

B"H

# DeProgram Program

*From the Mind of Sha'i ben-Tekoa*

*Transcript*

<http://www.deprogramprogram.com>

*Date: November 22, 2016 / כב מר חשוון תשע"ז*

*Parasha: Khayei Sara / חיי שרה*

*Title: War on the Sexually Normal*

**Copyright: Sha'i ben-Tekoa 2016**

**www.deprogramprogram.com**

*Shalom laYehudim, Shalom laBnai Noach, Shalom laGoyim.* It is the evening of the 4th day, *kaf-bes beMar Heshvan, Parashas Khayei Sara, tav-shin-ayin-zion*, the evening of the 3rd day, Tuesday, 22 November 2016, webcasting from the modern State of Israel with its deceptive flag.

It's sort of a copyright infringement, the Star of David between two stripes modeled on the stripes in a *tallit*, a prayer shawl. The star is the symbol of arguably the best-selling poet of all time, whose poems are mostly about G-d and Israel, and His relationship to the Jewish individual, and of course the shawl with its tassels signifies the 613 commandments given by the of G-d of the Jews. Both are symbols of the core Jewish identity, which is a religious identity. It is right there in the first words of the *Torah miSinai*, "In the beginning, G-d..."

In schools of journalism, they teach the importance of the lede sentence to a story, and for my money, the first sentence of the Bible is the greatest lede sentence ever. It begins with G-d, and thus announces to the reader that if this idea is unacceptable to him, he should stop right there; this book is not for him, But if he does believe in the first verse, then he'll believe in the following one, and the one after and the one after that, etc.

Indeed, even the post-religious founders of this state that adopted the Zionist flag as the state flag, when they penned their declaration of independence, they staked their claim to this land on the Bible. It is the deed to this land, a religious deed.

But, man is by nature a rebel. G-d said to Adam and Eve, "Don't eat from that tree," and that is exactly what they did. So, it is not surprising that the founders of

Israel simultaneously staked their claim to independence on the Bible, and then proceeded to ignore it to this very day in so many ways.

Take the case of a top story in Israel's morning newspapers. Yesterday, our High Court judges perched way up there on their Mt. Olympus of Greek-based culture -- not on Mt. Sinai for them -- blocked the installation of the newly-appointed chief rabbi in the IDF, Col. Eyal Karim, because he once explicated verses in the Torah seeming to license the rape of gentile women captured in war. This was four years ago, and when some protested, he made clear, in his words, "Obviously, the Torah never permitted the rape of women and the Biblical verse in Deuteronomy about female captives was meant to prevent rape during wartime. It is clear that in our times, in which the world has progressed to an ethical state in which one does wed female captives, it is certain that this law cannot be utilized, and furthermore, that it totally contradicts the values and regulations of the army."

Now, though, he might add that with the rise of ISIS, man has regressed so that a Believer in the One True Faith of Islam believes he has right to capture and rape and force non-Muslim girls to be his wives.

The rabbi also in other comments compared homosexuals -- I'm reading here from the JPost story -- "to ill people or disabled people. He said homosexuals should fight against their sexual orientation. He also said that women should not give testimony because they are too sensitive and said an injured terrorist should be killed."

Well, I'll drink to that; I've said it myself.

"A source close to Rabbi Karim said, 'Rabbi Karim has explicitly clarified many times that there are Jewish laws that are not in practice in our times and the rabbi has clarified that rape is an illegitimate action that is not permitted.'"

But never mind. The High Court responded to a petition from an NGO of post-Jews to stop his appointment because "he advocated rape and thinks there is something wrong with homosexuals."

This is replay of the last webcast, no? That was about Rabbi Shlomo Amar's interview last Friday in which he also said just about the same thing: sodomites should resist their desire.

And it is no coincidence that in both Hebrew dailies this morning, Yediot and Israel Hayom, the front pages featured the same two stories. One concerned Rabbi Karim and the other the IDF's armored corps (or maybe that's "armored corpse" according to Barack Hussein). The corps is thinking of mixed-sex crews in tanks now. There are now female infantry, combat soldiers, and this is the next step.

In the 1973 Yom Kippur War, one armored corps war hero on the Golan was Avigdor Kahalani, now long-retired, who voiced his objection. In general, he thinks women should be mothers, not combat soldiers, and other veterans of the corps are also shaking their heads because they cannot envision the close quarters of the inside

of a tank for two young men and two young women sometimes for 24 hours at a stretch.

Is it just me, but maybe you have also noticed that this business of mixing the sexes in various ways seems to be in every story these days? Look at the American election: for the majority of the voters, Mrs. Clinton's most important asset drawing votes was her womb. Not her head or her heart or record of achievement and failure. Hillary, forever in a pants suit, is also rumored to have a lesbian lover

Consider the fracas as well three weeks ago at the Western Wall on Rosh Khodesh, the first of the month, when a party of Jews tried to force the mixing of the sexes in prayer, gentile style. This is, is it not, the essence of the Women of the Wall for whom praying next to men is their fondest wish and goal. They want men and women to be in the same space when praying because there is no difference between them -- in their eyes.

