

B"H

DeProgram Program

From the Mind of Sha'i ben-Tekoa

Transcript

<http://www.deprogramprogram.com>

Date: *September 25, 2016 / כג אלול תשע"ו*

Parasha: *Nitzavim / נצבים*

Title: *Outlaw Islam!*

Copyright: *Sha'i ben-Tekoa 2016*

www.deprogramprogram.com

Shalom laYehudim, Shalom laBnai Noach, Shalom laGoyim. It is the evening of the 2nd day, *kaf-gimmel beElul, Parashas Nitzavim, tav-shin-ayin-vav*, the evening of the 1st day, Sunday, 25 September 2016, webcasting from Israel, waiting for Obama's final aggression against the State of al-Yahud.

So the principal headline today in JPost hard copy was, "PM hopes Obama won't push new peace initiative."

Leastwise that is what he said on Channel 2 last night following his days in New York last week speaking at the UN on Thursday and meeting with the Muslim secret agent in the White House in a New York hotel. He also met with the American traitor who betrayed his country to the Viet Cong on Friday, Secretary Kerry, who on Monday, before Bibi arrived in New York, had addressed the "nations that fund the Palestinian Authority."

That is how the Times of Israel site put it, which I found most peculiar and revealing. "The nations that fund the Palestinian Authority," which makes yours truly wonder why are there nations still funding the Palestinian Authority after almost a quarter-century? At what point will the PA become self-sustaining? After all this is the Ancient Palestinian people; they surely have had a steady state, sustaining way of life.

Imagine what world anti-Jewry dreams about: Judea and Samaria as it as before June 1967, no Jews, and one wonders what kind of an economy would they have in the state they have allegedly been dreaming about from time immemorial?

What would they produce? What would they manufacture? Right some 120,000 of them work in Israel. Imagine when they get their freedom from us

racistfascistimperialist aggressors what life would be like for them, and of course without any further assistance from “the nations that fund the PA.”

And one also wonders just who are these nations, and how many of them are officially Arab, officially Muslim? I'll bet a major percentage are the post-Christian Western states, including maybe Japan and South Korea as protection money. Certainly, for the post-Christian Western states, this is this generation's way of continuing a couple thousand years of European Jew-hatred.

One heartwarming note today was a headline telling us Rudy Giuliani thinks the U.S. should terminate the quest for the Two-State Solution altogether. And another headline said that long-time Trump friend David Friedman in New York said Trump would back annexation, and that is not the first time he said this.

In other words, these are healthy signs that the imagined Two-State Solution is now entering its decrepitude, evidenced perhaps by the new ability of respectable people -- not just “religious fanatics” like us settlers-- to even suggest putting to sleep like an old dog the Two-State Solution lunacy.

It is forgotten, I think, that the TSS is shorthand for the Oslo Abomination so pleasing in conception 23 years ago to the famous Dr. Beilin, PhD and his mentor Uncle Shimon, and the likes of the late Communist of Jewish extraction Dr. Ron Pundak, PhD and other really second- and third-rate thinkers like them, all of them on the Left.

The Oslo fantasy was that Arafat and his secular, nationalist freedom fighters would take over and shield Israel from their rivals, the Hamas religionists. Rabin and Peres were raised in the socialist Zionist camp to despise religion, and in their minds the Arabs here were divided into two camps: the good, secular nationalist Jew-killers who were just like the secular Zionists in wanting a state of their own. And Oslo was meant to deal with them because Hamas was out of the question for its religiosity.

This was the prescription of the Left's deep thinkers like Beilin and Tsipi Livni, both of whom I heard independently of each other declare that if we define the conflict as religious, then there is no solution, so let's define it in political national terms. Indeed, in their post-religious Israel, when the police find a dead Jew, G-d forbid, they must determine, as the news media reports, where it was criminal or “nationalist” crime. In their Israel, you see, no Jew is ever murdered by an antisemite a.k.a. a lunatic Jew-hater in the pseudo-scientific 19th century sense, or a medieval religious hater common to Christendom and Islam. Antisemitism for Israelis like these is always something found abroad, never here.

