

B"H

DeProgram Program

From the Mind of Sha'i ben-Tekoa

Transcript

<http://www.deprogramprogram.com>

Date: November 6, 2016 / מר חשוון ו תשע"ז

Parasha: Lech Lecha / לך לך

Title: Liars of the Left

Copyright: Sha'i ben-Tekoa 2016

www.deprogramprogram.com

Shalom laYehudim, Shalom laBnai Noach, Shalom laGoyim. It is the evening of the 2nd day, *vav beMar Heshvan, Parashas Lech Lecha, tav-shin-ayin-zion*, the evening of the 1st day, Sunday, 6 November 2016, webcasting from the historic homeland of the Jews.

That is what the League of Nations called this land 94 years ago when it gave its mandate to the United Kingdom to create a Palestine that did not exist under Muslim tyranny for most of the previous 1,400 years.

There was the Crusader period when Christians ruled for roughly 200 years but otherwise the Muslims were in control.

And what motivated the Christians to invade? Well, the behavior of the spiritual if not genetic forefathers of today's Muslims here. Christian pilgrims to the Holy Land were attacked, raped, robbed and murdered.

In the end, the Christians as rulers lasted only those two centuries, which was sort of predicted by Rashi, the greatest Biblical interpreter of all time, who was 54 years old when the First Crusade was launched, which began in Germany where he had studied, where Crusaders butchered almost all the Jews living in the cities of Worms, Speyer and Mainz, which the Jews had been instrumental in creating.

With the collapse of the Roman Empire at the hands Germanic tribes, Jews began migrating north over the Alps into Germany and settled in those river towns and started doing business as merchants and craftsmen. Like the major Jewish community in 17th century Amsterdam during the heyday of the Dutch Empire, which remained a city of maybe 25% Jews into the 20th century, so Worms, Speyer and Mainz were heavily Jewish; like Vienna in its glory days, 25% Jewish at the outbreak of World

War II; like New York City in the 20th century with its 25% Jewish population when New York became the de facto capital of the world, in business, commerce, culture, the movie industry launched by Jews and the radio and TV industries launched by Jews.

And so Worms and Mainz and Speyer on the Rhine River flourished and then became the first targets of the Crusaders even before they left Germany for the Holy Land. They butchered most of those Jews and of course looted their property

94 years ago, the League of Nations, the victors during World War I, answered the pleas of the Jewish people that had been restoring the wasteland that the Holy Land had become under Muslim rule, for roughly a half-century by this time. So when Dr. Chaim Weizmann, the world famous chemist who made a major scientific contribution to the British war effort, he was rewarded with the Balfour Declaration to his request for a homeland, and not necessarily an independent state. Weizmann would have been content with a Jewish Crown Colony. In fact, it is perhaps little known that the Zionist movement, though launched in 1897 by Theodor Herzl, who was succeeded by Weizmann, was not a movement for an independent Jewish state. That did not come, believe it or not, until the Biltmore Conference in 1942 after the dimensions of the Holocaust-in-progress became clear. Until then, something less than full independence for an exclusively Jewish state had not been the idea. Following the Mandate, a small group of Enlightened intellectuals called themselves Brit Shalom/the Covenant of Peace even called for a bi-national or nondenominational state in which Jews and Arabs would be equal. Leading the group was Judah Magnes, president of the Hebrew University that opened its doors that same year. Magnes was an American Reform rabbi, and Reform Jews have chronically been opposed to Jewish particularity because it makes the goyim angry and elicits protests of Jews being cliquish and clannish and exclusionary.

Well, the war against Jewish particularity beginning with the very idea of Israel as the historic homeland of the Jews never ends, as we saw in those two UNESCO decisions last month.

And today we learned that the so-called Palestinian Authority run by Arabs, whose grandparents denied there was such a country as Palestine, will continue their attack within UNESCO on this land as historically the Jewish people's land. We learned that the PA will now claim that the Dead Sea Scrolls, some on display, some still being studied, really belong to them, the Ancient Palestinians, the way the Temple Mount belongs to them, and never mind the language written in the Scrolls is not "Palestinian," not Arabic, but the language of the Jews.

