. Two days ago was 29 November, the anniversary of the Partition Plan, which date the Arabs stole and turned into International Solidarity with the Palestinians Day, a day dedicated to scourging the Jews with allegations and accusations of the evil they committed and still commit against their "Palestinian" victims.

On Tuesday at the UN, the President of the General Assembly, hailing from the microscopic nation-state of Fiji, draped a keffiyah around his shoulders as the body voted on six separate resolutions, each one condemning Israel in a different way. He said he wore it in solidarity with the Palestinians whose day it was.

And one doubts this fellow knows that this official UN day was nothing but another act of thievery committed by Arabs whose principle occupation in this life has been armed thievery. November 29<sup>th</sup> was stolen from the Jewish people – as the Koran steals the Torah. For us, it is a day of celebration, but they reconfigured it, as the Koran

re-writes the Torah, as a day of smearing the Jews with accusations of their monstrous injustice against their victims.

The day at the UN started with a meeting of the UN committee now 41 years old called The Committee on the Inalienable Rights of the Palestinian People, which included dozens of representatives of the UN states. And of course speeches were made and then they moved to the General Assembly where more speeches were made to hurt Israel.

Israel's Ambassador Danny Danon spoke and dismissed all the accusations, especially the one that Israel rejects peace. He said, "It is time to put an end to this lie, once and for all. If you really want to show solidarity with the Palestinian people, then end this charade and demand that the Palestinian leaders finally return to the negotiating table."

No good. This is no way to respond. And why not, I'll explain after some music.

\* \* (Musical Interlude) \*

Yes, on Tuesday the UN General Assembly conducted its annual International Palestinian Day ceremonials which included voting on six more anti-Israel resolutions to add to the 1000-plus for sure they have already signed off on. In my research, I went through 870 of them between 1945 and 1989, and over the intervening 27 years, the number of Israel-bashing votes has now got to be way over a thousand. It is the activity that the Nations United engages in more than any other: drafting and voting on verbal attacks against the world's only Jewish state.

Israelis commonly hate that behavior. I love it. There can be no greater proof than this that the Jews are the world's chosen people, if not in the Jewish sense. The Jews are chosen repeatedly by the world for special treatment by the goyim, the nations of the world.

Danny Danon in his address to the Assembly during their anti-Jew festivities, surely with refreshments paid for by the UN, admonished the Assembly that "if you really want to show solidarity with the Palestinian people, then demand...that the Palestinian leaders finally return to the negotiating table."

This annoys yours truly. It is so Israeli. First of all, he accepts the existence of a Palestinian people. He does not question the existence of this alleged nation.

And his statement annoys no less for the dishonesty of demanding that this people's leaders finally return to the negotiating table. This is so dishonest because there is nothing to negotiate. What these so-called leaders demand is what Israel, no Israeli leader, can deliver, namely, all of Judea and Samaria after all its Jews and Israeli soldiers have been evacuated.

They said that on September 13 1993, the day of the Handshake on the White House Lawn. They said then that there would be no peace until all the Israelis and all the

settlements were gone and they have not budged an inch since them. What is there to negotiate?

Danon's statement was also so Israeli in not only sustaining the fantasy of a "Palestinian" people but denying, via this fantasy, the Islamic hatred of Jews that courses underneath.

Yesterday, Abbas convened a Fatah meeting for the first time in like 7 years and declared as he always does his bottom line, that he will never recognize Israel as the state of the Jews. To repeat: what is there to negotiate?

This desire was surely in his heart on September 13, 1993 when on the White House with Arafat, and it remains in his heart today. We just heard it. It is a statement of no peace with Israel, a direct refutation of the surface words of peace and conciliation that he signed off on that day.

Put another way, the handshake on the White House was a massive deception, on a par with Obama claiming to have converted and become a Christian. It is all *taqqiya*, dissembling, lying as a way of life with these people. It is a way of hiding in public.

Dating from the age of Noah and the Great Flood, and their ancestor Ham, whose crime was exposure, exposing his father's nakedness. Since then, the Arabs have been a people of veils and burkas and hijabs, of covering up in public.

Famous cultural anthropologist Ruth Benedict brilliantly categorized "guilt cultures vs. shame cultures," and most definitely Arab culture is a shame culture. A shame society uses shame as a mechanism of social control, which is very different from a guilt culture in which civilized guilt does the controlling.

The Arab religion's symbol is also a crescent moon that also is a form of covering up. A crescent moon exposes only one side to the light. The rest is hidden in darkness.

