

B"H

DeProgram Program

From the Mind of Sha'i ben-Tekoa

Transcript

<http://www.deprogramprogram.com>

Date: *May 26, 2016 / י"ט אייר תשע"ו*

Parasha: *Bekbukosai / בחוקותי*

Title: *Belligerent Islam*

Copyright: *Sha'i ben-Tekoa 2016*

www.deprogramprogram.com

Shalom laYehudim, Shalom laBnai Noach, Shalom laGoyim. It is the evening of the 6th day, *yud-tes beIyyar, Parashas Bekbukosai, tav-shin-ayin-vav*, the evening of the 5th day, Thursday, 26 May 2016, webcasting from the room, as in “the elephant in the room.”

Israel is the room, and the elephant inside that no one wants to see is “Hating the Jews” as people have always hated the Jews.

Instead, they see the “Israeli-Palestinian” Conflict that is nothing but a smoke screen of verbal camouflage.

JPost’s hopelessly liberal writer Tovah Lazaroff covered today an event in London hosted by Prospect Magazine, which I am not familiar with, in which former British PM and Quartet peacemaker Tony Blair was given the stage to opine on the violence that never ends here. By the way, today another Arab was fixing to stab a Jew but got caught, I think.

Tony Blair gave his support to the so-called Saudi Peace Initiative of 2002 that calls for Israel -- when you get right down to it -- to hand over some 2,000 square miles of real estate that it has developed with its own money since 1967 and calls for moving out a half-million Jews from their homes, in exchange for which the Arabs will allow Israel to have an office and an ambassador in each of their countries. The Arabs will speak and write the word “peace” on a piece of paper; will open diplomatic relations and the price for this is these 2,000 square miles of developed real estate and the turning of a half-million Jews into homeless refugees like the 10,000 in 2005.

Tony Blair either has a very good act as a friend of Israel but is insincere, when he praises such an insane deal, or he is a fool and an ignoramus when it comes to the Arabs and what this violence is all about.

But to be fair to him, maybe he has a feeble imagination and does not think through the consequences of such a utopian peace plan. We hand over all of this land, and all these Jews are made homeless, and in exchange we get the word peace on a piece of paper and a few diplomats and secretaries can be posted in the Arab countries.

All Israel has to do is hand back Judea and Samaria, and never mind the destruction of the lives of hundreds of thousands of Jews. Blair may not have the imagination to follow through on what his pipedream would mean in reality, so like many people when they opine about Israel, they really are making a statement about themselves and where they stand intellectually and emotionally and spiritually vis-à-vis the Jews and our homicidal neighbors the Muslim Arabs.

Come to think of it, that is quite a combination: Muslims and Arabs, two cultures reinforcing each other. Like Barack Obama who is a weird combination of Muslim and Communist, so our immediate neighbors are Arabs and Muslims at the same time, another terrible one-two punch.

I mean, for years I scratched my head over the question, "Is our enemy the Arabs, as in the 'Arab-Israeli' Conflict?" Or is the enemy Muslims?

I mean, what is wrong with these people who are so violent toward one another, let alone their endless aggression against us? They kill far more of their own than they do of us.

But eventually I came to the conclusion that the problem is their Arabness, not their Islam because they act today the way they acted before they became Muslims. And of course there are Christian Arabs who have been very high up in the PLO murder cult. It is the fact that they are Arabs and the fact that there is no mention of Muslims in Tanakh and in Shas (the Talmud). It is their Arabness that pre-dates their stolen religion.

It is the behavior of pre-Islamic Arabs that characterizes them to this day; it is what makes them so obnoxious to the world. Thousands of people are waiting in security check lines at airports to get on a flight for summer vacation because of the Arabs.

They are obnoxious for their cruelty to one and another. One news item today out of Egypt was about events a week ago in Mina province, south of Cairo -- I've been through there -- long known for its abuse of Christians, where a mob of Muslims looted then torched seven Christian homes after a rumor flew that a Christian man had had an affair with a Muslim woman. So then the man's mother, in retaliation for this affair, was dragged into the street by the mob and stripped naked.

