

B"H

# DeProgram Program

*From the Mind of Sha'i ben-Tekoa*

*Transcript*

<http://www.deprogramprogram.com>

*Date: April 26, 2016 / יב ניסן תשע"ו*

*Parasha: Acharei Mot / אַחֲרֵי מוֹת*

*Title: The Morality of Tribesmen*

**Copyright: Sha'i ben-Tekoa 2016**

**www.deprogramprogram.com**

*Shalom laYehudim, Shalom laBnai Noach, Shalom laGoyim.* It is the evening of the 4<sup>th</sup> day, *yud-bes beNissan, tav-shin-ayin-vav*, the evening of the 3<sup>rd</sup> day, Tuesday, 26 April 2016 webcasting from the Land of Holiness promised to the seed of Avraham almost 4,000 years ago. And the world is still fighting that.

Well, last webcast, last Thursday, the day after I returned, one item concerned Ms. Malia Bouattia, an English subject of the Queen of England with Algerian roots, though since she also is considered black, she may be the seed of a black African kidnapped, enslaved and forced into practicing the religion of Muslims, who obviously was raised to hate the Jewish people. She is now the leader of the National Union of Students in Britain.

Of course, she denied being an antisemite, and in a way that is true. Remember, she was just elected leader of this National Union of Students in the same United Kingdom mandated to bring to life a Palestine that had never existed under Muslim domination and to make of it a Jewish homeland -- which makes of her an antagonist of her countrymen and women, leastwise their decision in 1917 made by both prime minister David Lloyd George and foreign secretary Arthur Balfour representing the people of Britain, who saw the rightness and justice of doing this. She is opposed to that.

Today's British electorate now has a large component of barbarians in their midst, and the words of Mali Bouattia show that she is one of them. Sounding 100% English in her speech, and with an appearance worthy of a Hollywood starlet or fashion model, Ms. Bouattia nonetheless displayed her thinking which remains that of

a Muslim barbarian and like all Muslim barbarians, she is a mortal enemy of the Jewish people.

In response to an outcry of critics about her remarks, most of them angry English Jews, she published an op-ed defending her view that terror against Jews by Muslims in “occupied Balestine” is not terror but legitimate armed struggle, or words to that effect. And in this she was speaking in perfect alignment with none other than Yasir Arafat, given the Nobel Prize for Peace by antisemitic Scandinavians, who had spelled out his belief on terror from the podium of the UN General Assembly in 1974. Same idea.

Making his first appearance there, Arafat refuted charges that his Fatah cultists were terrorists. In the footsteps of the Algerian FLN who terror-bombed Algeria into independence, he explained that a terrorist was only a terrorist if his cause was unjust or evil. If your cause is just, then anything goes. In his ethical system, if your cause is unjust, and Zionism is unjust, then you are the terrorist. Everything Israel did against the Arabs, even in self-defense, is unjust in his mind and therefore terror. He explained that the PLO killers were freedom fighters and Israel the practitioner of state terrorism in defending itself against PLO operations.

In her op-ed, Malia Bouattia asked to clear her name of any charge of antisemitism, and it may surprise you that I agree. Antisemitism was a collection of cockamamie race theories that supplanted religious Jew-hatred in the 19<sup>th</sup> century. It purported to be scientific – not religious -- and for this Ms. Bouattia should not be called an antisemite.

But she still is like an antisemite in her delusional hatred of Jews. She said last week, remember, that she opposed the “Zionist-led mass media” -- you know, the BBC, the Guardian, the Independent those bastions of pro-Israel journalism.

This is functionally delusional. She imagines a reality that does not exist. On the contrary, she harbors no lunatic race theory about Jews but does harbor the Balestinian Narrative that accuses the Jews of stealing Balestine from its rightful, age-old Balestinian landlords and building colonies on “their” Balestine. What is said here -- aside from the Israel angle -- exposes the reality that English universities nowadays are admitting such low-level thinkers as she, and in this specific case the thinking of a barbarian.

She is a barbarian and her conception of war between peoples shows that it has no relation to warfare as that is known in civilized societies, men in uniform fighting other men in uniform. That is how civilized peoples clash with one another.

