

B"H

DeProgram Program

From the Mind of Sha'i ben-Tekoa

Transcript

<http://www.deprogramprogram.com>

Date: October 10, 2016 / ה'תשע"ז

Parasha: Haazinu / האזינו

Title: Savages

Copyright: Sha'i ben-Tekoa 2016

www.deprogramprogram.com

Shalom laYehudim, Shalom laBnai Noach, Shalom laGoyim. It is the evening of the 2nd day, *lebes beTishrei Parasha Haazinu*, the evening of the 1st day, Sunday, 9 October 2016, webcasting from the Land of Israel that the world community overwhelmingly insists is Palestine of the Palestinians.

But first, the bloody, daily scorecard. Two more dead Jews, a 60 year-old woman and a 29-year-old, just married, heroic policeman who placed himself between the savage and pedestrians in Jerusalem after he murdered her at the Ammunition Hill light rail stop and fled into Shimon HaTzadik.

Not surprisingly, before the day was out, the secular, moderate, peace-loving Fatah posted its secular opinion that the murderer was a holy man, a martyr, a *shahid*, and never mind the oddity of these secular, nationalist patriots speaking in such terms. And never mind my doorstopper of a book that records hundreds, I guess, examples of Arab propaganda by Fatah that is couched exclusively in religious terms. And I doubt there are many like yours truly who know that the very word Fath is a chapter title in the Koran. I'll bet the late Mr. Peres did not know that.

Not only is the "Palestinian" national identity a hoax, so is claim that Fatah represents the secular among the so-called Palestinians; that too is a hoax. We live in world of lies, an *alma dishikera*.

So I guess it is proper that world leaders are the greatest liars of all, like Obama and Kerry, whose State Department protested as a "new settlement" the 98 homes for Jewish families the Government is going to build in Shiloh, though the Government said the construction is within the confines of an existing settlement pre-Oslo, which

was given permission by Oslo to live a normal life, which means adding homes within its territory.

The prime minister's office issued a statement along these lines but did not point out that the charge was a lie. What it might have done is say, "The United States is Israel's best friend and we believe it has Israel's best interest at heart, so we can only conclude that US intelligence was faulty and the administration was fed a lie, which Israel is confident it will want to correct."

It really is disheartening when the government in Washington responds as it did with a lie. Political Israel universally observed that this was an unusually harsh State Department statement criticism, and perhaps that is because the State Department knew it was lying and the harshness was meant to throw sand in everyone's eyes; disheartening when any government lies about Jews, my definition of antisemitism.

Erstwhile teenage communist Federica Mogherini likewise used the occasion to doubt Israel's commitment of the Two-State Solution and even to peace.

More lying, because the Two-State Solution is camouflage for the expulsion of Jews on a scale not seen since WWII and far greater than the great expulsion from Spain over five hundred years ago.

And I doubt that tomorrow we will read of similar harsh remarks from Washington and from Brussels directed this time at Fatah for declaring the savage murderer today a martyr, a hero, a holy man. We will likely hear nothing.

And make no mistake; he was a savage, a term unfortunately no longer politically correct in the West, thanks to the thought police and the thought policeman in the Oval Office who by imperial fiat declared early in his term that the human mind must never connect Islam with savage murderers.

When was the last time you watched a mainstream media newscast in which the anchor person used the term "savage" to describe these Arab Muslim assassins and suicide cultists, call them barbarians?

It used to be a word that gave no trouble to the most liberal and open-minded of Westerners, for example, as the principle author of the American Declaration of Independence Thomas Jefferson, the Patron Saint of American Liberalism whose declaration was largely an indictment of the crimes against the American colonists committed by agents of King George III, one of them being the charge that he had "excited domestic insurrections amongst us, and has endeavoured to bring on the inhabitants of our frontier, the merciless Indian Savages, whose known rule of warfare, is an undistinguished destruction of all ages, sexes and conditions."

Which, I say, is as good a definition of terrorism as any today.

