

B"H

DeProgram Program

From the Mind of Sha'i ben-Tekoa

Transcript

<http://www.deprogramprogram.com>

Date: September 6, 2016 / ד אלול תשע"ו

Parasha: Shoftim / שופטים

Title: The Left's Empathy Deficit

Copyright: Sha'i ben-Tekoa 2016

www.deprogramprogram.com

Shalom laYehudim, Shalom laBnai Noach, Shalom laGoyim. It is the evening of the 4th day, *dales be Elul, Parashas Shoftim, tav-shin-ayin-vav*, the evening of the 3rd day, Tuesday, 6 September 2016, webcasting from the divided people of Israel.

The Associated Press today reported on a new initiative by concerned citizens called "Decision 50" that wants a national referendum on the future of Judea and Samaria, though, of course in the MSM, in the AP report, they did not use these two names but three, count 'em three different names.

The headline read, "Israeli group seeks referendum on **disputed territories.**" That was No. 1. And then the lede sentence was, "A group of former Israeli politicians, security officials, artists and social activists on Monday urged the government to hold a national referendum on the future of **the Palestinian territories.** That was No. 2. Then, "The group launched the campaign ahead of the 50th anniversary next year of the 1967 Six-Day War, in which Israel captured swaths of additional territory including the **West Bank.**" That was No. 3 and the, "east Jerusalem, the Golan Heights and the Gaza Strip. The Israeli group, calling itself 'Decision at 50,' is led by prominent figures in Israel so-called peace camp, which believes an Israeli withdrawal from the West Bank is essential for the country's survival."

So, it turns out that this latest group is just old Ami Ayalon again, that uneducated, provincial kibbutznik and Amram Mitzna, ditto, the kibbutz-bred, pro-Jewish socialist naïf, and Gilad Sher, (remember him?) errand boy for Prime Minister Ehud Barak.

Their new group is called Decision 50 meaning, it has been 50 years since 1967 and it is about time Israel made peace with the Ancient Palestinians who deserve to rule

an independent state in Judea and Samaria – two names their never use either. And they want a referendum on that. They likely feel confident that most of the people would vote to salute the flag of Palestine over Judea and Samaria, which Israel has to agree to, they believe, or it will not survive. The road to peace with the Palestinians is giving them Judea and Samaria.

And what commonly strikes yours truly whenever I hear this idea raised, as it was 1993, is the deadness in the souls of these people of Jewish extraction when it comes to their fellow Jews who have the right to continue living in Judea and Samaria free of Arab violence. It is our right.

I am reminded of an acquaintance, a stroke victim, who typically lost contact with the opposite side of his body from where the stroke took place in his brain to the point that the very idea of left was forgotten. This pipedream of these leftists sounds nice but in practice would mean the destruction of the lives of hundreds of thousands of Jews who have every right to live where they do and not be targets for death from our savage Muslim neighbors.

I experience such Israelis like these as traitors to the Jewish people. They have no right not to fight for our rights. They are certainly entitled not like the Jewish religion, but as fellow Jews they have an obligation to defend, to stick up for, fellow Jews who are under attack.

It is as if they have no capacity for empathy with so many Jews who, to repeat, have every right to live in peace where they do. And people who oppose their presence in Judea and Samaria are, plain and simple, enemies of the Jewish people. It was Ben Gurion speaking to the Zionist Congress following the White Paper of 1939 who said no Jew has a right to sign away any part of Eretz Yisrael.

These peaceniks, like Amram Mitzna and Ami Ayalon, are products of the old Mapai Party of social democrats who dominated Israel from the communes. They come off as indifferent to what their plan would mean: the uprooting, the dispossession of Jews from their communities, their livelihoods, the homes they paid for and built and took care of, and their synagogues, in numbers greater than those expelled from Spain in 1492.

The Jerusalem Post columnists these days likewise wave the flag of a Palestinian state in Judea and Samaria at which point their brains shut down. It is like a power outage: the brain just stops thinking because the next step is to think about the fate of these half-million Jews who obviously would have to move out of the State of Palestine they want to create. The other side has said they will not accept their presence, and in any case, who among them would want to live there it is all turned over to the Arabs?