This is the generation of Bruce Jenner anatomically male wearing women's clothes; the age of gay marriage in which two people of the same sex pretend that one is the male husband and the other the female "wife." In the MSM these days, male actors and artists shamelessly will speak of their "husbands," who of course in return call them their "wives" and of course use the pronoun "she."

And of course in these news stories this morning in Israel -- about the armed corps considering mixed crews in a tank and the chief rabbi of the army sounding just like rabbis for thousands of years who reject the fashionable Hellenistic pleasure of men sodomizing men as if they were women -- this is what's going on in society, and I think the world is going crazy.

I think to a large extent the hysteria in the States among the loser Clintonites -- hysteria being a mental disorder etymologically rooted in the Latin word for womb -- is a sexual madness. The Trump haters in need of playrooms and hot chocolate are having some kind of hysterical reaction to the defeat of Hillary Clinton who they believed was defeated because of her womb, and only that. Her supporters among the opinionators in the mainstream media spoke of the "glass ceiling" still being there and that's an injustice. All those Trump voters hate women, and that is why they voted as they did. It had nothing to do with this woman's performance as secretary of state who not only helped Obama turn the Middle East into bloody chaos but allowed pretty much the whole world to hack into her department's communications. And never mind the influence-peddling of using her office to enrich herself and her hubby to the tune of hundreds of millions of dollars.

No, people voted against her because they don't want a woman to be equal to a man.

I ended the webcast last Thursday with the thought that the political categories of Left and Right are no longer applicable. The idea of the Left arose in the 1790s

during the French Revolution when the Jacobins, forerunners of modern Stalinists, the hardest of the Left who preached the extreme rejection of conventional social norms, sat on the left side in the French Assembly. They were the ones who also used the guillotine to lop off thousands of heads of people they judged lacking sufficient revolutionary *virtu*. They did not have the right attitude, the right virtue.

Yes, they sat on the left side of the French Assembly, a political term was born, but now I believe it has morphed into something completely different.

\* \* (Musical Interlude) \* \*

Yes, the Left started out two centuries ago and most of the time stood for economic affairs. In the language of the Marxists, what mattered most in society was who owns the means of production; who owns the factories. Should it be the fat capitalists or the common men, the proletariat, the people without property, the people?

Of course, the people should own the factories and they should be led by the “vanguard of the people,” namely the Communist Party that was so virtuous, society needed no other parties.

Socialisms at its most aggressive meant the nationalization of industry and really all business. That is what the Arab Socialists, the Baathists, in Syria did when they seized power in 1970. They nationalized all business, all banks. This is what Castro did, more or less, when he took power in 1959, one of the heroes of the Communist jihadi in the Oval Office. Surely part of Obama’s legacy will be the opening of relations with Cuba, a regime rightly shunned by all American presidents for half a century regardless of party.

Being on the Left used to stand for, in the words the demagogue anti-Jew race hustler Jesse Jackson, “economic equality.” At its most extreme, socialism meant the commune, as in the Israeli kibbutz, where nobody had more money than his neighbor, where money never exchanged hands between the *khaverim*, the members. One doubts that King Billy the Kinky Clinton -- when he shed a tear over the assassinated Yitzhak Rabin and called him in Hebrew his *khaver*/his friend -- knew that it is also the modern Hebrew word for *comrade*, let alone knew that Rabin had been raised by two ardent Communists, which led him toward the Oslo Abomination as a way of casting off all the places religious Jews care about.

But now, with the collapse of socialism as a theory and practice, what the Left has become is no longer an economic ideology but a sexual one. Hence, the mass hysteria when Lady Macbeth Clinton lost, an ideology of “feelings” about sex and the revolutionary need to erase the differences between the sexes. If the Left once dreamed

of ending the distinction between rich and poor, now it dreams of ending the distinctions between male and female.

And I almost forgot. In addition to today's quarrel over the composition of tank crews and the negative views of sodomy that the classical IDF rabbi chosen to be the chief rabbi of the force stands for, last week the MSM here did a story on a, I think, new night club performer, an ex-Yeshiva boy whose shtick is making up half of his face as a woman and wearing either a wig on that side of his head, or has let his hair grow on that side. It wasn't clear in the photograph of him. A "fifty-fifty boy" as they used to exhibit in circus sideshows.

The blessing of homosexual activity, which is a perverse imitation of normal sexual relations between a man and a woman, is more of this drive to mix and mix up the sexes. Heer was this semi drag queen projecting the image simultaneously of being both male and female, a hermaphrodite, even though there never has been such a human being. There are a statistically insignificant number of birth defects in which the reproductive organs are confused, they are not clear, but there has never been, as far as I know, a human being born with both a womb, ovaries, fallopian tubes, a vagina *and* a working complement of male member and testicles, and certainly no such human being capable of relations with himself/herself from which a normal baby has been born and grew to be a normal adult.

It is a fantasy produced in the human mind that does not conform to the real world. That is why it is a fantasy.