How could this be? I might fork over good money to be able to find out just how the Arabs here justify in their minds ownership of these scrolls. For sure, they claim the scrolls were found in Balestine, which is "their" ancient homeland, but so

what? In every country in the world, one can find the property of foreigners. For example, a British tourist flies to the States for business or a vacation with a suitcase. When he is physically on American soil, that suitcase is still his. It does not belong to America. Likewise the scrolls are Jewish.

Again, though, I am afraid we have another example of the mind of the Arab enemy, Ishmael the Thief, who is oblivious to his own larcenous urges. All he knows is that he wants something belonging to others, and comes up with a story to justify grabbing the desired object. A couple of weeks ago, there was a mob of Muslims in Rome who held an outdoor prayer session before the Coliseum to indicate their claim to that structure too.

Again, I am afraid, we have another example of why mature negotiations for a compromise settlement with these people are simply impossible.

Unfortunately, Israelis, thanks to the worldview of Israel's founders, remain far from the knowledge and wisdom of the Sages who understood the Muslims quite well. Maimonides in his Epistle to Yemen called them the greatest enemies of the Jews, he who also lived in the age of the Crusades, a generation after the slaughter of Jews in Worms, Mainz and Speyer. He felt that strongly about Islam; it was worse than Christendom. Arabic was his mother tongue. He lived among them all his life.

In fairness to them, though, they are not the first goy/foreign people to deny Jewish history here. Flavius Josephus living in the first century, here at the time of the destruction of the Second Temple, wrote three books and one them was *Contra Apion*. Apion was a nasty, pagan Jew-hater, hater of the Jewish religion, before the rise of Christianity, who also mocked Jewish claims to being an ancient people in its land, at that point some 1,400 years after Joshua had led the Children of Israel over Jordan. Apion mocked that claim.

Indeed, speaking of the Crusades, the Christian campaigns to retake Jerusalem, some Jewish historians claim the Crusades are what led to Rashi's famous first comment on the *Torah miSinai*. He cites earlier Sages who pondered the curiosity that although the Torah contains over 600 laws for the nation of Israel, the first one is Rosh Khodesh/the New Moon. The Jews are required to a calendar for themselves as a nation and this command does not come until Passover Eve in the Book of Exodus.

For sure, the history of the Patriarchs, the forefathers and foremothers of the people born out of Egypt is told in Genesis, but even so: what is the purpose of the first 11 chapters Rashi wants to know before even Abraham enters the picture? You know, the creation of the world, the celestial bodies, the grasses, the animals, the Flood and the Tower of Babel? Well, said Rashi, there will come a time -- or there always will be people -- who challenge the Jewish claim that G-d gave this Land to them, and the first chapters make clear that the Creator of All This made that decision. It is His world and this is what He wants. Rashi was responding to the Crusader claim to ownership

of the Holy Land, and Rashi predicted they would never succeed. Of course, they did for a time, but in the end the Crusader kingdoms died off and the land returned to Muslim rule.

Everybody wants a piece of the action here. The worst of them are these delusional Muslims like Holocaust Deniers now demanding ownership the Dead Sea Scrolls, and the best of them are the liberal democracies who still keep their embassies in Tel-Aviv because even they cannot tolerate respecting the wishes of the G-d of Israel. They too must maintain some kind of control denying the Jews full respect for our sovereign possession of this Land.

This is expressed in supporting the Ancient Palestinians and their demand for a state in the heart of the heart of the Land of Israel that does not belong to the people of Israel.

* * (Musical Interlude) * *

Yes, the essence of the Balestinian national movement respected globally these days is the view that the Jews do not have a 100% right to this real estate; certainly no exclusive, proprietary right to Palestine. It is no greater than that of the historic Balestinians, with the Israeli Left sentimentally in bed with the goyim. They call themselves “the peace camp.”

Last night, they were out in force in what today is called Rabin Square in front of the Tel-Aviv Municipality, location of the rally in 1995 that Rabin addressed and then, when leaving, was murdered. Every year at this time, such a rally is held and commonly its theme is hatred of the Israeli Right. Last week, this year’s rally was in doubt because the previous years’ sponsors excused themselves, so in the end it was funded by the Zionist Union Party.