What these leftists envision is a massive population transfer. They swooned in disgust when a Rechavam Ze'evi or Rabbi Kahane spoke of transfer, meaning the Arabs in Judea and Samaria over to the east bank, but then, oblivious to their own hypocrisy, they advocate if not explicitly then implicitly the mass transfer of Jews from Judea and

Samaria, which would be the logical outcome of handing these hills over to the Arabs, for no Jew would tolerate becoming a citizen in the Old-New State of Balestine run presumably by the Muslim Brotherhood, that's Hamas.

These "Decision 50" fools undertake these peace initiatives because they are all products of the kibbutz, those hothouses of socialist nonsense divorced from the real world.

Nowhere in their dream of peace is the price. How much is it going to cost to transfer a half-million Jews to new homes? Will they first have to live in displaced persons/refugee camps? And where will those be located in this tiny country? And who will pay for them in the interim until their new homes are built?

These three post-Jewish nincompoops, like Shimon Peres, have their heads in the clouds. Three months after the horror of watching Rabin and Peres on the White House Lawn shake hands with Arafat and Mahmoud Abbas, Peres published his book *The New Middle East*, which was an embarrassment even then before seeing where it all would lead to. In it were Shimon's beautiful visions of new Middle East in which borders disappeared just like Europe of the European Union, and all the peoples would be able to travel freely from country to country as friendly tourists and businessmen. It was all such cotton candy for the mind.

There have been statesmen and politicians who have written books of distinction: Churchill, Dean Acheson, Ulysses S. Grant, Teddy Roosevelt, vs. Uncle Shimon, the Visionary, as he was called, though I prefer "delusionist." No serious assessment of the Arabs and the Muslims was in his brain. All that was needed was good will on both sides and, he believed, there was good will on both sides when they shook hands on the White House lawn.

The AP report said of this last peace camp initiative, "They believe that the establishment of a Palestinian state will ensure Israel's future as a democracy with a solid Jewish majority. The alternative, they claim, is a 'binational' state in which Israel either risks losing its Jewish majority or rules over millions of disenfranchised Balestinians."

More indications, I think, of just how poorly educated, and miseducated are these products of the kibbutzim. Leftists like them suffer from some kind of palsied imagination that limits their thinking to these two options: citizenship or no citizenship. Either Israel retreats from the high ground and turns everything over to the Palestinians, or Israel will lose its identity as a Jewish-majority state or rule over "disenfranchised Palestinians."

First of all, they never were franchised so they cannot be disenfranchised, which is fancy talk for not having citizenship, when it is really inhuman to even consider giving these people citizenship in their current stage of social development. These people applaud those who slaughter our little girls in their beds, but now we are supposed to give them citizenship? Under no circumstances, I say.

Secondly, no good can come from continuing to call these people “Palestinians” when Palestinian history and culture is not what moves them. There is no Palestinian history and culture to inspire them. The only ideology that does is Islam and that was proven in 2006 in free elections when three-quarters of their “legislative council” was taken by Hamas. It is what happened in Egypt when Mubarak was deposed. The majority in this culture will always vote for Islam, for the Muslim Brotherhood in these parts that means Hamas.

So Amram Mitzna and Ami Ayalon do not factor into their dreams the reality that absent the IDF, absent the Jewish communities, Hamas will rule here, for that is all these Arabs know.

Here we have a reality that is very much a product of language, just as George Orwell taught us. By calling these Arabs, these Muslims, “Palestinians,” which attribute to them an identity they do not have. Long before they started calling themselves “Palestinians,” they were and remain Arabs, and were and remain Muslims.

And as these leftists are cut off from fellow feeling for fellow Jews whom they want to abandon, whose lives they do not take into account in their dreams of peace, they are cut off from Jewish history under the Muslims and the innate Jew-hatred that is Islam in its blackest of black hearts.

* * (Musical Interlude) * *

Now, here’s another news story here in Israel from last week reflecting the same leftist numbness when it comes to other people. Think of the callousness of the Communists in the heyday of the Soviet Union where they literally forced/herded people into communes and ordered them to live communally, and never mind what the people thought about that idea. It came to be called “forced collectivization.”