In sum, this is a project of mixing and mixing up the sexes, of denying the differences between them as not only the plumbing but the experience of being one or the other. It includes the fantasy of there being such a thing as gender, when there is no evidence of such an organ in the human body. At its most deranged in this state of affairs, a man walks into a psychiatrist's office and declares he really is a woman inside -- when there is no evidence of that -- and receives the psychiatrist's approval than indeed there is nothing wrong with his mind; it is his body that is wrong. And I say, "This is madness."

These two front-page stories in Israel today were just facets of the same craziness that classical Jewishness refuses to go along with.

War hero Avigdor Kahalani said girls should be mothers not combat soldiers, and for sure the Hellenistic Enlightened Israeli now dismisses him as a Neanderthal bigot.

Rabbi Karim also, as noted, spoke of the traditional Jewish objection to women as witnesses because they are so sensitive; that was the translation. The Hebrew word is from the root word for "feeling," and on that score I can understand the classical opinion. I think a year ago when the US Supreme Court blessed same-sex marriage, it would not have without the three women judges. For them, if Harry loves Gary and

Gary loves Harry, who are we to deprive them of their love? An inclination to mercy is not a virtue in a judge.

It was interesting to see today how the two major tabloids featured the same two stories. For the conservative Israel Hayom, the free newspaper sponsored by Sheldon Adelson, the arrogant High Court assuming the right to overrule the IDF's choice for Chief Rabbi and demanding an explanation from him, was featured. He who has been accused of supporting rape and opposing sexual deviance took the center of the front page, with the issue of mixed tank crews half the size in column inches -- versus Yediot Aharanot's layout which was basically the same only inverted in emphasis and space allotted.

It seems a day does not go by these days without stories of the war on the sexually normal.

\* \* (Musical Interlude) \* \*

There was also more information yesterday on Donald Trump's possible choice to head the DOD, the Defense Department, Marine General retired James Mattis. He appears to be a devotee of the famous Middle East expert John Kerry, and the Baal Kerry's views of the Land of the Jews, and that cannot be a good thing.

Evidently, according to JPost's Michael Wilner in the States, Mattis is of the opinion that "the current dynamic" with the Balestinians is "unsustainable." That is John Kerry talking; rather Martin Indyk talking through Kerry's mouth, the ventriloquist and his dummy.

At the Aspen, Colorado Security Forum three years ago, Mattis said, "This has got to be addressed," warning that "Israel risked becoming an apartheid state should the status quo continue." And I've long thought that anyone who links Israel with the word "apartheid" is an antiJew. John Kerry was taught to speak this way by his antiJew Jew vizier Martin Indyk, and here is Mattis sounding like the two of them. He said at Aspen, "I paid a military security price every day as the commander of CENTCOM because the Americans were seen as biased in support of Israel and that moderates, all the moderate Arabs who want to be with us, because they can't come out publicly in support of people who don't show respect for the Arab Balestinians." Michael Wilner said this is the position lauded by J Street.

Yes. This is definitely a mind cluttered with antiJew clichés and accusations. Mattis said that he, that is, the armed forces in the Middle East that he commanded, paid a price every day for supporting the Jews who did not respect the Palestinians. Israel as a burden to the United States.

Note too his use of the term "moderate Arabs." As I note in my book, this expression is never accompanied by specific names. It is one of the verbal tools of today's

antiJews. To speak of “moderates” in the Arab world but never give them names, let alone describe how much support they have in their communities, their countries. These “moderates” of course want peace with Israel but Israel prevents that. He said at Aspen, “We have got to find a way to make the two-state solution that Democrat and Republican administrations have supported. We’ve got to get there and the chances for it are starting to ebb because of the settlements.”

And there you have it. One wrong-headed, antiJew cliché after another.

Well, you can’t win them all. If he is appointed, Israel can live with that if the executive team includes not only President Trump but Vice President Mike Pence and Rudy Giuliani and John Bolton in major positions, everyone a pro-Israelite.

Indeed, as I suggested last week, we could be in for an embarrassment of riches in the matter of friends of Israel never seen in any American administration since 1948.

In this light, the news two days ago in YNETnews.com say that France, in light of the incoming Trump administration, is on the verge, later denied, of cancelling next month’s planned multinational kangaroo court of antiJew states eager to brand the Jewish state a war criminal occupier of the “Palestinian” territories.

I’d pay good money to be able to sit down with Francois Hollande and associates in Paris planning this inquisition and condemnation to ask them, “What do you think you are doing? Israel is not planning and international conference to discuss France’s presence in Corsica. Who do you think you are arrogating the right to pronounce on our future? Do you think you know our neighbors better than we do? Do you think like Barack Obama, who thinks that he and John Kerry and Martin Indyk and Alan Dershowitz know better than us Israelis what is good for us? What’s it to you, the disposition of Judea and Samaria? Whatever its future, it will change nothing in your lives as targets for destruction as a people by the Muslims in your midst.”

Actually, the right thing for France to do, I would tell them, is link arms with Israel in a common front of resistance to Muslim dreams of destroying all non-Muslim peoples.

But, as YNET reported, it looks as if France will have to call off its planned conference to decide the fate of the Jews in their historic homeland that they brought back to life, which continues to bug antiJew peoples like the French.

\* \* (Musical Interlude) \* \*