

B"H

DeProgram Program

From the Mind of Sha'i ben-Tekoa

Transcript

<http://www.deprogramprogram.com>

Date: *September 27, 2016 / כה אלול תשע"ו*

Parasha: *Nitzavim / נצבים*

Title: *This Is OUR Country*

Copyright: *Sha'i ben-Tekoa 2016*

www.deprogramprogram.com

Shalom laYehudim, Shalom laBnai Noach, Shalom laGoyim. It is the evening of the 4th day, *kaf-heb beElul, Parashas Nitzavim, tav-shin-ayin-vav*, the evening of the 3rd day, Tuesday, 27 September 2016, webcasting from Israel forever running from its true self.

So, it was simultaneously good news and bad news yesterday to learn that on Sunday, the Jerusalem Magistrate's Court sentenced a Muslim preacher to eight months in prison for incitement for having encouraged Believers on the Temple Mount in a sermon in December of 2014 to "slaughter Jews," whom he called "monkeys and pigs." He was videoed saying all this outside Al-Aqsa, which video was posted on YouTube and viewed by tens of thousands.

That was the good news but also the bad for sentencing him to prison. I think that as punishment that is so wrong.

Prisons, you know, have a history, and it does not begin with the Jews. There is nothing in Torah about prison, as that term connotes today, as punishment. Judaism knows jails used to detain suspects before their trial, but prison as punishment? I don't think so.

More precisely, in modern times, prisons have been managed by a department of corrections. One American state government after another has such a department of corrections because starting with the Quaker prison reformers of the late 18th century, the notion of prison as "correction" came into being.

More precisely, it was this movement that led to calling a prison a penitentiary, for the Quakers wanted prison time to be more than just the deprivation of freedom as punishment. It was time for the criminal to meditate on his crimes and repent what

he had done, to become a penitent, so hard core criminals would be sentenced to the “state pen.”

And of course, as with so much in the modern state of Israel founded by post-religious Jews, the idea of prisons comes from non-Jewish sources.

The Torah speaks of lashes i.e. corporal punishment, and thieves must not only return what they stole but add 20%.

So I wonder what is the purpose of incarcerating Sheik Omar Abu Sara who said what he said in December two years ago? “Jews are the most evil creatures ever on the Earth. Jews are a people, and G-d made them monkeys and pigs. We live in days when we are in close proximity with the Jews. I say to the Jews clearly, ‘It is time to slaughter you. It is time to fight you. It is time to kill you and, God willing, we are ready. We will free this land from your filth.’” And I ask, what is the purpose of giving prison time to this fellow? In order to correct him? To make him a penitent? That he will change his behavior?

Prison makes him lose his physical freedom for a time. He will not eat his favorite foods but his food will be palatable. He will not go hungry. He will have time to read, perhaps, who knows these days, use the Internet, watch TV. What is the point? Will incarceration make him, according to the old cliché, “pay his debt to society?”

No. I think what Israel has to do is rethink the use of prison for such Arabs living here in our country, and the two most important words in this statement are “our country.” What Israel needs to return to expelling men like these, which Israel used to.

Here is Donald Trump and the remaining sane people in America demanding a wall to keep out of the country people that Americans don’t want in their country. Every country has similar immigration laws.

I remember within months of the Six-Day War a senior, perhaps the most senior preacher in Al-Aqsa, as-Sayegh was the name, who was observed giving, and not for the first time, a sermon like this against Israel’s conquest of Jerusalem, after which the Shin Bet arrested him, put him in some vehicle, drove him down to the Allenby Bridge and kicked him out of the country, that is, he was expelled to Jordan, where he was given some sinecure.

Expulsion was used as late as the first Intifada. At one point, if memory serves. Rabin had a whole group of Arab rebels flown into the Security Zone in southern Lebanon and abandoned there. Only, true to form, Rabin, this serial retreat, was tongue-lashed by the goyim overseas and let them return. And we haven’t seen expulsion since then.

Admittedly, at this moment, and for eight years now, Israel has had to walk on eggshells because of the enemy who has occupied the Oval Office. But, at some point, Israel has to return its senses as they were following the Six Day War, when it was

proud and knew its rights; when it used expulsion as a response to incitement like this and engaging in other hostile acts. Israel needs to declare to Planet Earth that this is our country and we decide who lives here and who may no longer here, starting with Arabs and Muslims like this one.

