

B"H

DeProgram Program

From the Mind of Sha'i ben-Tekoa

Transcript

<http://www.deprogramprogram.com>

Date: March 10, 2016 / ב"ח אדר ב תשע"ו

Parasha: Pekudei / פקודי

Title: *Sub Rosa Anti-Jew Rhetoric*

Copyright: Sha'i ben-Tekoa 2016

www.deprogramprogram.com

Shalom laYehudim, Shalom laBnai Noach, Shalom laGoyim. It is the evening of the 6th day, *bes berosh kbodesh Adar Bes, Parashas Pekudei, tav-shin-ayin-vav*, the evening of the 5th day, Thursday, 10 March 2016, webcasting from the target of world resistance, homicidal resistance to the return of the Jews after 18 centuries of exile.

Well, the reactions to Tuesday's day of blood have become the story, as important from our point of view as the homicidal madness itself.

You all saw how that one death among more than a dozen wounded was an American, Taylor Force, of blessed memory, here to study management. Obviously, no antiJew and probably a pro-Jew having been a West Point graduate US Army officer who put his life on the line against maniacal Muslims in Afghanistan and Iraq. By all counts, a prince of a man, whose pregnant wife was also grievously stabbed by this Arab demon. You all saw the video of this maniac racing down a line of cars stuck in traffic stabbing one driver after another.

This was the tactic used in Gush Etzion one rush hour morning a few weeks or months back, when another American, a young Jewish student from Brookline, Mass. was shot by a Muslim attacking vehicles at a standstill in traffic.

With the reactions to this horror, more evidence of the power and spread of antiJewitis in our time. There has been nothing like this since the great war of the 1940s; eruptions of shameless, hysterical Jew-hatred in universities of all places, like those deranged women with appointments as professors in formerly respectable colleges like Vassar and Oberlin.

The reactions included NZTV, that is, I guess, the New Zealand equivalent of England's BBC that posted the following on their website: "Three Palestinians shot dead by Israeli security forces in three separate incidents."

These were not attempted homicides according to this media outlet. They were "incidents" in which the Jews did the killing. No mention of murderous antiJews attempting to kill Jews by Arabs, by Muslims, and sometimes succeeding.

The Guardian in England went even further, I think. The headline there was, "Three Balestinian youths were shot dead in the West Bank," and never mind that Jaffa, site of the latest horror, is not even inland but on the coast. It is the famous Biblical port town whence Jonah set sail and was swallowed up by that whale.

And not only that. The Guardian had a subhead that read, "The Israelis claim that they were under attack before the killing but no soldier was wounded."

I wish it were possible to get the staffers at the Guardian, its reporters and editors, to take a break some afternoon for an hour or two or three to scan through back issues of the paper from the 1930s and 40s and discover how the Guardian was a solid supporter of the Zionist movement when it regularly criticized government policies hostile to the Jewish struggle for the homeland in Mandatory Palestine that the Brits had never let happen even though that was the Mandate from the League of Nations for Palestine they accepted. In the 1920s and 30s and 40s, Brits would create Iraq and would create Jordan but never followed through on bringing to life the Palestine that the League had explicitly destined to become the Jewish homeland. I think the current crew at the Guardian might ask themselves, as they peruse back issues, why there was no support for the Balestinians back then and their allegedly ancient dream of independence. They will discover there was no Balestinian People back then other than Jews. Liberals and socialists in that period were pro-Zionists and said not a word about any Arabs called "Palestinians" in need of a state and no worrying about their suffering at the hands of villainous Zionists. They would ask themselves what happened and perhaps wake up to the truth of their classical, antiJew blindness that quite active in our time.

In these headlines and statements, the spotlight is repeatedly on the Jews as killers with no mention of their homicidal attackers, leastwise in the headlines.

And I would also include in this list of antiJew reactions Vice President Joe Biden's response here in the country this week. Yes, he firmly condemned the terrorism of the day. The one in Jaffa happened not far from where he and his family were. But he also said this, and I would not be surprised if an aide presented with the precise language. He said, "Let me say in no uncertain terms: The US condemns these acts and condemns the failure to condemn these acts...and the rhetoric that incites violence."

