

B"H

DeProgram Program

From the Mind of Sha'i ben-Tekoa

Transcript

<http://www.deprogramprogram.com>

Date: July 31, 2016 / כו תמוז תשע"ו

Parasha: Masei / מסעי

Title: "Occupied Palestinian Territory" for Real

Copyright: Sha'i ben-Tekoa 2016

www.deprogramprogram.com

Shalom laYehudim, Shalom laBnai Noach, Shalom laGoyim. It is the evening of the 2nd day, *kaf-vav beTammuz*, Parashas Masei, *tav-shin-ayin-vav*, the evening of the 1st day, Sunday, 31 July 2016, webcasting from the Holy Land given by the G-d of the Bible to the Children of Israel, which gift angers the peoples of the world to this day.

Anger at the Jews for not deserving their Chosenness; anger at the Jews for not wanting peace, greedily refusing to share the Holy Land with Brother Ishmael.

That was the spirit of Jimmy Carter's first book that he wrote after his own disastrous term as president. He called it *The Blood of Abraham*, which suggests this man has no understanding of the Bible that he teaches in Sunday School, because his book was about the current tragedy of the bad relationship between Abraham's two sons, and as the book showed, it was the Jews' fault. This goy Carter did not understand the plain sense of the Bible's story of Abraham and Sarah, Hagar, Ishmael and Yitzhak. This is the type of fool to speak of "the three Abrahamic religions" when the story tells of Ishmael's expulsion from Abraham's community, which we deduce from the 318 men whom he took on that hostage-rescue mission to Damascus must have been in the small thousands, with women and children and servants and the elderly.

The text clearly teaches that Ishmael, even before his birth, was predicted to be a wild ass of a man and so grew up to be one; and when little Yitzhak had his weaning party and was the celebrated center of attention, Sarah saw the expression on Ishmael's face as he mocked the proceedings and foresaw the possibility that in the future, Ishmael, whom she had watched grow up, could murder her son, so she told her

husband that he had to go. Avraham resisted, but G-d told him to listen to his Sarah who in this matter saw things more clearly than he about his first-born son.

Some thirty-four years later, Avraham would be called to bind son Yitzhak for a sacrifice, but in the end, the G-d of Avraham did not have him go through with it and plunge the knife into his son's neck as the Arabs here today like to plunge their knives into our necks. A son of Ishmael today approached soldiers threatening to do just that, so they shot him dead.

Then along came Christianity that came up with its own story in which the G-d of Abraham does go through with the deliberate torture and execution of His own son, which to a Jewish mind is hard to understand. Abraham's G-d does not want human sacrifice and stops it, vs. Christendom's understanding of the G-d of Abraham Who does preside over the horrible torture death of His son.

So Christendom then went on to claim that because the Jews were his executioners, he who was not only their messiah but God Himself, the Jews lost the Promised Land and were expelled to be homeless, wandering like Cain, until the Second Coming, because, apparently, the first coming of this messiah did not work out and a second coming is needed.

And because Christians recognized the man from Nazareth as the Jews' Messiah, they became the real Jews, and so the Catholic Church defines itself: *Verus Israel*, the real Israel. And to this day the Catholic Church resists recognizing the right of the Jews to return home and repossess the Promised Land, just as it says in their Bible that they would, because Hashem would bring them back. And he has.

In JPost today, Herb Keinon reported that last week, Israel announced plans to advance the construction of some 770 new units, family apartments in Gilo in the capital beyond the Green Line.

Not surprisingly, in this world of instantaneous, globe-encircling communications, the government of the United States of America and the United Nation Organization representing 193 countries around the globe, and the European Union representing the Continent over 400 million people all responded with anger at the Jewish state. In Washington, State Department spokesman John Kirby said that the US remains "troubled that Israel continues this pattern of provocative and counterproductive actions, which raises serious questions about Israel's ultimate commitment to a peaceful, negotiated settlement with the Palestinians."

That's right, John. This decision to build more homes for Jews in what we see as our eternal capital is, in your eyes, a provocation. That is why we did it. To provoke others, to make them angry. In John Kirby's mind, the innocent desire of a growing people to build more homes played no role. The decision was intended to provoke, and that raises questions, he said, about Israel's commitment to peace. Right, again, John. The Jews prefer tension and war and killing to peace. That is why they took this

“counterproductive” step, to foil peace and provoke others just for the sake of the Jews’ innate cruelty and perfidy.

And then the EU had this to say about the announcement: “The settlement of Gilo, built on occupied Palestinian land in east Jerusalem undermines the viability of a two-state solution.”

There are at least four antisemitic distortions in this statement, a distortion being a lie told to cast aspersions on the Jews. 1) The “settlement” of Gilo is not a settlement, if words are to have a steady-state meaning. Gilo was not built in the middle of nowhere but next to another Jerusalem neighborhood after ‘67.

