

B"H

DeProgram Program

From the Mind of Sha'i ben-Tekoa

Transcript

<http://www.deprogramprogram.com>

Date: July 5, 2016 / ר"ח תמוז תשע"ו

Parasha: Khukas / חוקת

Title: Islamic Apartheid Against Israel

Copyright: Sha'i ben-Tekoa 2016

www.deprogramprogram.com

Shalom laYehudim, Shalom laBnai Noach, Shalom laGoyim. It is the evening of the 4th day, *erev rosh khodesh Tammuz*, *Parashas Khukas*, *tav-shin-ayin-vav*, the evening of the 3rd day, Tuesday, 5 July 2016, webcasting from the hills of Judea on a slow news day here, if not in Washington, DC.

I can't help but start with James Comey's press conference today, his statement about Hillary Clinton that I watched live, who he says is not indictable even after listing all of the wrong things she did.

I am not a lawyer but I was under the impression that in certain crimes, intent is irrelevant. Comey admitted Hillary was "extremely careless." He referenced her email traffic of classified material that should not have been transmitted. This woman set up her own email in her home, her own server, and Comey could find nothing to indict her for?

Everyone left and right has been saying that Comey is a straight arrow. There has been talk of mutiny in the FBI if he does not indict her. He listed all these things that should not have been done by Hillary Clinton, but he found nothing that crossed the line into the illegal?

Well, I'll leave it at that because I am not a lawyer, but heaven help us if this woman is elected president. Comey said she was "extremely careless" and I say, if so, that is sufficient cause to reject her as presidential material.

It's like the Israeli Air Force and the competition to become a fighter pilot. Like pilots in other air forces everywhere, it is the coolest thing to do. The competition is fierce and you have to be perfect.

One guy I heard of who in training was taking his plane out of the hanger scraped the wing-tip, not badly but enough to require repair. And for this he was washed out of the program. The lesson was that in wartime, there is no time for mistakes like that.

Likewise Big Power politics in world politics, I say, is not comparable to an elementary school pick up game of softball; there are no do-overs. Nothing but perfection is demanded and there are no second chances. For my money, Hillary Clinton, everything known about her behavior on the night of Benghazi, tells me that this is no experienced field general who deserves to be appointed Commander-in-Chief of the United States Armed Forces.

Anyway, enough of that. I await the intelligent commentary in the States tomorrow on what Comey just did, and will turn to events here in this slow news day.

I mean, all we can report on is Arabian would-be murderess who tried to stab an Israelite warrior in Samaria today. She missed and he shot her in the chest and was taken to hospital. But that is hardly news. A goy or goya trying to murder a Jew at random? That's not news.

In fact, the goyim, the nations of the world at that UN, have been trying to legalize Jew-killing for decades and tried again last Friday, where, most do not know, a General Assembly workday commonly means voting on resolutions that have no enforcement power. Sort of like a Sense of the Senate statement that is not legally binding. And last Friday the Organization of Islamic Cooperation tried to insert language in a resolution on the subject of Counter-Terrorism Strategy justifying terrorism against us.

This resolution was 15 pages of blather, mostly the usual, lukewarm verbal porridge about condemning terrorism in all its forms. But the Muslims wanted to insert language saying, "self-determination and national liberation does not constitute terrorism."

Israel's Ambassador Danny Danon successfully blocked this attempt, but I am not surprised, for this contest is decades old in the UN. For decades now, the UN has never drafted a definition of terrorism because the liberal democracies classify the homicidal terrorism against us as terrorism, and the Third World refuses to. That is what you just heard. If the violence is done in the name of "national liberation and self-determination," then it is not terrorism.

So here again week were the Muslims trying to legitimize the murder of Jews if done in the name of these two ideas. The idea is that when Jews are murdered and the murderer says he did it for self-determination and national liberation, then it's okay.