Where else in the world does this happen? Things like this, this insane, sex-based madness? Like last New Year's Eve in Germany and Austria, literally mobs of sex-crazed Muslim males attacking hundreds of European women in the streets, wild asses of men whose sense of decency is so absent, they truly are like unbridled, undomesticated animals, jackasses in heat. They are not civilized and should not be allowed to live in civilized societies.

So I pondered for the longest time: Is it Islam or the fact they are Arabs, and I came down on the fact of their nature as Arabs that Islam has only partially been able to bridle and subdue.

Not that Tony Blair sees the parties here in these terms. He sees the "Israeli-Palestinian" Conflict that is a tug-of-war over real estate between two parties with equal rights to it, and doggoneit, they both have equal rights, don't they? In fact, the Israel-Palestinian conflict may be the most balanced conflict on the planet. The scales of justice do not tip to one side or the other. It is impossible to judge who has the superior claim to this real estate, and that is unique on the planet.

This is the success, the triumph of world antiJewry in this generation. They invented a fairy tale and have gotten the whole world to believe in it, including, tragically, today's Israelis, that is, the ruling class here.

For example, early in the month, Prime Minister Netanyahu, responding to renewed talk of the so-called Saudi Initiative, tweeted that "an updated initiative which addresses our concerns merits further discussion. Israel will always seek peace."

This is Bibi's gambit of talking peace but hedging it with such conditions it's all double-talk. That tactic has its merits in the short term but not in the long. What's wrong with it is the continuation of the verbal camouflage about the violence here against us. It accepts the existence of a "Palestinian" people with national rights to a state that feeds the BDS types around the world. At Bar Ilan and even today, Netanyahu functionally agreed with the BSD "Hate Israel Crowd" that the "Palestinians" have a right to a state. He unwittingly supports the BDS types in doing so. He has no argument with them over the right of the "Palestinians" to a state. And that they don't have one means they have a legitimate grievance against the Jews who have done them wrong and continue to do them wrong by denying them their rightful state.

And so you read news items like another one today about that African-American intellectual Cornell West who has been drafted to help draft the Democratic Party platform. He who is on record calling the Jewish presence in Judea and Samaria, an "ugly occupation, vicious, brutal, that must be brought to attention and needs to be brought to an end. There is no doubt that Gaza is not just a kind of concentration camp, it is the 'hood on steroids."

Okay, here is this classically low-brow Jew-hater, like Obama, like Al Sharpton, Obama's vizier on Black affairs, agreeing with Bibi that the "Palestinians" should have a state and Israel prevents that by its presence, its "occupation" of land belonging to this nation horribly abused by history because everyone knows the Palestinians had nothing to do with the Holocaust but have been made to pay for it anyway.

This is the Palestinian Narrative, you know. After the War, the Europeans, feeling guilty for the Holocaust, through the new United Nations, licensed the Jews to barge into Palestine and steal it from the Palestinians – and never mind that their leader lived in Berlin and was on Hitler's payroll, the same Haj Amin who was planning, in the event of a Nazi victory, to establish death camps and crematoria in the Galilee.

Israel's greatest mistake ever, I think, was refusing to fight the invention of this lie; indeed its leaders in politics and the arts have encouraged it. One cannot underestimate the importance of the role Israelis played in fostering the fictitious "Palestinian" national identity with concurrent rights as a nation as real as those of the nation to its ancient homeland of what is now called Israel-Palestine.

Like the twin cities, Minneapolis-St. Paul, Israel and Palestine are now locked in verbal embrace concretizing in words the hostile designs of the AntiJew.

* * (Musical Interlude) * *

Yes, this performance on Tuesday by Tony Blair was a fine example of the verbal gas that human beings exude these days when trying to talk intelligently about the homicidal aggression against us here by the Arabs, who have been given permission and license to act as they do by their uncivilized notion of a monotheistic religion.

I've said before it is antiJew propaganda to talk of Islam as an Abrahamic religion. This is copyright infringement, intellectual property theft. I'm sorry, but this in no way true. Abraham was the epitome of kindness in his time, as Noah his forebear ten generations back had been a standout in righteousness. Avraham was the kindest of men but his first-born son was just the opposite. He took after his mother's people, the Egyptians, Egypt being one of the four sons of Ham, son of Noah who may have either raped or castrated his own father.