If “settlement” connotes a community starting with mobile homes, well, that never happened. Gilo is all apartment buildings and there is room to continue building more of them.

Lie No. 2: The EU, as per its anti-Jewism, called the land “occupied Palestinian territory,” but that has no basis in international law, so long as the EU believes that the word “Palestinian” designates a people who are 100% non-Jewish.

On the contrary, if one wants to use the term “Palestinian territory,” the historical facts are clear. The term never existed for the Muslims. It had meaning to Jews and Christians as a synonym for the Promised Land in the Jews’ Bible, and it was brought to life in the 20th century with the name of Palestine explicitly by the League of Nations to be a “Jewish homeland” in what the Mandate explicitly recognized as the Jewish people’s “historic homeland.”

So, if one wants to use the expression “Palestinian territory” honestly and accurately, then one is referring to the territory that belongs to the Jews. The League said that the territory of Palestine is for the Jews.

So, yes, the term “occupied Palestinian territory,” were it used accurately and honestly, would refer to Jewish land now occupied by Arabs. It is the Arabs everywhere west of the Jordan who are in unlawful occupation of Palestinian territory that the League said belonged to the Jewish people.

The expression “occupied Palestinian territory” should rightly refer to every Arab settlement. The League created Palestine for Jews, so it is the non-Jews who are in illegitimate occupation of our land.

But setting that aside for the moment, what stands out in this little news item is this global agreement in the US and in the UN and at the EU that Jews building homes for themselves on land they took from the Jordanians in 1967 is wrong. The Jews must give back what they took. It does not belong to them.

And what’s important here is this global agreement that the Jews are criminals in this relationship, not the sons of Ishmael.

The history of war is the history of how peoples change the lines between them. It is rare for a major war to end with no changes made between the warring parties.

The map of Europe was redrawn at the Congress of Vienna in 1815 at the end of the 20 year-long Napoleonic Wars that kept the peace for a century.

Then came the Great War of 1914-18, the First World War, and lines were redrawn again.

The American colonists fought Britain for five years and at the end the lines of American sovereignty were redrawn. America grew, expanded out toward the Mississippi. And grew again as victors in the war with Mexico.

But Israel? No. Israel has no right to keep the spoils of war. Israel must return what it took in 1967, and how amazing that the whole world thinks this way.

The silver-lining to this hostility is that it is more proof that the world, the goyim, believe as much as we do in the unique existence of the Jewish people via their unique treatment of us. Antisemites are as believers in the Chosenness of the Jews and their religion as the *frummet Yid*/most pious Jew.

Via their anger, they display the power of the Jewish idea.

* * (Musical Interlude) * *

So, in addition to the world united in its hostility to Israel building more apartments in Jerusalem as testimony to their obsession with us and our identity, the Pope of Rome on Friday, via his response to visiting Auschwitz, likewise gave testimony to the power of Jewishness. His remarks were just pathetic for his inability to say one intelligent thing about the death camp and the fate of the Jews.

Like the Sherlock Holmes story in which the biggest clue is what did not happen, the dog did not bark, so the Pope issued unbelievably vapid comments that he made later in the day in Krakow. This is more evidence of the immensity of the story of the Jews that this man and his church cannot face. Hitler and a slew of his henchmen were all baptized in this Pope's church, and his remarks exposed his own inability to respect the unique identity of the Jewish people as the innocent victims of his own de facto if not de jure faith.

Following his silent walk inside the grounds of Auschwitz and apparently saying nothing at the time until he reached Krakow later, he then spoke of "torture and overcrowded prisons around the world today as a continuation of the cruelty of 70 years ago, how people died being shot or hanged or with gas. Today in many parts of the world where there is war, the same thing is happening."

Like the non-Jew Jimmy Carter who misreads the Bible, here was this pope displaying no understanding or knowledge of what Auschwitz was all about. If he thinks the "same things are happening today," he knows nothing of what happened back then.

Or he knows what Auschwitz stands for and is just incapable of saying anything intelligent about this most monstrous episode of lunatic Jew-hatred in history in which his people played such a central role.

I, for one, will begin to think more highly of this man and his church when they begin to face up to the madness and the cruelty of their communities in their history of demonic Jew-hatred. I, for one, think it is Europe, no less than Israel that must take seriously the Holocaust because, numerically speaking, the Jews suffered only 10% of the loss of human life in the horror of World War II. No less than the Jewish people, the people in Europe should every year ponder the Holocaust for it was the burning core of that War in which 60 million people were killed. The Germans themselves lost 8 million of their own, saw their major cities reduced to rubble, their country occupied by foreigners and torn in two. The Germans no less than the Jews must contemplate the Holocaust, they and all the European peoples who assisted in them in their satanic plan to exterminate all Jews on the planet.

Israel announces the building of innocent apartment houses in Jerusalem and the whole planet, leastwise its representatives, must protest what clearly they think is functionally criminal behavior, the Jews building on land that belongs to another nation, the Ancient Palestinians.