To the credit of the socialist Zionists, that was never an issue. Every adult in a kibbutz if he is unhappy just walks. You can leave any time. They have worked so long precisely because they are voluntary. The callousness of herding people like that was so typical of communism everywhere, there, in mainland China, Cambodia.

What is interesting is the hypocrisy of leftists who in their own eyes are do-gooders when they do things like this, because they are the “vanguard of the people” who know what is best for the people, when in practice there have been no greater oppressors of the people than the National Socialists in Germany, the Soviet socialists in Moscow, the Communists in China, Vietnam, and Cambodia, all countries that experienced massacres of the people by their socialist saviors.

And so last week there was an uproar in Tel-Aviv when the dedicated Israeli post-Jew mayor there, Ron Huldai, another product of the kibbutz, a former fighter pilot, two years younger than Ehud Barak, had the big idea of taking a much beloved and excellent

public school away from the current student body and turning it over to the children of the illegal aliens who crashed inside the country.

This is a public school but a competitive one, like New York's Stuyvesant High or Bronx Science, whose dominant population are the offspring of that mass Russian Aliyah in the 1990s. I taught English to their relatives in Brooklyn at that time for those few admitted to the US -- most came here -- and that was great experience. That was a great community. Educated, cultured, polite, smart.

And that is characteristic of these junior high and senior high school kids who come from all over the country to attend this special school. They want to be there, they love their teachers and the feeling is mutual. They love their studies, and here was Ron Huldai ready to ruin, destroy basically, this institution in order to act out his compassion for the children of the illegals who broke the law crashing into our country.

Typical of the Left, it was the initiative of a do-gooder who would not himself suffer from this upheaval. Leftists demand, for example, that the government spend more money on the poor, but of course they themselves contribute peanuts to the needy.

So in this case there was such an uproar from the students, from their parents, from the teachers in this school where 100% of the students pass the matriculation exams for university education, Huldai had to reverse course.

For sure, we must provide humane treatment and compassion for these illegal children, but charity begins at home, and cannot come at the price of destroying this stellar institution.

It is the Left with their visions of goodness, like Shimon Peres and his new Middle East; like the Communists in the Soviet Union with their forced collectivization because living like a good communist in a commune, they said, was the best way to live, according to the vanguard of the people, the Party.

And not coincidentally, notice how the left hates religion, as Marx himself hated religion, in particular Judaism which he rightly held responsible for Christianity and Islam too.

All dictators hate the Jews, for the vision of HaShem above us all allows no human leader to demand absolute power over us. Nazism, Communism and Islam are all three totalitarian forms of government and society, and all hate the Jews whose founding story as a people is a slave revolt, a great escape to freedom.

This is the same mayor with snide things to say about religion and insults those oppose to the annual bacchanal of his gay pride parade in his city.

The good news is that his generation of sabras is passing from this world and one hopes the generations to come will be Israelis more sophisticated than Ami Ayalon, Ehud Barak, Shimon Peres, Yitzhak Rabin and Ron Huldai.

* * (Musical Interlude) * *

So maybe, as I think about it, when it comes to Israel's leftist ruling class all raised in the kibbutzim, these kibbutzniks, maybe it was their upbringing in the communes that made them the men they are. As infants, they were taken from their mothers at the age of six weeks and raised in the *beit hayeladim*/the children's house, where, as the stories of those places record, they commonly cried out at night for their mothers but in the nature things, the young woman who worked the night shift could not provide the same level of tender-loving care to all her twenty charges, and as a result these men are defective when it comes to empathy.

I don't know. This is a question for the late Erik Erikson and other development psychologists.

For sure, these communes, these ghettos, culturally speaking, were all as 100% Jewish as any Satmar community, albeit without HaShem in their lives.

And as a result of their isolation from the outside gentile world, and in combination with their half-baked Marxist disdain for religion, they are commonly blockheads where it comes to our Muslim neighbors whom they insist upon calling "Palestinians" when there is nothing Palestinian about them.

They are likewise ignorant of the antisemitism of their fellow contemporary leftists in the world. In the first half of the 20th century, there was Fascism and Nazism, both mistakenly identified as of the right when all were of the left, but for sure since the 1960s, it is the Left that supports terrorism and virulent antisemitism disguised as compassion for the phantom "Palestinians."