This was a sop to Israel because the Holocaust Denier, in theory Biden's great white hope for the Two-State Solution, refused to condemn them. Notice how Biden mentions no name. There is no ethnicity here of those who perpetrate these acts. He does not condemn people but the abstract "failure" to condemn them. He does not say who is failing.

Likewise, from the White House, spokesman for the Muslim saboteur in the Oval Office Josh Ernst told reporters "the Obama administration expects the Palestinian leadership to condemn any act of terrorism." Notice once again the absence of guilt adhering to any man with a name. No demand is made of a leader with a name but the "leadership," another abstract noun.

This is very similar to the standard technique at the UN for decades. Whenever the PLO tried to and sometimes managed to slaughter Jews inside Israel, and Israel retaliated against PLO sites in Lebanon, the UN would call for restraint from Israel and "other parties," and here is Joe Biden doing the same thing, even as he gives the impression that he sides with Israel which should not have to suffer these attacks and he even "condemns the failure to condemn" -- hint-hint, wink-wink -- without saying who is failing.

He condemns "the rhetoric that incites violence," but again the rhetoric is spoken by whom? Rhetoric without a mouth? Speech without a speaker of this inciting rhetoric, in his language?

Again, Biden was responding only indirectly to the refusal of the Holocaust Denier to condemn the day's three attacks, 1 dead, 5 grievously wounded, 5 more also wounded. We all saw that video of the maniac in Jaffa running and stabbing before being killed, and Abbas, the great white hope, would not condemn this horror. Worse, he and his PA called the demon, after being killed, a "martyr."

Biden also played the classic UN gambit when he "urged both Israelis and Palestinians to find a way to move beyond the impasse in the peace process and to resolve the conflict so there can be two states for two peoples." This was a sop to the other side because in "two states for two peoples" the other side hears that Judea and Samaria should be given to them.

He called on both Israelis and Palestinians do something, when it is only the Balestinians who are aggressing and murderously so.

This is horrible, this moral equivalence between murderers and their victims. And in this case, it is a form of antisemitism, of homicidal Jew-hatred, this equivalence between the people responsible for civilized life and their opposite number, these homicidal, suicidal Muslim maniacs.

Biden concluded, "Even though it may be hard to see the way ahead, we continue to encourage all sides to take steps to move back toward the path to peace -- not easy -- and for the sake of Israel and I might add for the sake of the Palestinians."

I long for the day an Israeli administration protests this pseudo-moral equivalence and does so publicly and angrily. Israel should be a “light to the nations” and one of its illuminations might be teaching the goyim, in the UN and the American administration, London and Paris, that this style of rhetoric is nauseating for its *sub rosa* smearing of Jews by equating us with these savage barbarians. How dare anyone insult us like this?

And as for the Arabs, Israel could shine a spotlight on them by refusing to talk to them anymore so long as they construe reality as they do. Abbas met with Biden yesterday and complained it was the Israelis who were killing Palestinians, 200 over the last half-year, with a nary a nod to the truth that every death was in self-defense. Every one, which is the opposite of the fantasies these barbarians tell themselves. PA TV reporting on the savagery in Jaffa said those attacked by their “martyr” were all “settlers, 12 settlers,” and by that the audience understands all of them were Jews, because the PA considers all Israelis settlers.

But don’t tell that to the Israeli Left whose own fantasy life tells them the Arabs hate only those living east of the 1949 cease-fire line.

* * (Musical Interlude) * *

Speaking before about the rhetoric of antiJew journalists and the US administration’s antiJew refusal to name names, Israeli rhetoric also needs to examine itself.

You saw perhaps how a leader in Uruguay of the Jewish community David Fremd, *b”y*, died exactly just like a Jew in Eretz Israel these days when a Muslim out of nowhere pounced on him with a knife, stabbed him fifteen times and killed him, while of course shouting “*Allahu Akbar!*” The Hebrew hard copy newspaper Israel Hayom reported that he was active in the Islamic movement. “The background for the murder was nationalistic.”

But then the reportage continued to reveal that materials found in the murderer’s house connected him to the radical Islamic movement. Found was a Koran and a computer game in which one “trains oneself how to murder Jews.” Not Israelis, not Zionists.