Yes, the Oslo architects were truly second- and third-rate thinkers for their almost childish belief that it was possible to define the conflict as they want it to be, and never mind what the other side wants or is capable of.

It never occurred to this post-religious Zionists that while enjoyed calling themselves “Palestinians” in the first half of the 20th century, the Arabs and Muslims still looked upon them as Jews. Their fantasy was “We’ll stop thinking and living as Jews, and the goyim will stop hating and murdering us all the time.” It never occurred to them that the Arabs here, mostly Muslims but some Christians too, would resist their idea because once you mock and discard the Jewish religion, you make a mockery of Christianity and Islam too, both claiming to be the real, true, authentic, final form of monotheism.

The problem with these post-religious Israelis is their ultimately Marxist view that religion is the opiate of the masses, a narcotic that prevents people from seeing the truth, and the truth is that all that matters is who owns the means of production, who owns the factories and the farms. Religion is just a way to get the oppressed proletariat to accept their misery as part of life and hope that only in the next life, in heaven, will they be happy.

Well, to get back to last week in New York and the speechifying at the UN, last Thursday I had on the webcast some thoughts on the speech given that day by the terrorist Holocaust Denier Abbas but I had not yet heard Bibi’s speech. He was good as usual, but it appeared that the most important fact to come out of last week’s speechifying by both Bibi and Abbas was that Abbas spoke before a half-empty hall. It has always been like that for Bibi. Most all the Arab and Muslim states when he rises to the podium walk out, evidence of their inability to respect Israel and its right to its own existence and views, but not so for Abbas. Four years ago, when the General Assembly, in Alice in Wonderland fashion, saluted the creation of the phantom state, a virtual state of Palestine within the walls of the UN if not in material reality on the ground outside, the house was packed, standing room only.

Today, though, the world has other things on its mind, like the killings fields of Syria and Iraq and the Muslim terror bombings in many countries. Compared to these world-historical shifts, the plight of the Arabs in Judea and Samaria pales. One has to be out of touch with reality not to see that given the choice today to an Arab, whether he would rather live in Syria, Iraq, Libya, Yemen or Judea and Samaria, that’s a no-brainer. And people at the UN, at some level, understand that.

This of course did no prevent the delusional Holocaust-denying Muslim moon-worshipper Abbas in his speech from declaring Israel guilty of “extra-judicial executions.” It did not stop him from lying by declaring that Israel was responsible for “not implementing the Oslo Accords and achieving an independent state in five years, namely the Two-State Solution on the basis of the 1967 borders.”

That’s two major lies right there. Oslo said nothing of a two-state solution state, believe it or not, nothing of the “1967 borders” when in 1967 there were no borders. Any time you hear an antiJew talk of returning to the “1967 borders,” in classical

fashion this is characteristic of antiJews who are always lying. In 1967, Israel had no borders recognized by its neighbors or the world, only ceasefire lines.

The invention of this term “the 1967 borders” is what permits antiJews today to believe that in that year Israel crossed a border into a foreign country, the country of Palestine, and so it should retreat from its aggression.

This is such a perversion of history, of the truth of what happened that year. For two decades, the Kingdom of Jordan had been in unauthorized occupation of Judea and Samaria, from which terror gangs crossed the ceasefire line to murder Jews in Israel at random. In 1967, the Arabs launched their second major attempt to destroy Israel, but Israel emerged victorious and the Jordanians were out of the Judea and Samaria that the League of Nations had called “the historic homeland of the Jewish people.”

The invention of the ‘67 borders” is one of the building blocks of the wall of mendacious Jew-hatred in our time, which, unfortunately, secular Israelis have never known how to combat, for it would entail asserting their rights as Jews to the heart of the Holy Land, when, paradoxically, the State of Israel as they envisioned it would not Jewish, in the classical, religious sense.

* * (Musical Interlude) * *

Yes, lying is the quintessential hallmark of the antiJew, so we should not have been surprised last week when Abbas at the UN also accused Israel of “racial discrimination against the Palestinian people.”