This is the old Labor Party in disguise. One of the indicators of the death of 20th century leftism is the ever-changing names; Labor is forever renaming itself with each election. For too long, this community called itself the Labor Party when not one of its leaders had ever been a worker. Indeed, this party of politicians has long since had no ties to Jewish labor, such as it is and indeed that is because its principle concern has been settling peace with the “Palestinians,” as they call the Arabs; and never mind the founders of the Labor Party, Ben-Gurion, Golda Meir, who never called them that. And never mind that the Arabs didn’t call themselves “Palestinians” either.

In a nutshell, the Left is intellectually incapable of calling them Arabs, as the early Labor Party people did. And they can’t call them Muslims. They need to see them as “Palestinians” with the same right to statehood as their fellow Jews, which is the international consensus of antiJew wisdom in our time. The Jews do not have exclusive ownership of the Land of Israel. That claim is challenged, just as Rashi predicted a thousand years ago.

So last night, the head of the Zionist Union, their latest disguise since their Oslo scheme blew up in everybody's face, Isaac Herzog, presided over the evening that was headlined, this was theme, "The fight against incitement and hatred."

Yes, his mind and the mind of his fellow Leftists, remembering Rabin is remembering the "incitement and hatred" of the right that led to his murder. This remains, 21 years later, a lie in Leftist circles that Rabin's murder resulted from the "incitement" by Prime Minister Netanyahu because he addressed a rally in Jerusalem in 1995 where some in the crowd shouted, "Rabin is a traitor!" And never mind the video record of the event showing the prime minister -- I remember it well -- shouting back, "Rabin is not a traitor. He is badly mistaken but he is not a traitor. We are part of the same nation. We are dealing with political rivals, not enemies."

To JPost's credit today, they published this fact that debunks the ugly repetition of this nasty lie that we hear every year.

Responding to a poorly expressed idea by Likud MK David Bitan, Zionist Union MK and Deputy Knesset Speaker Hilik Bar said, "The murder was preceded by organized incitement hatred and persecution from the extreme right, marking targets and accusing the political left of treason."

What is also parallel to the lie of incitement to murder is the implicit accusation here that Netanyahu was so profoundly stupid as to publicly indicate that he wanted someone to murder Rabin and said so. To this day, the Israeli Left clings to this fantasy of Netanyahu and the right inciting people to murder Rabin, and rabbis also inciting people to murder him. Never happened. The Left always does this. Criticize their ideas, and they shout you are inciting people to kill us.

Well, I can understand their feelings. At some level of awareness, they know they have sided with the enemy. This is implicit in the criticism and they rightly fear assassination as traitors.

It is also classic Leftist behavior not to respond to intelligent, logical opposition to their proven, crackpot ideas by accusing the critic of being an immoral person who does not want peace. This is the mind of the Peace Now cult. "Agree with us, or be branded as not wanting peace now. Only Leftists want peace. The Right wants want war and the continued oppression of the victims of Zionism."

Is there another nation with such a high percentage of fellow citizens who side with the enemy? For sure, the Holy One Blessed Be He created everything that is, including Christianity whose central claim against the Jews is the betrayal of one of their own, and there could be something to that. We have suffered the torments of Christianity as punishment, perhaps, for betraying one another. That is the Israeli Left.

Last night another Zionist Union MK Stav Shaffir told JPost at the rally that "Rabin was murdered because of his politics." Not his policies? "There is no logic," she said,

“behind hiding his vision. If we do not fight for his vision, the terrorist who killed him has won.”

And never mind that Rabin’s vision was not what she thinks it was. In his last speech to the Knesset before his murder, he made clear that the Oslo accords did not call for an independent Palestinian state. He did not support that idea as she thinks.

The Left is simply incapable of pointing the finger of guilt for the absence of peace at the Arabs. It is the fault of the Jewish Right.

Why do they do that?

* * (Musical Interlude) * *

So in response to the classic behavior of the Israeli Left last night led by the reprehensible Boojie Herzog who earlier in the day said the hatred at the time Rabin was murdered is the same hatred today, and indicated Netanyahu led that hatred, Education Minister of the Jewish Home Party Naftali Bennett wrote, “Boojie, that was dirty. Netanyahu never incited against Rabin. For 21 years, you have been exploiting the murder in order to silence the Right and it will not succeed.”