Since the mid-19<sup>th</sup> century as well, a code of conduct has been written in the West and agreed to whose basic, starting principle is that all is not (!) fair in war, especially when it comes to unarmed civilian noncombatants who must be protected

in war to the extent possible. Ergo, the Geneva Conventions and the very concept of war crimes.

Versus the Arab-Muslim world of Ms. Bouattia's heritage where war between nations virtually never happened. The history of Araby is a history not of nations in conflict but tribes and religious sects. History is absent records of wars between, say, Algerians and Tunisians next door, because, until the French invented the lines around these two states in the 19<sup>th</sup> century, they did not exist. As there had been for millennia, there were a few, walled port cities dotting the North African Coast, but the interior was just tribes, and that to this very day, in the year 2016, the fighting going on in Libya remains tribal. Since Gaddafi's death, there has been no national state there, just tribesmen contending for power, killing each other. That is what is going on in Yemen too.

This is Ms. Bouattia's heritage and as such she remains very tribal in her thinking. When tribes fight, there are no rules of war leading to war crimes. The clash is not between uniformed soldiers but tribesmen for whom war is an expanded kind of family feud, even family blood feud, in which no distinction is made between civilians and soldiers.

It is people against people. It is legitimate, for example, as we saw recently, to enter the home of a pregnant Jewess and stab her to death in front of her children. That is okay. War is killing and counter-killing of the enemy people. In Ms. Bouattia's Arab-Muslim universe, there is nothing wrong with this kind of behavior.

For her, the Jews and Muslims are in a blood feud, so it is okay to drive over Jewish children in your car because the Jews stole Palestine and continue to oppress you. Or so you think.

So, yes, I concede, as she pleaded her op-ed rejoinder, she is not an antisemite. But, I've got news for her and her supporters: there is more than one way to skin a cat and more than one way to hate Jews. And one way is to conjure up a narrative to cover up the underlying, pre-existing condition of hatred that has no rational or just basis. The Jew-hatred is pre-existent, and in every generation Jew-haters make up a new narrative to justify the hatred, the murderous hatred on display out of the mind of this otherwise attractive, seemingly civilized young woman who in her op-ed retracted not at all her belief that the random ambush-murder of Jewish civilians in their daily routines is not terrorism but "resistance to colonial occupation."

"Resistance." That is how these aggressive Arabs with a history of armed robbery against innocents describe their violence. It is one of the words in the name Hamas, an acronym in Arabic for Islamic Resistance Movement. In the ethical universe of Arabs and Muslims, their violence is always in reaction to someone else's criminal aggression against them. Hezbollah too always describes its violence against Israel as its "resistance."

In a way, this is true too, for the Zionist movement has always been experienced as a rebellion against Islam, an insult to Islam, insubordination before the dictates of the Koran that require humiliating and oppressing the Jews for disbelieving in Muhammad as the final and greatest prophet in history, superior even to Abraham, Moses, Isaiah, Jerimiah and Jesus.

Zionism has been the intifada, which means rising up, of the dhimmi, the oppressed Jews who have no right to do that. Islam has no tolerance for the resurrection of “southern Syria” as an independent Jewish state with an army and a navy and an air force. No way.

It appears that Ms. Bouattia is no antisemite in the original sense of that word but antisemitic intellection never had a monopoly on thinking evil of Jews. Today, the claims of the 19<sup>th</sup> century antisemites are a dead letter. But in their place has come Balestinian nationalism, the nationalism of a nation that never existed, never lifted a finger for the welfare of the people in *Falastin*; never fought a war in defense of their imagined homeland in history. No war heroes for them to remember. No kings, no nothing.

And it is true that no one on the planet could write two fact-filled sentences on “Palestinian” history prior to the rise of the Zionist movement. All that the world today knows of the allegedly indigenous “Palestinians” is that Israel stole their country from them and won’t give it back.

This fairy tale is for sure the narrative in Ms. Bouattia’s pretty head, and as a barbarian she sees nothing wrong in fighting one’s enemy barbarian-style and calling it resistance. Stabbing Jews in the back is just fine.