And so Obama marketed himself as a Christian, and Huma Abedin married a Jew.

The Oslo accords were one immense con game on the part of the Arabs. Con game being short for confidence game. The crime is winning someone's confidence and then betraying that confidence.

That is who Obama is. He is a Muslim practicing *taqqiya* on the country, on the world. This is why he issued a fatwa that no member of his administration, indeed, the government of the United States should ever link terrorism and its atrocities to Islam, no matter the evidence before one's eyes.

So, yes, I think it is still possible that the treacherous French and the antisemitic Obama are capable of the diplomatic equivalent of a knife in the back, or a meat cleaver. Isn't that what Muslim maniac at Ohio State used? A meat cleaver?

Yes, if Obama thinks he can get away with it, whatever that means, he certainly will suffer no inhibitions about stabbing Jews in the back. I recall no statement from him over the last year when the Knife Intifada began, the Run'em Over Then Knife Them Intifada began, asking his Muslim brothers to stop doing that. No Muslim cleric has ever come out

against this behavior, no Muslim political leader, and I consider Barack Obama to be one of them: another Muslim leader.

\* \* (Musical Interlude) \*

Well, on the domestic home front, although last webcast we were looking forward to yesterday's two important votes in the Knesset on the outposts bill and the minaret loudspeakers, but both were postponed till next week.

In a way, both represent a similar flaw in Israel's character, which is why both were postponed. It is not easy to vote on these topics. The first bill would not exist if Israel had ever had the strength to assert its rights to Judea and Samaria, and the second bill is a symptom of Israel's legitimate fear of these Muslims, which is wholly justified. This government does not want to open a new front with the Arabs here by ordering them to turn down the volume on their loudspeakers, because their clerics are perfectly capable of responding to the request by goading their thugs into swarming mobs of rioters. Nothing lights their fuse more than a perceived insult to Islam. Because that is all they have in this world as solace for their miserable lives; the belief that while the West may be superior in every way, at least they are in possession of the One True Faith. Islam is like an infant's security blanket for them.

Yesterday on the Gateway site, the great Giulio Meotti wrote up the demise, rather transformational demise, of the *Charlie Hebdo* magazine in Paris and other surrenders by the West to the violence of Muslims. *Charlie Hebdo*'s surviving staffers in the end swore off mocking Islam in the knowledge that if they did not, there was no way of stopping more Muslims from murdering them too.

Meotti listed other instances of museums and publishers and universities in the West surrendering to the dictates of Muslims in their exhibits and publications. In a word, the Muslims are winning.

*Charlie Hebdo* is as dead as the Muhammad cartoons in Jyllens Posten, the Danish newspaper a decade ago were removed. Muslims have succeeded by basically making threats of silencing Western outlets of culture that do not conform to basically Barack Obama's fatwa: never say anything wrong about Islam or watch out.

Criticizing, let alone mocking, Islam is absolutely forbidden. In this, Obama is no different from the *Charlie Hebdo* murderers. And in fact, don't forget, following that demonic atrocity in Paris in January 2015, Obama was the only world leader not to fly to Paris in protest against this behavior and solidarity. For sure, Obama never praised the massacre, but neither did he ever defend the right of free men and women to criticize and even make fun of Islam. It is possible to separate that bloody massacre deserving of no support and the insult to Islam, which has no justification. In this, Obama sided with the

*Charlie Hebdo* murderers in sharing their sense of outrage that their idol Muhammad was insulted.

Most shocking in this Meotti report was the information that *Charlie Hebdo* continues to exist but now – fasten your seatbelts -- preaches against the critics of Islam and ridicules not Allah but the Judeo-Christian god.

This is just pathetic. The cartoonists there have now completely flip-flopped. Now they draw their satirical cartoons against opponents of Islam.

This evokes feelings akin to watching *Invasion of the Body Snatchers* when individuals are turned into the opposite of individuals.

It is reminiscent of Pope Pius the 9<sup>th</sup> in the middle of the 19<sup>th</sup> century basically adopting a Jewish boy kidnapped on his own authority because a Catholic maid had him secretly baptized. Edgar Mortado was then raised in the Vatican and remained a Catholic all his life -- the heartbreak of his parents notwithstanding.

This is what has happened to *Charlie Hebdo*. It is has been converted violently. Islam is cancer on the soul of Europeans that in the end, unless they wake up, will destroy them.

\* \* (Musical Interlude) \*