Last night at that rally, MK Tsipi Livni also said, “We came to prevent the shaming and persecution of journalists and officers and judges. We are here to prevent the next political murder.”

In this, she was alluding to a couple of current issues. One is the decision last year to dismantle the Israeli Broadcasting Authority, Israel’s BBC, and replace it with a more balanced corporation, less loaded with Leftists, and then the prime minister’s recent reversal of support in discovering the Left was going to dominate the new corporation too.

And the other issue this week is the clash between Justice Ministress Ayelet Shaked’s decision to revamp the way judges are appointed to Israel’s High Court – it’s about time – and Chief Justice Miriam Naor who opposes the plan, of course. The late, great American jurist Robert Bork called Israel’s Supreme Court the worst in the West because it is a clique of self-perpetrating Leftists who choose their successors and never pay attention to the will of the people, i.e., their representatives in the Knesset and the government they choose to lead them. Robert Bork cited Israel’s court as the worst offender of judicial activism, the mistaken belief that High Court judges can overrule whatever the Legislature wants to do. This is a court that rules on battlefield tactics of the IDF. Whoever heard of the US Supreme Court dictating to troops in the field what their tactics should or should not be?

Likewise, the disposition of the land taken in military self-defense in 1967 by a true people’s army. What to do with Judea and Samaria is for the national assembly to decide, not the High Court.

The justices arrogantly write opinions in which too often the authority for the opinion is “enlightened opinion in an enlightened society.” They also rule that something

is “unconstitutional,” which is an expression stolen from the United States. Israel has no constitution. Likud Minister of Tourism Yariv Levin, a highly intelligent intellectual, said of the letter of rebuke sent by Chief Justice Naor to Justice Ministress Shaked in response to her ideas for a new way of appointing judges, “This shows her view that the Court does not belong to the state but is above it, as if it is her private domain.” How true.

Naor had sent this unprecedented open letter to Shaked, so the media covered it, in which she protested Shaked’s idea that she, as Justice Ministress and others in the political echelon, have the right to appoint judges against the Supreme Court’s wishes.

I don’t know, maybe it’s because I am an American, but I never heard of such an idea in which the US Supreme Court makes the decisions when it comes to appointing new justices following the retirement of old ones. Judged against that standard, the Israeli practice is simply revolting. In the JPost report today, Naor’s letter contained the veiled threat that any change in the way new judges are chosen would be judged by the Court as unconstitutional -- and again, there is no constitution here.

Such is the Israeli Left that never outgrew its Stalinist roots that taught them they are the Vanguard of the People and thus deserve to rule in a one-party state.

Think of that Orwellian language for a moment. All the communist states starting with the Soviet Union claimed to be “one-party states,” which makes no logical sense, because the word party comes from the word part. So if it is a “one-party state,” where is the other party, or parts of society? This is the kind of Orwellian perversion of dishonest language he was writing about in *1984* and *Animal Farm*.

This is the character of the Left everywhere. Last night, they headlined their gathering “The fight against incitement and hatred,” when it was their rally of incitement and hatred of the Right. It is the Left who are the liars and purveyors of hatred based on lies.

* * (Musical Interlude) * *

Last item. On Friday, November 4, the American Thinker.com website posted a piece by me called, “The Greatest Spy Story Ever Told” and its genesis was a video out there some of you may already have seen, an eight-minute biography of Huma Abedin, which I judge to be one of the most important, amazing videos of the current election campaign in the States. Posted with this webcast is the link to that video. It is a must-see.

As I say in the piece, snippets of who this woman is have been around for some time, with talk of her family connections to the Muslim Brotherhood. Well, this video puts it all together and it is an amazing story. It seems now that Huma Abedin has been, arguably, along with Barack Obama, the greatest secret agent in history, another dissembling Muslim who as right-hand woman to Hillary Clinton as Secretary of State had access to all the secrets Hillary had. It is simply mindboggling to contemplate.

06.11.16.

DeProgramProgram.com

I imagine that Tuesday's webcast will contain a prayer to the Almighty to have mercy on the United States even though it deserves a Hillary victory; the mercy will be a Trump victory, not because I am such a fan of his but horrified by her. Aside from her utter corruption as an influence peddler, she is a complete idiot when it comes to national defense.

Until then, *Leila tov from Eretz Yisrael.*