\* \* (Musical Interlude) \* \*

In a news story thematically related to the first segment, Israeli news outlets today reported on a public opinion survey done by the veteran Arab polling company in east Jerusalem, the Jerusalem Media and Communications Centre. They reported that less than half, 47.4%, of the Arab youth in Judea and Samaria oppose the recent murders of dozens of Jews with knives and vehicles, and a similar percentage, 40.9%, think these Jew-killings serve the “Palestinian” cause.

And there you have it, I say. There can be no greater better argument against the two-state solution, a.k.a. a retreat by Israel from the high ground that it took in 1967. It is, for my money, in line with the last segment on the tribal ethics of Arabs to this day, the finest proof that our neighbors are barbarians and handing the high ground over to them and retreating is a scenario that denies the very humanity of Jews.

That is, the request that a half-million Jews abandon their homes and communities, and the IDF be removed, asks of the Jews behavior no normal, average, intelligent person would agree too.

These people are savage barbarians and no good can come from handing over even one more square yard to them.

Moreover, it is virtually humiliating that Israel does not demand its rights in this fight for survival. The Civil Rights Movement in the States of the 1960s, in which yours truly was a rank and file soldier, was for the rights of Negroes to equal treatment. And I say we Jews here likewise have rights that the world in general and Arabs and Muslims in particular have yet to respect.

In this crazy generation -- when demanding that boys use the boys' room and not the girls room is an act of bigotry -- Israel is seen as the racist oppressor, the apartheid state, when in truth, it is the Muslims and Arabs who play the role of the whites in the American South and apartheid South Africa. They resisted equal rights for Negroes and Blacks in the two countries and in our region, it is Arabs and Muslim who likewise resist the rights of Jews to live free of their oppression.

Sharia law embodies the true apartheid law around here in its institutional hostility to Jews. As the whites in South Africa refused the Blacks, the majority in the country, the right to rule themselves in a black majority state, so the Arabs and Muslims here have never respected the right of the Jews to get out from under the Islamic apartheid system that we lived under for more than a thousand years.

This latest public opinion poll is powerful proof that the idea of Israel handing over Judea and Samaria to the Arabs is detached from reality. And it is an antisemitic demand for demanding that we behave as no other people in our predicament would behave. It is an inhuman demand, and Israel's refusal to do as told makes a Joe Biden "overwhelmingly frustrated" by the Israeli government, by Bibi, but too bad.

I remember thinking in the truly dizzying first years of the Oslo madness that maybe my fears were exaggerated. I thought that when the violence erupted, it might represent only a small fraction of Arabs, say, maybe 5%. If only 5% of the Arabs in Yesha when Oslo was signed reacted violently, maybe Israel could live with that.

But then it turned out that such statistics as found in this news item have been with us since Day One of the Oslo Delirium. When roughly half of the young Arab males in Judea and Samaria applaud stabbing Jews in the back and running us over in the street, Israel has no choice but to remain the sovereign power west of the Jordan River.

Which is why I support annexing Judea and Samaria, if only because it is our land as of right, And Israel must fight for its rights as the Negroes and the Blacks once did. For, at bottom, this is a war of Islam against Jews, and in that case bargaining over what land to hand back is way off the mark.

The violence is not about statehood for Palestinians but statehood for Jews. The bloodshed is about, as the enemy says, his “resistance,” and to what? Jewish freedom. The so-called Balestinians are nothing but a verbal concoction that spearheads the larger Arab appetite to loot the wealth we Jews have created here justified in the name of Islam. Their resistance is not to Israeli “crimes” but the very existence of Israel.

And then there was another news item today illuminating the reality that our neighbors here are barbarians. Even FOX news chose to report that “Palestinian leaders have offered up an unlikely candidate for the Nobel Peace Prize: a man serving five life sentences for murder, Marwan Barghouti.

In fact, he was responsible for 30 murders but for legal convenience was tried only for five. The PA’s head of prisoner affairs earlier this month said, “Barghouti’s candidacy for the prize is essentially a call to Israel to recognize the legitimacy of the prisoners’ struggle and stop calling them terrorists and criminals.”

