

**B"H**

# *DeProgram Program*

*From the Mind of Sha'i ben-Tekoa*

*Transcript*

<http://www.deprogramprogram.com>

**כד אייר תשע"ו / May 31, 2016**

**במדבר / BeMidbar**

**Title: JPost Pornographic**

**Copyright: Sha'i ben-Tekoa 2016**

[www.deprogramprogram.com](http://www.deprogramprogram.com)

*Shalom laYehudim, Shalom laBnai Noach, Shalom laGoyim.* It is the evening of the 4th day, *kaf-dales beIyyar, Parashas BeMidbar, tav-shin-ayin-vav*, the evening of the 3rd day, Tuesday, 31 May 2016, webcasting from Israel, home of the Jews ever striving for peace and never getting it.

The peace process so-called is like an old-fashioned TV soap opera that never ends. Will Israel and the Palestinians get back together at the negotiating table? That is almost a weekly, even a daily news story around here.

The top headline in JPost today was “In surprise move, PM says he’s ready to negotiate based on Saudi peace plan. Post learned that Hanegbi will help Netanyahu lead new push – Bennett said to be opposed to change in stance.”

Quoted directly later directly was the PM who said, “We are ready to negotiate with the Arab states on an updated draft that reflects the dramatic regional changes that have occurred since 2002 but which maintains the agreed upon goal of two states for two peoples.”

I read that and thought, this guy is a serious taurine excreta artist. He is saying, “Yes, let’s talk peace with your demands on the table, and when Israel talks, it will point out the danger of retreating because in our place will come ISIS or Al-Qaida, Hamas or Hezbollah, meaning Iran, and Israel will not agree to commit suicide. It will do whatever is necessary to survive; it will do nothing to weaken its self-defense, and under those conditions Israel will gladly sit at the same table with the Saudis to discuss their plan.”

He might also add that peace means a public declaration by Riyadh saying that “The Jews in their ancient homeland are no longer to be judged *dhimmi*. They no longer belong to a Sharia-mandated, second-class community. They are entitled to their independence.” The Saudis have to say that.

It's all blah-blah. I think Bibi is just, to borrow a sports metaphor, running out the clock until January 20, 2017 when the secret Muslim agent leaves the White House, a curse be upon him. In that epic Jeffrey Goldberg riff on Obama's foreign policy, we learned that Obama's former speechwriter, one Jon Favreau, recently said that he and Ben Rhodes and Obama viewed their “entire job as restructuring the American narrative.”

Absolutely. It is what Obama advertised before his first election in 2008. He was going to “fundamentally change” America, and that means changing the American narrative. In his first inaugural speech, he dropped hints that this would mean turning America's back on Israel and favoring Israel's mortal enemies. He oh so subtly slapped the Jewish people in the face in that speech, and then immediately, in the next sentence, turned to Islam and promised a new beginning.

And boy has that been true. Instead of standing up to outrages against Americans overseas in terrorist attacks, Obama began to be the Muslim's defense lawyer to the American people. When video of mass beheadings by Muslims surfaced, and in particular American citizens among the victims, Obama sermonized to the American people not to rush to judgment and not to forget the Crusades and how cruel the Crusaders were. Instead of defending the American people from Muslim terrorists, he defended Muslim terrorists to the American people.

He also trashed the classic American hatred of Communism, manifest in a half-century of bi-partisan support for an anti-Castro embargo of Cuba. One of Obama's mentors was the editor of the Communist Party newspaper in Chicago. His father too, I believe, was a bit of a Stalinist. His fundamental change was remaking America in his own pro-Muslim, pro-Communist image. By forbidding, like a Communist commissar, in the United States administration use of the term “Islamic or Muslim terrorism,” he identified himself as a defender of Muslim terrorists.

Oh, how I envy future historians who will be scratching their heads over this generation of Americans who did nothing to stop this man. They even elected him a second time. How to explain this paralysis in the face of hostility and traitorous behavior; how to explain the cowardice of the American people? Otherwise intelligent people have been in denial of Obama's traitorous behavior, his freeing of terrorist big shots in order get back one Muslim traitor in the U.S. army; his release of Muslim terrorists from Gitmo who have returned to terror against Americans. Americans have been wimps in the face of this man.