In the police interrogation of the murderer, who was apprehended by passersby before the police showed up, he said, “I did it because of religion. I hate Jews. My religion tells me to do this.”

Well, this is a chronic feature of Israeli life that has driven yours truly up the wall many times. Whenever in Israel a corpse, G-d forbid, is found with signs the person had been killed, the police say they will investigate to see it if the crime was criminal or “nationalistic.” In the average Israeli mind, when an Arab murders a Jew, it is a product of a conflict between two nations, not two religions.

The whole purpose of the Reform Jew Herzl’s movement for national liberation was to create a non-religious nation because he himself wasn’t religious. He grew up in the Reform movement and the German Reformers looked up to the German tradition of

venerating philosophers like Kant and Hegel, not religious figures, and wanted that for themselves. The founding German Reform Jews publicly denationalized their Jewishness by claiming they were Germans, albeit with a philosophy based in Judaism but not bound by it.

Herzl's nickname in Israel is *kebozeb hamedina*, "Envisoner of the state." He has his own memorial day in Israel. The national cemetery in Jerusalem is Mt. Herzl where soldiers, statesmen and Herzl's remains repose, so Israelis think David Fremd, *b"y* in Montevideo was slain for "nationalistic" motives because they are deaf to the truth of his murderer that he murdered for his religion.

What the secular Zionists never factored into their utopian dream of a non-religious Jewish state -- as if that were possible -- was the resistance of the goyim to their attempt to deJudaize themselves and here's why:

When Jews claim, like Karl Marx, that all religion is bunk; when Judaism, Marx said, was the worst religion of all for bringing itself into the world and Christianity and Islam, they also send the message, the Zionists, that is, to all Christians and Muslims that their religion is bunk too. Mock the Jews for their religion and you mock all Christians and all Muslims and most of them don't want to hear that. The secular may have wanted to shed their Jewishness but the goyim are not going to let them.

This is why I wrote my book whose concluding chapter (before the Epilogue) deals with the role of the Israeli Left in inventing the "Palestinian" national identity, and evidence for that can be found in this little news brief today from Uruguay in which the Israeli reporter in Israel sees a "nationalistic" crime though the murderer himself tells him this was not so.

Overall, Israelis are confused by their own identity and that of the enemy. This accounts for some of the reactions to the current terror wave. Finance Minister Moshe Kahlon, head of his own secular, centrist Kulanu Party, said yesterday that the government has to decide right away on expelling the families of these terrorists and presented a bill to the Knesset on that yesterday.

But notice how he conditioned his proposal. He said the family can be expelled but only if it knew or helped or encouraged the terror act or helped a terrorist afterwards.

And I say that by adding these conditions, he strips the initiative of its intended purpose. He wants to protect the family of the terrorist if they had no active role in his terrorism, which misses the whole point of the expulsion. Its true purpose should be as a deterrent to these aspiring murderers that their family, regardless of its behavior, will suffer. This formulation wants the accomplices of terrorists to be guilty in their own right, when the aspiring terrorist has to know they will suffer no matter what.

For sure, the Enlightened inside the country and soulmate antiJews abroad would howl at such a law, calling it collective punishment or guilt by association, when I say, no, it is *mida kaneged mida*/measure for measure, for with the death of every innocent Jew there

are friends and relatives and even partners in businesses who will be hurt by the act. Kill two Jewish parents of little children, G-d forbid, and the children become orphans for the rest of their lives. More than the immediate victim suffer.

And so when a terrorist strikes at innocent Jews, his victims go beyond the immediate ones. A family is destroyed, so the family of the terrorist must also suffer.

When antiJews wail about collective punishment, Israel must answer that the ultimate in collective abuse is terrorism, for its bottom line propellant is the belief that everyone in Israel, even poor Taylor Force, the visiting American, deserve to die. All are guilty of the crime of existing in Israel, the land that the diabolical Zionists stole from Islam. Terrorism is the ultimate in collective violence intended to terrorize a whole country.