Jefferson himself, it should be noted, had grown up on the frontier and knew the Indians well. He knew of their good qualities and virtues but also of their cruelty. During the rebellion, the Crown had indeed been supported by the Indians who rightly

saw in the rebellion American colonists hungry for even more land. Indeed, one reputable historian suggested that more non-combatant colonists -- families, men, women and children -- were killed by Indians during the Revolutionary War than those who fell in battle fighting against British and Hessian troops. The Indians liked to strike at dawn when bleary-eyed settlers on the frontier would wake up and go outside to answer nature's call and be pounced upon with their pants down, literally, a good time to bash them in the head with a tomahawk.

And neither did Thomas Jefferson after the Revolution, when posted to Europe and was faced with hijacking and hostage-taking by Muslims, refrain from calling them savages and barbarians too.

It certainly would be a refreshing change for official Israel and all the liberal nominally free Western liberal democracies to drop the term "terrorist" which has become so worn it no longer has any impact. I look forward to the day when the MSM here and everywhere stops calling these murderers terrorists and calls them murderers; calls them savages; calls them homicidal Muslim maniacs.

And what also had yours truly today sighing over was the information that today's murderer was "due to turn himself in and begin a four-month jail term after being convicted almost two years ago, in January 2015, on numerous counts of inciting to terror and supporting a terror organization." I say that that language also has to go. How about "inciting to murdering Jews and belonging to a Jew-killing murder cult?"

In any case, I think Israel needs a spiritual overhaul for treating such men no longer this way. Giving him a four-month sentence for inciting to mass murder, which is what inciting to terror commonly means in practice; what is the point? What is the purpose? To reform him? To deter others? His crime, I think, was posting on his Facebook page praise for Hamas and other terrorist groups and calling for attacks on Israelis. I am of the opinion that the only true deterrent is expulsion. Such men must be booted from the country with the clothes on their backs as soon as sentence is pronounced.

Israel might also tell the outraged enlightened world when that happens that this is the most humane response, more so than putting them in prison. And why must Israel pay for his room and board in that period? Such criminals must be expelled.

The least intelligent thing to do that Israel should know by now after 23 failed, bloody years of Shimon Peres's utopian Oslo fantasy, is trying to negotiate with them some amicable deal, some compromise.

Fatah not only posted what it did today about this "martyr" after the latest slaying of two Jews, it also wrote, "Due to the barbaric escalation being perpetrated by the occupation government and the herds of settlers, which includes, killing, violence, destruction and an attempt to instill fear in our Palestinian cities, villages and refugee camps and particularly in Jerusalem, we announced our complete objection to crimes

of these types being carried out before the international community and its human rights organizations. These organizations have not succeeded in forcing the occupation to abide by international law, and not treat it as an entity that is above international law...”

I hope you realize the crimes referred to here are the crimes of killing the attackers, like the savage this morning.

And I say that with such people, negotiations are just plain stupid and even stranger than anything in Lewis Carroll’s Wonderland. Here are these bloodthirsty Muslim ghouls accusing Israel of violating international law, when these are the same people who brought down the World Trade Center, these hijackers of international airliners, who place bombs aboard them to blow them out of sky. What do they know of international law?

Israel has to do a restart, do a reset on how it looks at the Arabs here, these Muslims, and how to respond to their savagery.

* * (Musical Interlude) * *

Yes, the international response to the decision to build 98 new homes for Jewish families at Shiloh was as angry as the one in Washington, and Netanyahu, yesterday I believe, tried to explain to Kerry that it was necessary to build new homes for the families at Amona who are slated to be expelled from their homes come December, to be driven from them because the world insists Judea and Samaria must be Jew-free, because that is what the Ancient Palestinians want, and never mind that the Arabs’ desires do not end with this demand. They are completely open about never recognizing the right of Jews to independence in our ancient homeland. In fact, even if Judea and Samaria were to become, from their point of view, blessedly Jew-free, they would still insist that Israel 1949-67 is their land too. We know this from the maps they produce.