At the UN, the thinking of barbarians like these is often dominant. Like the European nations in Brussels who are not barbarians but gave Mahmoud Abbas a standing ovation after his medieval accusations of Jewish evil, so the dominant

atmosphere in the UN among its 193 states is anti-Israel, pro-Third World tyranny. The officially Muslim states, like one-party Communist states – these are official one-religion states -- constitute nearly a third of the body so it is not surprising the Muslims would try to insert language into this resolution making legitimate terror strikes against Jews in the one and only small Jewish state.

Think of that: the shameless, unconscious aggression here couched in the soft pillows of diplomatic speech. Here were the Muslims saying in the name of national liberation and self-determination it is possible to murder any and all Jews and to deny Jews the right to self-determination.

That is Palestinian Nationalism is, isn't right? A rebellion, a resistance, a pushback against the Jewish claim to self-determination in their ancient homeland. No, say the Muslims, the Jews have no right to self-determination, only the "Palestinians" do.

What these verbal gymnastics at the UN do is cover up a deep-seated hatred of Jews and a wish to destroy the Jewish people, G-d forbid. This language that the Muslims wanted to insert into this resolution called for decriminalizing terror strikes against random Jews if done in the name of Palestinian self-determination. It would be a license issued by the UN to kill Jews.

So we saw that last Friday right here in Israel when the father of that homicidal-suicidal Ishmael-clone last Thursday who slaughtered like an animal sweet little Hallel-Yaffa expressed pride in what his son had done "for the homeland."

I read that and say that this is another example of the innate larceny in the character of Ishmael and his seed. As Islam the name itself refers to the story of Abraham binding his son for a sacrifice -- and is therefore in itself an act of plagiarism -- stealing this story from the Jews and re-writing it, here we have an Arab sounding like a Zionist.

When was there ever a Palestinian people here that spoke of Falastin as their "homeland"? There never was such a people speaking like that. Indeed, Islam had no problem with an Eretz Yisrael over centuries reduced to waste as evidence that the Jews had lost their covenant and been expelled from their land. There was no "Palestinian" community here calling themselves "Palestinians" and speaking their own, unique "Palestinian" mother tongue. This is all functionally on a par with tales of the Arabian Nights, *A Thousand and One Nights*, tall tales. For Muslims, history is what you want it to be.

The rules of nature don't even apply in their fairy tales. Muslims say Muhammad one night flew on a flying horse from Mecca to Jerusalem and back, and on that basis Jerusalem belongs to them. The first Muslims, the Arabs, are a people with a bottomless appetite for the wealth of others and they come up with explanations as to why the wealth in question is really theirs that was stolen from them.

This is the story of Islam. They say Musa/Moses on top of Mt. Sinai was presented with the Koran by Allah and he brought it down the mountain, only at the bottom the evil Jews took it away from him and rewrote it and called it their Torah. But then 2,000 years later, along came Muhammad, peace be upon him, and the original text according which to Ibrahim tied up his son Ismail not in Al-Quds as those liars the Jews say but in Mecca was restored. That is why they make the *haj*. And the son was Isma'il, not Ishaq, as the lying Jews say.

And that is why last Friday at the UN the Muslims wanted to insert words saying the random massacres of Jews in the name of self-determination and national liberation is kosher.

Same-old same-old. These Muslims today are unchanged from thousands of years ago.

* * (Musical Interlude) * *

So one of the benefits of a slow news is catching up on items such as last week's Olympian statement by the Quartet of diplomats representing the US, Russia and the two bureaucracies, the EU and UN, in the matter of the "stalled peace process" as it is called, which is a double lie in our world of lies. It is not stalled because there never been a peace process because the Ishmaelites have never been honestly respectful of the right of Jews to live free of their rule.

It was of course another disgusting display of moral equivalence, this statement by the Quartet equating murder a.k.a. terrorism, incitement to and practice of terrorism, to the victimless crime of building more Jewish homes on barren hilltops.

But otherwise the statement was surprisingly less toxic than expected because it was honest about the Arabs and their lifestyle of praiseworthy manslaughter, incitement to terror and naming parks after terrorists. The statement mentioned that stuff, to its credit.