Now, contemporary Israelis may believe in theory that this is our country but that means, from time to time, we must act on that belief and even if the goyim don't like it. It is one thing, theoretically, to declare this is a Jewish country and another to take action on the basis of this foundational principle of the Jewish state.

Trouble is, world antiJewry, starting with Israel's own enlightened, post-Zionist, post-Jewish Leftists, would howl in protest against the common belief that one has a natural-born right not to be expelled from one's place of birth. I could be wrong, but aside from the old Soviet Union and other dictatorial regimes, I don't think any of the liberal democracies expel malefactors. They imprison them and sometimes execute them but not expel them.

But I say, why not? In what body of laws written in concrete does it say that a people cannot expel people born into their society?

Trouble is, in Israel, the post-Jews rage against the very idea of a Jewish state. They want a "state of all its citizens" as the enemy Arab Asmi Bishara used to say before his treason and flight from the country.

I remember as well one year on Independence Day, I think it was the Meretz Party in which the late Yossi Sarid was still an important leader, that took out an ad in the newspapers celebrating Israel Independence, and in a subhead said Israel was proud to be "a multicultural society." These are the type of post-Jewish Israelis who demand absolute equality between Jews and Arabs and other non-Jews, and, in truth, this tension has been there from the beginning, thanks to the conflicted identity crises of its founders. Think of Israel's Declaration of Independence that begins with a reference to the Bible to legitimize the declaration, a text presided over by post-religious Jews, starting with David ben-Gurion and his dominant socialist stream of Zionists.

To this day, Israel's post-Jews are adamant that Israel is and must be "Jewish and democratic." Just the definition "Jewish state" is not good enough in their eyes and even wrong.

It is really a dishonest expression because democracy is not what they have in mind when they used this expression. They mean a simultaneously "Jewish and non-Jewish" state, meaning, they don't want to be limited in their behavior by Jewish law and practices, that is, religious norms. At its worst, these are the Israelis who fly off to Cyprus to get married because the enlightened woman does not believe in the practice of mikveh.

These are people for whom their identity as Jews has little meaning. They are in their own eyes, “Israelis.”

So when it comes to expelling Arabs and Muslims calling for the massacre of Jews who are not even really human but apes and pigs, as it says in the Koran, they would oppose that. They want to be normal, and it is not normal to expel people from the land where they were born.

When this Sheik Omar Abu Sara was on trial, the one just convicted, during which he was accused of being a racist, he replied he was not a racist but a preacher of Islam quoting the Koran which does explain the connection between Jews and pigs, Jews and apes.

I think Israel misses a great opportunity in sending this Muslim to prison. He should be publically and ceremoniously, in a major televised media event, expelled from the country for calling for the mass murder of Jews, with the authorities proclaiming that this is our country and we decide who lives here and who does not.

* * (Musical Interlude) * *

Yes, Israel must proclaim to the planet that everyone is entitled to believe what they want to believe, even in the rightness of calling for the mass murder of Jews.

And Israel has the right to banish anyone who calls for that belief to be carried out, a.k.a. encourages people to massacre the Jews. What’s the problem? We should kick them out.

Israel has to declare that within its borders, belligerent, imperial Islam will not be tolerated.

And make no mistake, that is the enemy. The “Palestinian” identity is bogus and a smokescreen for crusading Islam. In the Arabs’ chronic, uncivilized tendency to project onto others their own worst feelings and ideas, when ISIS and other types shake their fists at today’s “Crusaders,” meaning the West, they (!) are the crusaders determined to overrun, conquer and destroy all non-Muslim cultures and societies, meaning the whole world.

Look at what just happened in Jordan. Two days ago, in the capital, a Christian clergyman serving the tiny Christian community in that country was about to enter a court to stand trial for, Charlie Hebdo-style, publishing a cartoon that Muslims considered offensive to their faith.

The trial never came off because, as he mounted the steps to the courthouse, he was shot with three bullets that killed him. That the cartoon was mocking jihadis and not necessarily Islam as a whole meant nothing to the murderer who, not surprisingly, turned out to be a Muslim priest, a high one, an imam, which is one more reason why I believe the liberal democracies must outlaw Islam.