Which may have been at the root that recent horrible news, 44 years later, that when Mahmoud Abbas's emissaries in Munich at the Olympic Games tied up Israel's athletes in that dormitory, they chose the strongest, the weightlifter, Yosef Roman, to castrate him in front of his teammates.

Israel's foolishness in 1993, the foolishness of those shallow, anti-religious, uneducated blockheads Peres, Rabin and Beilin and their crowd, was to think it not only smart but moral to shake hands with Arafat and Abbas on the White House lawn that

September 13th. It was a kind of stupidity about human nature and immorality mistaken for high morality to do that; shake hands with these men responsible for the Munich horror. To expect from such brutes a transformation from lunatic ghoul terrorist fiend to good neighbor who keeps his end of a bargain and wants only a new, friendly, win-win relationship is virtually inhuman, abnormal. If Arafat and Abbas were not famous in politics, they would be local Arab Mafiosi, gangsters with whom no decent person would go into business with.

And what does Bibi do? In his tweet, he ended by saying Israel will always seek peace, when that too is part of the Palestinian Narrative. Israel wants peace with the "Palestinians" and agrees they should have a state but Israel has "security concerns." He says Israel is always seeking peace, as if Israel has to prove that as if this conflict is about an Israel that doesn't quite want peace and to achieve that Israel has to concede something, compensate the other side with something which Israel is willing to do, says Bibi.

Israel's respect for their demands has negative consequences. The Palestinian Narrative is 100% as fraudulent as Holocaust Denial. They are both mutilations of history. The former deletes events in the past as if they never happened, and the latter invents events in the past that did not happen.

There is a downside to this Israeli behavior and one of its other negatives is the effect on the Israelis and Jews everywhere; telling them that "Yes, we owe the Palestinians something because our behavior was less than perfect. We bear guilt and must compensate the victims of our Zionism."

This playing along with the fantasy does immense damage. I think every school kid in Israel must be taught that there are no "Palestinians." That this is a hoax; that they should be called Southern Syrians because that is what Haj Amin said for forty years.

It is all a lie, which is what has been true about antisemitism throughout history. In every generation, people believe in deeds of great Jewish evil that legitimizes Jew-hatred and taking serious action against them.

In other words, when Bibi says Israel always seeks peace, he is replying to accusations that Israel doesn't want peace. "Oh," he says, "yes we do." He does not deny the accusation. He affirms it. He might respond that it is the Arabs who must seek peace with us and cease their homicidal aggression against us and recognize our right to our ancient homeland as we recognize the Arabs' right to their ancient homeland, the Arabian Peninsula.

So Tony Blair was flacking for the Saudi "peace" initiative which the Arabs understand to mean the driving of a half-million Jews from their homes, and asking Israel to "commit to a discussion around the Saudi peace initiative as the context in which these negotiation can take place, then it would be possible, I think, to have some steps of normalization along the way to give confidence."

What a mouthful of cotton candy for the mind. He wants Israel to commit to discussing the Saudi Initiative and that would lead to “some steps of normalization along the way to give confidence.”

Dear me. 23 years ago, Israel tried that, the old “confidence building measures” game. That is how they talk at the Brookings Institute, where Martin Indyk is in charge. They talk of a peace process as if there is a movement from one stage to another, when judging by the Arab media, the Arabs haven’t moved a millimeter in 23 years. Their fantasy life about Jews has remained unchanged. Last webcast, we saw how they teach their little girls that Jews murder Muslims on the Temple Mount.

There is no difference here between this fantasy in the 21st century and that of an illiterate Polish peasant a thousand years ago who believed Jews murder others to suck out their blood.

Said Blair, “You have a gulf of trust and credibility on both sides. And the only way you can bridge that is to build from the bottom up as well as from the top down.”

And I ask, what is that supposed to mean, “A gulf of trust and credibility on both sides”? Moral equivalence between Israelis and Palestinians, a similar level of intellectual and emotional intelligence too, one supposes. Both should trust the other side more, he seems to be saying.

Once against we are in the land of make-believe as if there is any equivalence between our reality testing and theirs; between our preference for honest history and their fantasy life that re-writes history to help them get what they want in the present.

Anyone, Tony Blair included, who sees any equivalence between Jews and Arabs in this clash is simply misreading reality and truth.