So there is much material for future historians, including this admission that this speechwriter Jon Favreau, Ben Rhodes and Obama saw their “entire job restructuring the American narrative.”

And I say beware of the word “narrative” which is used here as it was used by Edward Said starting in the 1960s and 70s who preached that Zionism may have been a worthy goal for the Jews, but for his putatively prehistoric people, it was a catastrophe, a *nakba*. And ever since, the term “narrative” has been used as a sly way of saying, in postmodernist fashion, that the truth has many versions and they are all of equal worth. The Zionist narrative romanticized in Leon Uris’s historical romance *Exodus* was the Jewish narrative, and the Palestinians have their narrative too according to which the Jews were criminal villains. That’s the Palestinian narrative, and what racist or Islamophobe dares criticize this narrative as a fairy tale, as a string of lies?

These three horsemen of the apocalypse, Obama, Rhodes and Favreau re-wrote the American narrative, as that the lunatic American Jewish historian Howard Zinn used to in order to portray the country in an evil light. Hence, Obama’s Apology tour when he took office, and the public desire he expressed for a new relationship between Islam and America when foreign policy is conducted between states, not religions.

Obama’s fundamental change in the Middle East was favoring Iran over Israel, when Iran had long publically, shamelessly, toyed in public with the idea of nuking Israel, G-d forbid.

In 2009, there was an attempted uprising against the Iranian regime that got not even lip service from this man. He also ordered all references to Islam and terrorism in military courses and manuals expunged.

And we are still worried about what more he can do against Israel before he leaves office, which worry may have triggered Prime Minister Netanyahu’s latest page one sentence that he will consider the Saudi initiative as a base for discussion. I think he is just treading water, running out the clock. It does not mean anything, for if Israel were forced into sitting down with the Saudis, so what? This has been the secular Zionist dream from Day One. They do not want the Arabs to treat Israelis as if they were Jews. Zionism was paradoxically for many of the early Zionists not a movement to rescue the Jewish people but abandon them and create a New Jew unlike the Old Jew that everybody hated. I have vivid memories of another Israeli old flame telling me in all sincerity that she was an Israeli and not a Jew.

The Israelis, versus the Jews, dream that in the State of Israel, life will become indistinguishable from life in Europe or the US or Canada or Australia. None of that Chosen People stuff. And such types are now running the Jerusalem Post which keeps piling on the column inches in page after page about transgenders. There was a “beauty contest” in Tel-Aviv the other day won by an Arab man from the Galilee. There was

one article about that even two or three others in the same edition about these unfortunate people.

In the once serious Friday magazine of the Post, it seems like once month now, there is yet another article on the LGBT community. And of course the graphics accompanying these articles are photos of men dressed as women that were judged before the 1960s to be pornography. The Jerusalem Post is publishing what used to be called pornography, sexual deviance, sex as an act of pleasure having nothing to do with bringing into the world more children to raise and love and educate. Hedonism is a good word for the LGBT community.

I personally have come to the view that all sexual deviance is as much an addiction as alcoholism and as powerful as heroin or even crack. All of them induce intense physical pleasure that addicts the user and enslaves him. The so-called transgender male is one who is aroused by putting on women's clothes and can become so addicted to the pleasure, it enslaves him and he wants it 24-7.

In this light, all perversions are addictions to one's own endorphins, chemical cousins of morphine and heroin.

And no good can come from this degenerate acceptance in Western society over the last half-century of unnatural sexual behavior. It is unnatural because the pleasure of procreation is stripped from the process of creating new life. It exploits conjugal relations for the pleasure but discards the purpose of the activity that is the most G-d-like thing a human being can do: create another person.

\* \* (Musical Interlude) \*

So, let's see, on the domestic political front, my least favorite sphere in Israel, Lieberman is now defense minister and I think there were other switches, more musical chairs. I wish I were a millionaire to hire an efficiency expert to do a time-and-motion study on Israeli politicians in the government and Knesset to discover how much time in the day they put in serving the public versus socializing and celebrating and raising toasts to one another over the new composition of the cabinet. There is much to take pride in in Israel but not its political system.