This behavior is so alien to the Judeo-Christian West where the clergy -- Protestant ministers, Catholic priests, Jewish rabbis -- are the last people in the culture to preach violence, the last to be warmongers. When was the last time you heard of a minister, priest or a rabbi murdering someone whose political and/or religious beliefs displeased him?

Islam is so different, and one of the worst things the multiculturalists do is preach the nonsense that all religions are of equal worth, especially “the three great Abrahamic religions.”

No, they are not. Every state in which Islam is the official religion no Western liberal democrat would want to live.

Are all Muslims violent, crusading murderers and terrorists? Of course not, but that is unimportant. What is important is the support they do give to the murderers and terrorists that their revered religious preachers turn out to be. They are, across the board, bloodthirsty, like this Abu Sara just sentenced to prison, and often like him shamelessly imperialist.

Gatestone, again, ran a frightening piece today by their excellent Soeren Kern who is a senior fellow for European Politics at the Madrid-based *Grupo de Estudios Estrategicos* and it was about what is happening in Spain. He wrote, “In recent months, ISIS and other jihadist groups have produced a flurry of videos and documents calling on Muslims to reconquer Al-Andalus/Andalucia/ southern Spain. A recent ISIS document included a list of grievances against Spain for wrongs done to Muslims since the battle of Las Navas de Tolosa in July, 1212. That is when King Alfonso the 8th of Castille routed the Almohades, the Spanish transliteration of *al-Muwahiddun*, a crusading Muslim sect that in an earlier generation had driven Maimonides to flee from his home in Cordoba in Andalucia to Fez in Morocco and eventually from there to Fustat, the future Cairo. In the battle of Las Navas de Tolosa, it is believed, 100,000 Muslims were killed and ISIS is still unhappy about that.

The ISIS document says that since 1478 when the nationwide Inquisition was instituted by Ferdinand and Isabella, Spain has “done everything to destroy the Koran, torturing Muslims, burning them alive,” which is true. The Muslims, just like the Jews, were destined for expulsion from Spain. And last January, ISIS released a video in which a jihadist in Spain warned Spaniards that they would pay a heavy price for expelling Muslims from Al-Andalus, and called on Muslims in Spain to reconnoiter airline and railroad routes and attack them, and urged Believers to poison food and water with insecticides. “The actions of the ancestors of today’s Spaniards are the reason for our actions by Muslims in Spain today.”

Again, one should make the point that Islam is so different from the Judeo-Christian, liberal democratic tradition. Can one imagine any rabbi rousing his congregation to murder people in Spain today because in 1492 Jews were expelled and even over centuries of the Inquisition were burnt at the stake too?

What yours truly also would point out is the irony, if that is the word, of the Muslims pining to return to Al-Andalus. In the eight-minute video encouraging Believers to exact a heavy price in Spain for expelling Muslim from Al-Andalus, the jihadi preacher said, “We will recover Al-Andalus, Allah willing. Oh dear Andalus. You thought we forgot about you. I swear by Allah we have never forgotten you. No Muslim can ever forget Cordova, Toledo, Xativa. They are many faithful and sincere Muslims who swear they will return to Al-Andalus.”

And I say this is a kissing cousin of that Jordanian in that video hysterically ranting how much the Balestinians have always loved Balestine, the Holy Land and Jerusalem. I commented at the time that this was jaw-dropping evidence of the theft of Jewishness, of our religion, our feelings, our prayers daily for thousands of years in which Jerusalem has always been on Jewish lips.

And why is it a kissing cousin? Because the very name Al-Andalus in Arabic is just the corruption of the Spanish *Andalucia*, which is the name for southern Spain bequeathed by the Vandals whose roots are believed to have been in Scandinavia, whence they invaded Silesia in Poland and were ultimately driven out by the Huns and eventually invaded Spain in the early 5th century, then crossed over into North Africa. Because they were believed to have sacked Rome at some point -- of course some historians question that -- so the term vandalism entered many languages.

In other words, here are those Muslim jihadis waxing sentimental over Al-Andalus, the non-Muslim name they encountered when they penetrated Spain in the year 711. In other words, the very name Al-Andalus in Arabic is evidence of their aggression and the historical truth that Muslims are far from being the indigenous and authentic owners of southern Spain.