Not that Obama and Kerry and Mogherini etc. ever mention this.

And last Thursday, the antiJew New York Times got into the act by siding with the Muslim murderers here in supporting the idea of a Security Council resolution dictating to the Jews what their borders will be and, presumably, they will be pretty much the 1949 cease-fire lines as the Jew-killers insist.

The New York Times is a real symbol of the fate of millions of Jews who came to America. Their offspring overwhelmingly do not live Jewish lives. They observe no Sabbath, no dietary discipline. Their children and grandchildren have married non-Jews and thus have left the Jewish people.

The New York Times became, so to speak, their “rebbe,” their spokesman, and it was the handiwork of German Reform Jews, the Ochs and Sulzberger and Dryfoos families, who lived according to that template of dissolution over time. Orthodox Jews

who become Reform Jews can expect within three to four generations to leave the Jewish people altogether. In 1896, the undistinguished, at the time, New York Times was purchased by Adolph Ochs, who was born in the US to Reform German Jews who had fled Germany in mid-century. He himself had married a Jewess, likewise their son and grandson too, but the current publisher Arthur Sulzberger Jr. had a mother who was an Episcopalian and so he was raised as one. Right on schedule, the fourth generation is the last and lost generation.

And it was never a coincidence that the Times was hostile to the Zionist movement from Day One. It could not be otherwise because it felt the movement would cast the slander of dual loyalty on American Jews desperate to be accepted as full-blooded Americans.

And so as writer Laurel Leff showed some years back in her book entitled *Buried by the Times*, the Times during the Holocaust likewise buried news of the horror. To make mention of it could cast doubt that the publishers cared more about those foreign Jews than their own fellow Americans. The Times is a major source in my book and consistently is exposed to be as villainous toward Israel as it was toward the Jews in Europe during the Holocaust.

So last Thursday, the Times editorialist advocated the very thing the editorialist knows is the last thing Israel wants. The editorial called on the MBA/the Muslim Brotherhood Agent in the Oval Office to leader the charge in the Security Council for a resolution laying down guidelines for a peace agreement.

In other words, Israel is not allowed to decide its future. The Security Council will do that for Israel.

Admittedly, the probably deJudaized editorialist wrote, “that may seem like a bureaucratic response unlikely to change anything but it is the kind of political pressure Mr. Netanyahu abhors has been working assiduously to prevent.”

Indeed, The Times wants action that the prime minister of Israel abhors, which implies that the Times editorialist believes he and Barack Obama and the Security Council know what’s best for Israel, for the Ancient Palestinians and the world.

John Kerry, for sure, thinks he knows better than Benjamin Netanyahu does what is best for Israel; Barack Obama too. Someday I hope some journalist-historian interviews the two of them and asks for their explanation, their understanding, of how it came about that they know better what’s best for Israel than Israelis themselves, people who democratically keep electing Netanyahu to lead them. It would be interesting to hear what they have so say about their own superior wisdom and how they came by it.

For sure, during the Peres funeral a week ago Friday, the speech delivered by Barack Obama was one subtle insult after another of the prime minister sitting in front of him. All his praise for Peres was couched in such a way as to imply that the current prime minister dishonors him by not acting like him toward the “victims of Zionism.”

What a disgusting spectacle that was. All those foreign dignitaries whose presence was intended to support the pro-Muslim policy that Peres preached.

Meanwhile in New York City, the UN is planning an informal Security Council session slated for this Friday called to discuss “Illegal Israeli Settlements: Obstacles to Peace and the Two-State Solution.” This meeting came about at the initiative of Angola, Malaysia, Venezuela, Senegal and Egypt.

Aside from Egypt, one wants to know what these states have to do with the situation here? What business is it of theirs? Are there no issues and problems closer to home? Venezuela, for sure, may be on the way to becoming the first failed state in the Western hemisphere.

Well, as yours truly has opined before, behavior like this makes me smile as further proof of the power of Jewishness, its truth.

How the world is obsessed with us.