On the foreign affairs front, in opposition to the BDS success among academics in the West against Israel, this week some 150 security professionals from more than 40 countries will fly in to Ben Gurion Airport and be given a crash course -- pun intended -- in how to protect one's airplanes from homicidal Muslims maniacs -- but of course that's my language, not those who have organized this learning session.

There are those calling for boycotts of Israel, and others who recognize Israeli excellence in various fields and know that they would be fools to boycott what Israel has learned and has to teach when confronted by -- again my words -- Islamic devils who are

so intellectually and spiritually defective in their minds and hearts, they have no scruples about placing bombs on airliners to blow them out of the sky.

These are homicidal Muslim maniacs and no good can come from enemies of Western civilization like Barack Obama who deliberately cover up Islam's sanction for this type of demonic behavior. Men who place bombs on commercial airliners to blow them up in midair are agents of the Devil. If there is a devil, they are his agents.

The Devil, by the way, rarely if ever violates nature; only G-d can do that; violates natural laws and engages in magical events. No, the Devil works inside normal human beings and leads them to commit horrors for him.

And now, in a follow-up to the ongoing BDS campaign and last webcast's support for the Dutch foreign minister who says BDS is legal free speech, we now have the Irish Foreign Minister Charles Flanagan due to visit Israel this coming month who likewise supports the legitimate right of the BDS campaign to call for boycotting Israel.

But I certainly did not go on to say, as he did that, "I do not agree with attempts to demonize those who advocate the policy or to equate them with violent terrorists."

Now this is simply intolerable. There is no contradiction between recognizing the BDS right to free speech and recognizing the ulterior motive of destroying Israel, and the truth that the BDS people support the terrorists. In this, the BDS types deserve to be described as he did. They demonize themselves by their ulterior motives and by the fact that free speech is not a guarantee that the speech spoken will be truthful and not a cesspool of lies about Jews, which it often is.

This Irish view of the BDS campaign is that it is legitimate. Flanagan explicitly said BDS is a "legitimate political viewpoint," which he could not say if he allowed himself to see beneath the surface of their dishonest propaganda. They use the so-called "occupation of Judea and Samaria" as a diversion from their ulterior and ultimate ambition, the destruction of the entire State of Israel, G-d forbid. That is not a "legitimate political viewpoint."

And the reason Ireland's foreign minister can speak as he does is the legitimacy that Israel gives to the "Palestinian" narrative. At Bar Ilan, Prime Minister Netanyahu saluted the rightness of a state for the "Palestinian" people, and since then antiJews have been waiting for Netanyahu to follow through on this.

So, as for Irish Foreign Minister Flanagan, he is wrong in calling the BDS movement legitimate, not when its aspiration is destroying the only Jewish state on the planet, though it does have the legal right to protest Israel's continuing presence in Judea and Samaria so long as Israel does not follow through on its government's oft-repeated desire for the two-state solution. What I am saying is that Flanagan is encouraged in his reprehensible support for BDS, which does cheer on the terrorists, by an Israel that agrees that Israel must retreat from Judea and Samaria.

So Flanagan is wrong that BDS is a legitimate movement, not when its ultimate goal is death to Israel, but it can remain a legal movement, which is not the same thing, within the boundaries of free speech, which does not permit direct calls for murder, which BDS does not engage in.

It is kind of a reverse situation created by President Ronald Reagan at the beginning of his first term when he said the settlements were legal but not legitimate in the framework of searching for peace. In other words, they were legal but unhelpful.

And here we have John Flanagan of Ireland who mixes up legal and legitimate in the reverse direction. BDS is legitimate, he says when it is not, but it is legal.

\* \* (Musical Interlude) \*

Now here's a news item that rings my bell. Last Wednesday, the New York Times, writing up the packing of the Democratic Party platform committee by Sanders the antiJew Jew Communist from Brooklyn, the Times used the expression in quotes, "Israel's occupation of the West Bank and Gaza," for which journalist Glenn Greenwald mocked the Times for using the quotes as "abject cowardice."

Greenwald, for those who don't know, is a tragically twisted soul, an Israel-bashing Jew and aggressive sodomite. Apparently, following his insult, the sodomite-supporting New York Times removed the quotes. Greenwald wrote up the incident for The Intercept, which I am not familiar with, where he wrote, "This is journalistic malfeasance at its worst: refusing to describe the world truthfully out of fear of the negative reaction by influential factions."