* * (Musical Interlude) * *

Yes, the yearning for the return to Spain, particularly southern Spain to Islamic rule, is like the Arabs here loving Nablus, which has been a Balestinian city from time immemorial they will tell you, even though the name is originally Greek. The Romans build New City, *Nea Polis*, because they, like dejudaised Israelis today who give English names to all their businesses, or Americans who use French as the “high culture” language, the Romans used Greek, for Greece was their model.

And if you have a chance, you can probably find on Netflix or iTunes the epic, visually stunning, almost 60-year-old David Lean film *Lawrence of Arabia* and watch a scene in which Lawrence, played by Peter O’Toole, a foolish Englishman, is trying to rally the Arabs to return to greatness and defeat the Turks. He gives a pep talk in a tent to Faisal (played by Alec Guinness) son of Sherif Ali of the Hashimites, rulers in Mecca since the days of their fellow Hashimite Muhammad himself, who sighs with nostalgia to Lawrence

over the Arabs' desire to return to Cordova, as if that was the Arabs' lost patrimony and another sad symbol of their current lowly estate.

It is the same character trait of the Arabs here to this very day on display in that video of that hysterical Jordanian barking of the Balestinians historic love of Balestine, when there is no record of such a Balestinian people loving Balestine.

So, the situation in Spain is like that in France and Germany, Sweden, Belgium and Holland. The Muslims are deep believers in their right to own and rule over Europe and really the whole world. It all rightfully belongs to them and the true aggressors are the infidels who deny them their right to rule everywhere.

This is reminiscent of the Muslim deceiver in the Oval Office who told the budding jihadis in his audience in 2009 at Al-Azhar that America has always been home to Muslims who have, by implication, the right to call it their own. As usual, for Muslims like him, the past is what you want it to be to justify your appetite for something in the present.

Speaking of which Muslim aggressor, Obama: on the front page of JPost today, we read the headline and subhead concerning the meeting Sunday evening between Prime Minister Netanyahu and Lady Macbeth: "Clinton calls for direct negotiations after Netanyahu meeting: PM wants Democratic nominee's help in preventing Obama from supporting UN action."

And in the body of the story itself, we learned that Bibi hopes that "Clinton will lobby the president publicly and privately not to support efforts at the UN Security Council to impose parameters for peace between the two parties."

It seems now that almost every day – think of last week's letter signed by 88 senators reminding Obama of the American veto that has defended Israel dozens of times -- we read of this drumbeat of Israeli public calls to prevent Obama from, shall we say, stabbing Israel in the back in his last weeks in office.

And I do believe in the rightness of that metaphor; "stabbing in the back," because no people knows better than us Jews in Israel these days that Arabs really do stab people in the back. With them, it is quite literally not a metaphor.

This latest news story is further evidence that the PM and surely not only him, is truly worried about Obama, which anxiety is a true reflection of his true opinion of him, versus his smile when meeting Obama which is not.

I will not be surprised if someday in the indefinite future, a forensic psychiatric profile of Obama surfaces from Mossad archives informing the PM that this is indeed Louis Farrakhan clone obsessed with Jews and capable of just about anything.

Here is this antisemitic villain who handed over 150 billion dollars to Iran that just the other day proclaimed it is ready to turn Tel-Aviv to dust, G-d forbid, so allowing the most serious anti-Israel resolution ever to pass in the Security Council is not beyond this bad actor's fondest wish.

* * (Musical Interlude) * *

Last item: regarding last night's debate between Donald the Vulgar and Lady Macbeth Clinton, I did not watch it. I would have had to get up at like 4 a.m. and in any case, I can't stand watching her. She remains the Wellesley College and Yale Law School valedictorian with the ability to memorize what the professors wanted to hear and feed it back to them. Every idea out of her mouth sounds as if it was fed to her by somebody else. I don't think she believes in anything, has no original ideas, no evidence of any smarts, no wisdom.

I also did not watch because I cannot stand that, with all the corruption about her in the public domain already these days, I can't believe she is still in the running and believed to be supported by half the electorate. It is nothing short of depressing as a sign of the wretched state of the Union, and really all of Western culture that the US, Americans used to lead.