In the 1970s, Arafat and Co. were also claiming they were not criminal terrorists when they ambushed and massacred Israeli athletes at the Olympic Games but freedom-fighters, and here we are, a Biblical generation of 40 years and counting later, still being lectured by these savages over what constitutes criminal violence.

This is a war for Jewish freedom from Arab-Muslim oppression and coincidentally a war for Western civilization over Arab-Muslim barbarism.

\* \* (Musical Interlude) \* \*

And now for the French who like the Arabs and Muslims have a history of antiJew antipathy.

Last Thursday, France notified Jerusalem that on May 30<sup>th</sup>, France will host a gathering of dozens of foreign ministers to decide Israel’s fate. The message of course did not use such language. It was reported that the French would convene all these goy foreign ministers in an effort to “renew the Israeli-Palestinian peace process.”

Well, that is two major misconceptions right there begging to be deprogrammed. There is no Israeli-Palestine peace process. There never was so there is nothing to renew.

France said it would convene this conference without the participation of Israel and the Ancient Ones, which right there smacks of old imperial France dictating to tiny Third World peoples, and simultaneously posits a moral equivalence between Israel and the Arab savages dishonestly called Palestinians, which very name attaches them to Palestine and presumes a legitimate connection to Palestine by “Palestinians.” The very name loads the dice.

The news items also smelled of a France conjuring up a gang up on Israel. Let’s not kid ourselves: France and all the foreign ministers it will invite agree that the Jews must be

forced to hand back the territorial spoils of war they took in 1967. About that proposition, there will no debate.

And what I personally find fascinating is the apparent obliviousness to this ganging up on the Jews, as in centuries past, by the French and all those who will attend this shindig. A guiltless fantasy is at work here of all these nations coming together to order the Jews to retreat and drive hundreds of thousands of Israelis from their homes that they have the right to live in under Jewish majority rule, i.e. the existing state of Israel. What is wrong with that?

The French Foreign Minister Jean-Marc Ayrault said last Thursday that an immediate obstacle to renewing the peace talks was the “violence between the sides” when this insinuates both sides are guilty of the violence, when clearly Arab aggression is always self-starting and Israeli violence is always in self-defense against Arab aggression.

The French ambassador in Israel Pierre Vimont recently said that the two-state solution means “the 1967 borders,” when in 1967 there were no borders. He was lying.

He also said the solution means Jerusalem as the capital of two states, and never mind such a Siamese twin of a capital between mortal enemy peoples has never existed in human history.

In other words, the hostility to Jews is expressed in inhuman demands.

In any case, one factor leading to this French focus on the relationship between Jews and Arabs/Muslims here is surely the situation in France itself, between Frenchmen and Arabs/Muslims. In other words, it is a distraction from the mounting evidence in France that the French are losing their country to these Arabs/Muslims.

What Israel might do, in response to this unbelievably insulting French attempt to tell us how to manage our lives with the Arabs here, is to announce the convening of a conference of international experts to discuss and ultimately tell the French how to deal with their Muslims. The conference could focus on the reported 751 zones in France now surrendered to the Muslims where French police fear to tread.

The conference could also lecture France on its responsibility to protect its Jews from these Muslim barbarians in their midst. The Jews in France are afraid to identify themselves in public in fear of what a conscienceless Muslim savage passing by might do to them. France should be taken to task for its neglect of Jewish rights, which calls to mind France’s behavior in WWII when the French shipped off 75.000 to be gassed and incinerated.

The French have no awareness, in other words, of how obnoxious they are in convening a gathering of nations, via their ambassadors, to say “*J’accuse*” against the Jewish state -- which has become the new Alfred Dreyfus affair -- for the crime of stealing Balestine from the Balestinians.

\* \* (Musical Interlude) \* \*

26.04.16.

**DeProgramProgram.com**

Well, so far Passover week has seen glorious summer weather and Israelis have been driving all over, having picnics, seeing the sights and enjoying our island of civilization amid a sea of barbarians, the same ones threatening Western civilization, as Islam has threatened the West whenever it had the chance to since its founding.

So, I'll be back this Sunday and resume the usual thrice weekly webcasts. Until then, *moadim lesimcha miEretz Israel.*