Are we to understand that the Holocaust Denier also claims the Balestinians are a race? Race has been understood to refer to physical appearance, and in the century-plus of Zionism, not one sentence has been written or spoken by a Zionist about a “Palestinian race” that Jews have an irrational hatred of.

He accused Israeli settlers of forming terrorist groups to burn and kill entire families.

“Groups”? There were possibly two incidents of arson committed by two separate Jewish, individual psychopaths. No groups were involved. This is just more lies.

He called settlement activity a “clear grave breach of international law,” and true to form, he did not name the law.

In a novel entry to the catalog of evil deeds done in the name of Zionism, he said, “100 years have passed since the notorious Balfour Declaration by which Britain gave, without any right, authority or consent from anyone, the land of Balestine to another people. This paved the way for the Nakba of the Balestinian people and their dispossession and displacement from their land.”

And never mind that the League of Nations gave Britain the right to create a Palestine that the Arabs had never known. The language of the Mandate tells us there was

no Palestine when the land was in Muslim hands before the First World War and it was the League that would create a Palestine because it was the “historic Jewish homeland.”

Of course, Britain had the right, given by the League, contrary to what Abbas said here. Abbas in classical Arab intellection deleted from history facts he does not like. It was a later version of Arafat in 2000 telling Clinton and Ehud Barak that the Jews never had any temples on Haram a-Sharif.

And never mind, perhaps above all, that the leader of the Arabs when the League Mandate was ratified in 1922 was Haj Amin who was adamant that there was no such country as Palestine. What is Abbas talking about?

Abbas then went on to demand that Britain apologize for the Balfour Declaration, for the “catastrophes, miseries and injustice that it created and to act to rectify this historic catastrophe.”

And last but not least, Abbas said that Israel “has persisted in its contempt for international legitimacy by violating UN General Assembly Resolution 181, the Partition Plan that called for the establishment of two states on this historic land of Palestine according to a specific partition plan.”

Again, this is one perversion of history after another. Everyone one knows it was the Arabs who rejected Res. 181 on 29 November 1947, while the Jewish Agency accepted it.

And to speak of the “historic land of Palestine,” his words, when his leader Haj Amin at the time denied there was an historic land with that name, leaves one speechless. As always, there is absolutely no fidelity to recorded history. History for Arabs what you want it to be.

This is why I say the anti-religious Zionists who established the state and ruled it until 1977 and then came back in power in 1992, came up with the Oslo Delirium. They were such lightweight thinkers because they were incapable of a stepping into the Arabs’ shoes, or in this case, I guess, sandals, and seeing just how primitive they are and were.

Abbas is man who was given a PhD by the antisemitic communists in the Soviet Union, but had he taken what are known in the US as the College Boards, specifically the SAT verbal aptitude test, he would never have been accepted into a serious institution of higher learning, let alone be given a PhD. The anti-religious Zionists never knew, let alone appreciated the level of intellection in Araby and Islam and how the Arabs have never truly risen to the mentality of nationhood. They remain tribal and sub-national. Ergo, the collapse of Libya, Syria and Iraq, all the creations of Western nations that never understood the Arabs are incapable of national existence, with Egypt an exception.

This was the unspoken desire of the Oslo Delirium. Give Arafat Judea and Samaria, and his nation of Balestinians will be happy to build a nation state just like the Jews next door.

They never understood the smoke screen of “Palestinian” nationalism that covers up their resistance to Jewish independence -- which is Arab resistance and Muslim resistance.

Anyway, looking again at some of the still photos of Netanyahu and Obama in their last meeting last week, one can see again, as from the beginning eight years ago, the hostility of this Louis Farrakhan clone, and that is why today’s JPost ran the headline it did. “PM hopes Obama won’t push new peace initiative” and why last week 88 senators signed a letter reminding the Muslim Dissembler in Chief that in the past the US has defended Israel in the Security Council with the veto because everybody who cares about Israel is worried what this antisemite might do after the Nov. 8 elections.