They say, more or less, "There they go again, these Jews, with their sinister and criminal actions, being provocative," as that State Department spokesman for the Obama Administration said.

In an unrelated but related story last week as well, in a visit that got no coverage in the MSM here, obviously because the Government, Netanyahu, did not want coverage, Senator Lindsey Graham flew in to meet with the prime minister and others to put their heads together to deal with, in Graham's words, the "lack of generosity" on the part of the Obama administration in the matter of a new military aid plan for Israel, Given the chaos of the region that Obama created, the US is being more generous to friendly nations in the region faced with new problems and threats, versus its stinginess toward Israel.

Graham said Congress is completely independent of the White House and perhaps can go around Obama. He himself is a senior member of the Senate Appropriations Committee and the subcommittee that deals with foreign aid.

This guy Obama is a piece of work and worse. He has fooled so many Americans. He is functionally an ally of the Muslims, starting with the Shiite mullahs in Iran and Sunni ISIS gangs against whom he only makes a wimpy show of attacking because, as I believe, as a Muslim, he finds it hard to send infidel soldiers, US soldiers, to kill fellow Believers.

And as always, it is the power Jewishness that is moving history one way or another. As suggested, World War II was largely the result of Europe's monstrous Jew-hatred. And at the UN, that organization is paralyzed and obsessed with Israel. And here is the president of the richest country on earth sticking it to the Jews. He did it with his infernal Iran plan, and is keeping up the aggression via this "lack of generosity" in Senator Graham's words. In an interview with Haaretz last week, Graham said that Susan Rice who is handling the

negotiations doesn't take the Iran threat seriously enough. He said, "I want Iran to see that as it has gotten stronger via the deal, so Israel, helped by the US, will get stronger."

But I say "fat chance." Obama who not only slapped the Jews in the face within minutes of taking the oath of office in 2009 and made his first call as president to Mahmoud Abbas, is as obsessed with Jews today as always; as Rev. Wright his pastor was, and above all Louis Farrakhan. Obama was out to get us his first day in office and will be obsessed with us until his last day in office.

May HaShem protect us from this bad actor.

* * (Musical Interlude) * *

One glimmer of good news today was the announcement by the prime minister's office that it will launch an investigation into NGOs here funded from France and did so following yesterday's announcement in Paris by foreign minister Manuel Valls that the French government was considering banning the construction of new mosques whose financing comes from abroad.

Sound familiar? The French, I believe, have been part of the chorus of Europeans wagging their fingers at Israel for the anti-NGO law that was actually quiet mild in its final form when passed. The EU and the Brits and the French have huffed and puffed at Israel's attack on democracy, as they called it, by the Knesset standing up to these anti-Israeli government factors abroad funding anti-Jew Israelis, and here now is France on the verge of blocking money from abroad flowing into French mosques. It is no secret that the foreign funds are not coming from "moderate Muslims," whoever they are, sermonizing that Muslims should knock off murdering people and their slitting throats. On rebuking the Muslim mass murderers in France and elsewhere in Europe, Muslim leaders are silent.

Foreign Minister Valls called for imams in France to be trained in France and not abroad, and this has a famous historical precedent. As a result of the French Revolution which was not only against the royals and the nobility but also the Catholic Church, whose cardinals and archbishops were also of the nobility, the Revolution was very much against the Catholic Church and would not allow the Vatican to appoint French prelates. On the contrary, they would henceforth be elected by the people in France.

And here is France today dealing with the Muslims in a similar way. Islam, as it is practiced and preached in the Middle East, will no longer be allowed, if this French legislation goes through, to have a hand in the administration of the mosques in France.

This is very good news. Francois Holland may be a wimp but Valls, I think, sees the threat clearly. On the ground, police and local politicians continue to play the game of targeting so-called radical Muslims or Muslims extremists, but Valls, I suspect, who is very pro-Israel, a native Spaniard married to a French Jewess, understands the enemy is not some radical fringe in Islam but Islam itself.

If, I think, Europe is going to save itself, the first step is recognizing that it is Islam that is the problem, not those who become “radicalized.” I hate it when they say that. He got “radicalized.”

What the West must do is face the truth of Islam as an imperialist, aggressive totalitarian system and community, and until such time as its prominent leaders, such as the preachers in at Al-Azhar in Cairo and the Grand Mosque in Mecca and the mullahs in Iran, publicly do not order the faithful to stop their murder of non-Muslims, all Muslims should be presumed to be guilty before they are innocent.

A special regime has to be developed to deal with these people. It will be a huge mistake to treat the current wave of horrors as common criminality. This is a holy war, a jihad, just as the terror maniacs themselves say, and new policies and tactics to meet the menace was be developed.

May Emanuel Valls first steps not be the last.

* * (Musical Interlude) * *