This is rubbish. You know, as if the Jewish lobby won't let the Times publish as it wants. Greenwald, I consider, even lower down the scale of contemptible antiJew Jew journalists than Jeffrey Goldberg. He is adamant that the truth is that Israel is in "occupation of the West Bank," which the quotes in the Times seem to cast doubt on.

AntiJew Jews like Greenwald are incapable of playing defense lawyer for Israel, the eternal target of lunatics. Instead, this sexual invert, which used to be a clinical term, is also inverted in his political views about the people he was born into. It is not difficult to make the case for Israeli sovereignty in Judea and Samaria, but twisted fellows like Greenwald never do. When it comes to supporting millions of fellow Jews, they are paralyzed.

This incident, by the way, reminds me of a point I illustrate in my book. In the 1950s and 60s, when the same New York Times reported on Arab terrorists, they called them -- whaddaya know -- terrorists without quotation marks.

But with the birth of the hallucinatory Palestinians, when it literally became life-threatening for journalists in the region to call the PLO terrorists terrorists, the Times began using quotes around the word to indicate to the reader that the judgment that they were terrorists was not theirs but an Israeli official or supporter of Israel. Via the quotes,

the reader is to understand that it was not the position of the Times that they were terrorists. In their own eyes, they are “freedom fighters.”

Thus was instituted the practice at the Times of never writing terrorist without quotes. And it is this practice, likely inadvertently committed, that lit the fuse of Glenn Greenwald. How dare the Times not agree that Israel is occupying land that rightfully belongs to the victims of Zionism?

It is really getting to be a problem, this tyranny of the Left. They are adamant about the truth of their narrative and have the right to shut down other narratives. That is the way in all totalitarian cultures, like the old Soviet Union and Arab countries to this day, like Iran, where no newspaper dare deviate from the government line and survive.

Two weeks ago, in a classroom at the infamous UC Irvine campus, twelve pro-Israel students had gathered to watch an Israeli film about the IDF, *Beneath the Helmet*, when a mob of some 50 anti-Israelites showed up, chanted, cursed, tried to break in and succeeded in ending the screening, with the campus police having to escort the beleaguered Jews to safety.

The Chancellor of UC Irvine Howard Gillman said this “crossed the line of civility,” and the Orange County Register newspaper reported that the university administration is “investigating whether disciplinary or legal actions are appropriate.”

Puh-leeze. My commentary is, “You gotta be kidding, Howard.” Henceforth, he should announce that every Jewish activity on campus will be surveilled by hidden security cameras and patrolled by plainclothes police who will photograph and arrest any disrupter who will then be immediately expelled from UC Irvine. That is the only way to deal with this.

Howard Gillman should demand from Gov. Jerry Brown deploying national guardsmen to protect Jewish students in these situations, like President Eisenhower who ordered troops to escort and protect Negroes entering Little Rock Arkansas’s Central High School when segregation was outlawed.

In 1968, the Columbia University campus exploded with student rebellion that captured the attention of the media for weeks. The New York City police were called in to clear the occupied buildings. Heads were cracked; it was a police riot. But in the end, some students were expelled. And yours truly was in the middle of this circus.

The following year, I was a graduate student at the University of Chicago where the radical Left there tried to imitate the Columbia circus of the previous spring, only the Administration, after clearing out the Administration Building occupied by students expelled like 80 of them which shocked those spoiled intellectuals but did put a stop to this phenomenon.

If American Jewry were not so bloodless – think of all the Jews in California -- they would take up arms against this growing, poisonous antiJew atmosphere on college campuses.

31.05.16.

## DeProgramProgram.com

But I doubt they will precisely because they are so empty of any knowledge of Jewishness and commitment to it. All they can do is pretend it is not happening.

\* \* (Musical Interlude) \*

Last item: A soldier was walking today in Tel-Aviv, the height of Western civilization in Israel, when he was attacked from behind by a screwdriver, I think, wielded by, according to YNETnews com, a “17 year-old Palestinian from the West Bank.”

It will be a better Israel when Israeli news sources write, “a 17 year-old Ishmaelite from Samaria.”

*Leila tov miEretz Yisrael.*