* * (Musical Interlude) * *

Yes, I wish the prime minister and other Israeli spokesmen were more direct in ceasing to plead that Israel wants peace with the “Palestinians” and instead shine a powerful searchlight on the darkness in their minds when they think about Jews. They really, so many of them, are off the rails in their brains.

A week ago at the UN, an Israeli exhibit on Israel called Jerusalem “the spiritual and physical capital of the Jewish people” that angered the Arabs in that organization for “purporting to assert Israeli sovereignty in Jerusalem.” The PA spokesman called the display of images of Jerusalem “misleading and inappropriate depictions that negate the Palestinian existence in the city as well as its historical Arab, Muslim and Christian identity and heritage over the centuries.”

This is what the PA’s charge d’Affaires at the UN said. This is from the same PA that we learned last time spent 24 million dollars to build a Museum of Palestine, presumably to parallel Israel’s national museum near the Knesset -- which by the way is

wonderful after its refurbishing -- only that new Palestine Museum is empty. If the PA cannot fill it with artifacts of “Palestinian” history and culture, how is Israel supposed to portray the “Palestinian presence in Jerusalem” in its display?

I mean, is there in Jerusalem a church or a mosque unique to the Palestinians, celebrating a “Palestinian” rite? Roman Catholics and different Protestant sects and Armenians and Greek Orthodox and Russian Orthodox all have their distinctive churches. Why don't the “Palestinians”?

Has there ever been a conflict between two parties in which the core of the conflict is forever hidden?

Fatah had been the top dog terror cell in the PLO syndicate that was cloaked as the PA in 1993, because of the deception that they were nationalist free-fighters, patriots for their nation, their historic patria/homeland, when in truth Fatah was founded by eight Muslims, eight Arabs who grew up in the Muslim Brotherhood, whose branch in Mandatory Palestine had been created by Yasir Arafat's father. Arafat grew up in this group as sort of the son of the Scoutmaster in the local Scout group. All of them were loyal to the Muslim Brotherhood that was founded to combat Western-style nationalism. The Brotherhood was created in 1928 in reaction to Ataturk's triumph in Turkey in deposing the caliphate of the sultan and introducing Western style democracy. He ordered that the Western alphabet replace Arabic as the script to Turkish is written in; men were forbidden to wear the fez as a symbol of the old culture; women were freed from their scarves and hoods, and all this horrified Hassan al-Banna in Port Said, Egypt, a schoolteacher who mourned the defeat and collapse of the Ottoman Empire ruled by Sharia law. It was his goal to return the Middle East to its Islamic roots and reject all notions of Western liberal democracy -- including the very anti-Islam heresy that Jews had a right to independence in Dar al-Islam/the House of Islam, the League of Nations Mandate.

The West simply has to wake up to the menace that Islam is. It is simply not like other religions and religious communities. Think of those hordes of Muslim men on New Year's Eve in many cities Europe who behaved in ways unknown in civilized societies.

Islam is different. Last week, JPost cited a Memri.org translation of a jihadi publication saying that ISIS sees in its hostility to Israel a fight against all “polytheist combatants, including the Jews who are legitimate targets.” ISIS is aware of Israeli surveillance in Sinai and Syria using drones and spies and threatened in response “a global war” against Israel. ISIS also sees itself at war with others in the world and the Middle East who are secularists, nationalists, communists and even Hamas, which ISIS sees as not really Islamic because it makes ceasefires with Israel.

In a nutshell, Islam is a religion that is shamelessly bent on overrunning the whole world and killing as many people as it needs to in order to succeed.

And as we all know, they have no scruples about anything. They license themselves to do anything to anybody in their self-righteous drive for world conquest.

26.05.16.

DeProgramProgram.com

I don't use the terms "Radical Islam" or "Extremist Islam" because they are misleading and mis-describe the reality, as I see it. It is just Islam. If one wants to add an adjective, I prefer Belligerent Islam versus the passive ones, perhaps because they are just lazy. "Belligerent Islam" works because it is from the Latin word for war. They are at war with the world and those who do not agree that Islam is the only correct religion are enemies unto death.

Islam, not Palestinians, not even ISIS or Al-Qaeda, is the enemy.

* * (Musical Interlude) * *