One wonders if they know at all, these Leftists in Israel, of what's happening in Europe and the States. Islam is on the march, which by its very nature, in the hands of its most activist enthusiasts, is a totalitarian, imperialist, crusading ideology. The Clarion Project reported last week that in England the Daily Mail reported on the vicars in Britain now being advised to not go out in public in their clerical collars to avoid being targeted by violent Muslims.

English Churches are being advised to install closed circuit security cameras. Churches are being advised to make sure its doors can be bolted shut, in the event, obviously, of an attack by a mass of Muslims. The UK recently announced it was allocating 2.4 million pounds to increase safety in all places of worship.

This is Islam on the march, partnering with the BDS movement, which is antisemitism for its drive to destroy the world's only Jewish state.

Perhaps you saw the story out of Syracuse University; Caroline Glick wrote it up in her column today in JPost. An antiJew Israeli filmmaker who lives in New York made a film called innocuously "The Settlers" and has now come to regret the name because it does not do full justice to his artistry and handiwork as a classic of settler-bashing propaganda and distortion. He submitted it to a film festival, but the BDS cultists

threatened the administrator and she withdrew the invitation. Neither she nor the BDS who threatened her have seen the film. All that was important was the Israeliness of the filmmaker. That he probably supports BDS himself meant nothing to these modern day Brown Shirts.

It cannot be a good thing that on college and university campuses these delusional antiJews are now actually threatening any pro-Israel ideas and people.

And make no mistake: they are delusional. It has taken a lifetime but I have given up thinking that these hysterical Israel-bashers, as I used to think, know “deep down” that their propaganda is not true but fanciful, but that’s okay because all is fair in love and war, and overall Israel is evil, so even though there is no apartheid in Israel and they know that, they still can use it to smear Israel’s good name.

But now I no longer think that way. I think they really hallucinate about Israel. This filmmaker reportedly in his film portrays “rampant acts of terrorism by settlers against Palestinians, for the settler movement is inherently racist and a culture of apartheid has been created in the West Bank.”

“Rampant acts of terrorism by settlers against Palestinians.” I’d love to see a police ledger showing on one side the number of Jews murdered by Arabs over the last year in Judea and Samaria and the number of Arabs murdered by settlers on the other.

This filmmaker is clearly an antisemite for objecting to Jews living exclusively with other Jews in their settlements, excluding Palestinians, and never mind that Shechem and Hebron, aside from the Jewish ghetto, are exclusively Arab.

That’s okay.

It is a variation on the antiJew who smears Zionism as a form racist exclusionism, while remaining mute before the existence of 21 officially Arab and 56 officially Muslim states. These are not racist societies? Only when the Jews claim the desire for a Jewish state as officially Jewish as all these Muslim and Arab states are officially Arab and Muslim, antiJews start foaming at the mouth about “Zionist racism.”

In a way, as yours truly enjoys Israel’s pariah status at the UN, it makes me smile, so these kinds of mental gymnastics fueled by such hatred of Jews are more evidence, like the prophecy of Balaam come to life, of the power of the Jewish idea that makes some men crazy. Edward Alexander, who lives in Seattle and teaches at the University of Washington, has written about Israel and the Jewish people and in one book title he used that expression: the “Jewish idea.” The late Rabbi Kahane used it as well, and I can think of nothing better to replace it. “The Jewish idea,” the very idea of Jews and what we believe in has been, arguably, an engine of history that moves it along like no other.

Without Jewishness, there would be no Christendom, no Islam. No Crusades, no Reformation. No jihadis. No Barbary Pirates, those sea-going soldiers of the One True Faith.

Well, here is this, at Syracuse, latest antiJew scandal of the BDS cultists threatening a film festival administrator with some of retribution against her reputation, I think, among the Left. The president heard of the situation and ordered the administrator to re-invite the Israeli filmmaker.

But, apparently, no action will be taken against the BDS cultists.

I guess it just another episode in the same long war as the news of vicars in jolly Old England being warned about homicidal Muslim maniacs out to murder them.

* * (Musical Interlude) * *