Someone last week put out on the Net an edited video of Obama’s speech to the UN last week in which 11 times, he tongue-lashed his own country, the United States, for its sins. He began his presidency, remember, with his famous apology tour, and here he was eight years later still bashing the United States, saying nothing of the plague of terrorist horrors his fellow Muslims have been perpetrating if not every day than every week.

It was just revealed today that the massacre in Burlington, Washington State, on Friday was perpetrated by a Muslim, a Turkish immigrant.

How long will it take before Americans realize that the only rational response to this terror, in light of what is happening in Europe, is to shut the door to Muslim immigration and outlaw Islam?

* * (Musical Interlude) * *

I ended the last segment with the thought that the only rational response to this terror, in light of what is happening in Europe, is to shut the door to Muslim immigration and outlaw Islam, and what I had in mind was a most disturbing post on the Gatestone website -- the best source of reporting from Europe and Turkey by Giulio Meotti and Soren Kern -- and yesterday’s piece from France by Guy de Milliere, a professor at the University of Paris. It is one of the more powerful pieces.

For years now, we have watched the results of massive in-migration into Sweden and Germany last New Year’s Eve and its growth in other countries, but this report from France made it seem that past reports had, at least by yours truly, not been taken seriously, meaning, I believed the Europeans at some point would stand up straight and resist.

Now I am not so sure. And now it really seems to be happening, this invasion of Europe by Muslims not seen since the 17th century, only now they are disguised as refugees in distress.

Guy de Milliere led off by saying that for 30 years France has seen an accelerating process of Islamization and most chilling was this sentence: “Daily life is different now. Many women do not go out at night alone, Jews know they are being watched.”

He cited the first headscarf controversy in 1989 in a suburb of Paris when three girl students decided to attend high school wearing their Islamic scarves. When the principle refused entry to them dressed like that, their parents, and newly created Muslim associations filed a complaint and in the end the parents and the Muslim associations won.

Following their victory, headscarves began to appear in high schools as never before and were seen on the streets as never before, soon to be replaced by long, black veils. In 2003, the French, in order to resist the headscarf and black veils, outlawed all religious symbols in schools, which included, ecumenically crosses and kippot.

Muslim associations called for an end to discrimination and demanded halal food in school cafeterias, and before long Muslim students were eating at their own segregated tables.

Muslim parents also protested the content of history books in schools when it came to Islam.

Unveiled women in Muslim neighborhoods began to be assaulted and raped, and then black veils morphed into niqabs and burkas.

The teaching of the Holocaust became taboo because the Muslims deny it ever happened, that it is all Zionist propaganda.

Nowadays halal food is standard in all school cafeterias and history books praise Islamic civilization. How are they teaching the story of Charles Martel at Poitiers when he stopped the advance of Islam in 732?

Above all, almost no French politician, not even the most courageous ones, dares to say that Islam creates problems. Almost all books on Islam on sale in French bookstores are written by Islamists and others praising Islam. And French journalists write under threat of trial or assault and almost never use the phrase “Islamic terrorism.”

Now, where did that come from? Sound familiar? Who else has put a ban on using the term “Islamic terrorism”? None other than the jihadi in the Oval Office.

And unless Americans wake up and shake off what Obama has done and is doing to the country in facilitating the invasion of Muslims, the fate of France will be the fate of the US and Canada and any other liberal democracy foolish enough not to perceive the threat and take defensive measures.

Anyway, this report by Guy de Milliere available on Gatestone has gone a long way to quash my own, I guess, measure of denial of the threat that I was not even aware of.

It is really happening. When Obama promised “fundamental change” in America, this is what he had in mind, for he himself is a jihadi master of *taqqiya*, arguably the greatest practitioner of it in history.

* * (Musical Interlude) * *

25.09.16.

DeProgramProgram.com

Okay, that is it for this evening and looking forward to tomorrow night's first debate between Trump and Hillary. Ted Cruz came out today for Trump, good for him. Even though Trump the vulgarian insulted his wife, Cruz the patriot understands that we cannot have Hillary. And I am most worried that with the polls showing them tied, if they remain tied, she will win on Election Day because her people are going to cheat like crazy. I think they cheated in 2012 as well.