If there is, then, any benefit from the gruesome murder of Hallel-Yaffa and the praised heaped upon his son the butcher by his father is the confirmation of the moral rightness of Israeli rule in these hills that we would have to be insane to relinquish. These Arabs, these Muslims, are so different from us Jews, the very idea of an equal condominium ownership and management of the land west of the Jordan River, which was Oslo, was wrong from the gitgo.

The Oslo process was the negotiation over real estate, real property, conducted by Israelis who had never worked, functioned and succeeded in the real world of the private sector. Their idea of peace was inseparable from the judgment inherent in their plans that it was possible and right and good to shake hands with Yasir Arafat and Muhammad Abbas and basically flip-flop. No longer mortal enemies, they would become good and trusted

friends and partners for the benefit of both peoples. Their big idea of peace was going into partnership with Fatah because, after all, they are just like us.

What has characterized the classic Israeli persona has been a striving for peace with the Arabs by getting them to like us. The secular Zionists made no religious claims; they were post-religious. How enlightened it was for Moshe Dayan in 1967 to return sovereignty on the Temple Mount to the Waqf. Dayan pooh-poohed all religion and was not about to fight over it.

Ehud Barak as well, when in office, acted the same way. He lifted not a finger when the Muslims began carting off truckloads of dirt from the Temple Mount containing, of course, Jewish artifacts of centuries and even millennia gone by.

How typical of the irreligious Left to be deaf and blind to the importance of religion to the Muslims in their war against Israel. Because they themselves live life without religion, they cannot understand those whose only meaning in life, only satisfaction in life, is their religion. At some level of consciousness, the Muslims are aware of the abyss separating Judeo-Christian Western civilization from theirs in terms of every standard of the good life you can think of: standard of living, longevity, sense of personal freedom and freedom from fear; liberty. All they can point to in order to salvage their self-respect is belief in Islam as the one, true, correct religion. And in this religion, there is no room for a free-standing, independent state of Jews not subject to rule by Believers; an entity with a majority of Jews that rules over a minority of believers? This is intolerable for Muslims.

The ignorant men and women of the Quartet wrote that, “urgent steps were needed to prevent entrenching a one-state reality of perpetual occupation and conflict.”

This is just so wrong, historically wrong. The conflict, the Quartet seems not to know, started before 1967 when Israel came to rule in Judea and Samaria after two decades of rule by a direct descendant of Muhammad, the king in Amman, biblical Rabat Ammon. To blame the Israeli presence in Judea and Samaria to the conflict is to corrupt history; to delete fourteen centuries of the Jewish-Arab relationship, the Jewish-Muslim relationship.

The relationship did not begin in 1967, not in 1948, not in 1917, not in 1897 the year of the first Zionist Congress. The Jewish-Arab relationship is thousands of years old, all the way back to the Age of Avraham. The Jewish-Muslim relationship is fourteen centuries old and without knowledge of this period of history, pronouncements by the Quartet are destined to be ill-conceived.

Had the UN turned out to be more in line with its idealistic aspirations in the 1940s and 50s, and not been taken over by Third World dictatorships, it might today not be engaged in chronic ritual Jew-bashing disguised as support for the “victims of Zionist imperialism.” The UN began as the handiwork of the victorious anti-Nazi Allies, one of whose first important decisions as an organization was calling for, finally, thirty years after the Balfour Declaration, its fulfillment by recognizing a Jewish state, the Partition Plan, in what was left of the original Mandate map.

These were the very same Allied countries at the moment ruling in occupied Germany and seeking out ex-Nazis in a de-Nazification program in post-war Germany. Nazism became an illegal idea; printing *Mein Kampf* was a crime, etc. It has just now been legalized again.

So if that spirit of the UN at that time had carried through to our generation, the UN would not today be compulsively bashing Israel but attacking today's successor to Nazi Jew-hatred, and that is Islamic Jew-hatred.