* * (Musical Interlude) * *

Well, there was more information that came out about last week’s action out of Gaza, the two days of missile attacks and the IDF’s plainly intensified response. Military reporters in the MSM here took note of the intensity of the IDF reprisal that was far greater than in the past. This is the new policy under Avigdor Lieberman, to hit them harder.

But also illuminating was the information that what triggered last week’s missile attacks out of Gaza is the friction between Hamas and a rival Islamist cult, the one responsible for all these recent projectiles, called *Aknaf Bait al-Maqdis*, meaning Supporters of the Temple, *i.e.* the temple of Suleiman. That is the Arab mangling of Shlomo/Solomon, and never mind that as Muslims they surely teach nothing of what went on in the Temple. All they know is that Suleiman was a Muslim and therefore his Temple belongs to them too.

Anyways, a few years ago, this al-Qaida type outfit was allied with Hamas in Syria, but then they had a fight and they broke off relations and switched sides. In Gaza last week, those rockets were fired into Sderot as a way of triggering an Israeli response against Hamas. It was a way of getting the IDF to attack their rivals whom they claim are holding some of their men.

And in my book I reference several incidents in which rival terror groups perpetrate terror against Israel as part of their own rivalry. The hijacking of the *Achille Lauro* cruise ship was one of them.

According to the latest report, Aknaf is now an ISIS affiliate, though another, even smaller group called Al-Fahd al-Sabah also claimed responsibility for the same rocket, and how reminiscent this is of the 1970s and 80s in Lebanon when the PLO ruled the roost and different groups would claim credit for the same attacks on Israel. When they weren’t trying to murder Jews, they fought one another in street fights, gang-fights.

The only difference now is the typology. Back then, the group names were variations on the vocabulary of the FLN in Algeria, the National Liberation Front there, *e.g.* the Popular Front for the Liberation of Palestine, the PFLP, which split, then the new group became the Democratic Popular Front for the Liberation Palestine, the DPFLP. And then there is the PFLP-General Command.

And then there was the PLF, Palestine Liberation Front and so on and so forth, a dozen or eighteen groups all with these pseudo-political names. Today, though, with the collapse of the Soviet Union that backed the PFLP and indeed the Left as a whole, the default typology is Islamic.

Anyway you cut it, it is just this century's transformation of the tribalism at the root of Arab society that they have never outgrown. The Arabs never outgrew it and rose to a national identity. Witness the collapse of Iraq and Syria, both creations of the West imposed on subnational groups that has now failed.

Witness the 23 years of failure of Hamas and Fatah, both Islamic concepts, to unite together and become a unified nation.

And there is a connection between this tribalism, which is based basically on blood lines, and the sub-civilized, sexual behavior of these sons of Ham and Ishmael, their sick abuse of women, boys and girls.

Likewise in Gaza between the smaller Islamist gangs. In the reportage, we learned that in August 2009, one Sheikh Abdel Latif Moussa, leader of *Jund Ansar Allah*, meaning the Army of God's Disciples, declared that the Gaza Strip was now an Islamic emirate and vowed to attack Hamas for failing to enforce Sharia law.

This is another chronic feature of life in Araby. Every ruling group has enemies that want to overthrow it, and the reason is always the same: the rulers are insufficiently pious.

As a result of this declaration, Hamas gunmen surrounded the Sheik's mosque during the very Friday sermon that he announced his emirate, and in the ensuing fire, he and 23 others were killed and more than 130 were wounded. That was on their imitation Jewish Sabbath of Friday.

This is Gaza, and this would be the fate of Judea and Samaria if Obama, Kerry, Mogherini & Co. had their way. Either these people are profoundly ignorant, or they are profoundly antisemitic in striving toward driving Jews from Judea and Samaria in order to turn it all over to the Muslims in the In the belief this would install peace for Israel, the Ancient Ones and the region.

Idiots. These are antisemitic idiots.

* * (Musical Interlude) * *

10.10.16.

DeProgramProgram.com