Instead of wailing for a "Palestinian" state, the UN, continuing the tradition of the anti-Nazi founding Allies, would be campaigning against the virulent Jew-hatred found in the PA and in every Arab Muslim country where the media are all government-controlled.

The UN would be pressing the Arab and Muslim states to recognize the right of the Jewish people to independence, as surely as the UN pressed the Whites in South Africa to give the Blacks their freedom; as surely as it was right for the Whites in the American South to entitle Negroes to full rights as citizens.

So today the real victims of apartheid are the Jews. The irony of the Israel Apartheid accusation is that the real, operative apartheid system is that of Islam; it is what drives Arab-Muslim aggression. Sharia law was enforced against Jews and Christians and others oppressed by this legislation that concretized the Koran's command that Infidels, Non-Believers, be oppressed and humiliated for their stubborn refusal to accept that Islam is the one true correct religion.

That is the real apartheid system at work today that Israel must fight against but does not.

* * (Musical Interlude) * *

And again because it was a slow news day in Israel, if not in Washington, there was an interesting piece in the JPost Business and Finance pages about the design of modern airports by contemporary architects who have to take into account the possibility of murder and mayhem perpetrated by Muslims – my words of course; the possibility that airports today may be attacked by these savages.

This Reuters piece did use the word terrorism -- I prefer "murder and mayhem" – because I have come to hate the word terrorism because it no longer connotes the terror and the horror of the actions it is used to label.

Pointed out in this article was something that yours truly had not taken notice of: In Ben Gurion Airport, in the departures hall, the interior, everywhere is free of armed soldiers in combat gear which is what you see in airports all over the world now. And that is because Israel's security starts outside the airport boundaries, not at the terminals when it is too late.

It is also now architectural logic, and this is how the airport was designed here, I sure -- that big, open spaces with high ceilings are preferable because they reduce the impact of a bomb smuggled in and exploded in the hall.

The open spaces also provide security cameras and plainclothes security agents open lines of sight. This then is the result of the modern era with its globe-encircling transportation system that now allows Muslim barbarians to leave their countries and prey upon non-Muslims all around the world.

Their invasion of Europe is daily in the headlines, and they're bent on other countries too. There are now over 3,000 mosques in the US and one authoritative source reckons 80% of them are led by jihadi preachers.

And I would add, 80% may be too low, for Islam has nothing to teach but jihad. It is a crusading doctrine. Its devotees everywhere in public opinion polls confess sharia law is superior to the law of the country of immigration they live in, and it is their hope that sharia law becomes the law of the land. Unlike immigrants to the US and Canada and Australia in the 19th and 20th centuries who wanted to adopt the culture of their countries of asylum, Muslims want their new countries of residence to adopt their culture and behaviors. And when a local community objects to their demands, the community is smeared with "Islamophobia."

As the Barbary Pirates were for a thousand years a fact of life that no one dreamed of eliminating, so modern terrorism has become a fact of life. In Barbary days, piracy was seen as a hazard of maritime travel that governments did not take responsibility for. Piracy was considered a hazard of travel like icebergs and storms, acts of God, a calamity for the insurance companies but not governments. So in our generation the spread of Muslims all over the world has become a fact of life in the matter of international commerce and transportation as surely as the Mussulmen of the Mediterranean were in their day.

With a major change being the difference between liberals two centuries ago when they were led by such as Thomas Jefferson who went to war against Islam, and today's liberals who think Islam is a peaceful religion and the violent ones are outliers and unrepresentative of this "peaceful" faith.

The new Canadian foreign minister Stephane Dion just pronounced on the bombing in Baghdad two days ago that took the lives of over two hundred human beings. Dion said "ISIS has once again demonstrates its disregard for human life and for Islam, a religion of peace that fosters the respect and promotion of human life."

This guy is as much a fool as his prime minister Justin Trudeau who recently condemned the horror in Orlando but never mentioned that the maniac was a Muslim shouting his allegiance to Islam.

* * (Musical Interlude) *