Israel must immediately after such brutal, uncivilized violence round up the family of the murderer and boot them from the country, with the clothes on their backs and confiscate all their property and give it to the surviving family members of their victims.

* * (Musical Interlude) * *

One positive note late Tuesday night after the day of horror was the emergency security cabinet meeting in which the prime minister said he was going to complete the security wall around Jerusalem, where work stalled 9 years ago. He also said there would be a crackdown on Arabs from Judea and Samaria who are west of the Green Line illegally. Today the police rounded up 250 of them but that of course that is not even a drop in the bucket. They say there are 100,000 of them working illegally in Israel, many staying overnight illegally, and so he also promised a crackdown on employers employing them.

Most important, though, I think, is the decision he made to shut down Arab media inciting this violence that should include all PA media, radio, TV and newspapers.

That of course would be major and likely to spark an outburst of antiJew anger at the UN, in Paris, London and of course Washington.

This PM must walk on eggshells so long as the Muslim mole in the White House is there and has the power to harm us greatly. This week the Quisling American journalist of Jewish extraction Jeffrey Goldberg from his post at the Atlantic Monthly published his possibly last *tete-a-tete* with Barack Hussein in which the president accused the PM of condescending to him, to which Obama retorted that he was the son of an African American single-mother but was now living in the White House, was the president who understands the Middle East and does not need condescending lectures on it, or words to that effect.

Worse, he also told Goldberg that Netanyahu could easily have cut a deal with the PA for a two-state solution but chose not to because he felt he was too politically vulnerable," which tells yours truly even more about this antiJew who does not know the Middle East as Bibi does, which is why he lectured him so. And worse, most cynically he

misjudges Netanyahu. If Bibi conveyed to him the impression that Obama did not understand the Middle East and Obama was offended, here is proof he does not understand the Middle East and misreads the prime minister in a very antiJew fashion. If he does not understand that the two-state solution means retreating from the high ground and returning to being 9 miles wide and handing over this high ground to the same Muslim maniacs who brought down the World Trade Center, then he understands nothing of Israel and sees in Bibi's resistance to his utopian dream an act of consummate cynicism – all Bibi cares about is being elected and security concerns play no role in his thinking.

This is another antiJew incapable of human empathy for Jews surrounded on three sides by hundreds of millions of homicidal antisemites. He is so cynical he cannot see that Bibi actually cares about his country and wants to do the best for it.

In this light then, the critics of Bibi here at home for his inability to date to take decisive action against this wave of terror are also, as usual, mistaken. One of the things that gets my back up when I browse the talkbacks is these hotheaded, armchair generals calling him a coward who doesn't care.

Nonsense. So long as American backing is in doubt, everything we do is risky. If Mike Huckabee, by contrast, were president, we'd have carte blanche to do whatever we have to do to put an end to this "knife intifada." It is a reasonable guess now that all Israeli businesses dependent on walk-in traffic are suffering. People are afraid to go out. In Jerusalem alone, twenty veteran, popular restaurants have gone out of business.

These restaurants and others and shops in Jaffa and Tel-Aviv catering to tourists and Israelis are also suffering.

And worst of all, no one seems to have a clue how to end this daily bleeding of us.

I think a President Donald Trump would be quite friendly to Israel but because he is ignorant, I think, of foreign affairs and this region, he would be most susceptible to advice from the antiJews in the State Department and CIA, and from London and Paris were he to allow Israel to do what is necessary.

Which is why, among other reasons, I want Ted Cruz to be the next president. The bright Carly Fiorina has just endorsed and the bright and acidic Mark Levin too.

In truth, I pretty much checked out of following the Republican Democratic primaries last Saturday when Trump wanted to reassure his audience that contrary to Marco Rubio's insinuation, he most certainly does have a big you-know-what.

In this American politics has hit rock bottom. I reel when I think that this vulgarian, this scam artist with his Trump University, his gambling casinos, his cheese cake beauty contest and bimbo wives is a leading candidate to be a successor to such men as the remarkable and forgotten, George Washington, John Adams, Thomas Jefferson, James Madison, Abraham Lincoln, Teddy Roosevelt, Dwight Eisenhower.

We have hit rock bottom. American political life is in the toilet and it makes me sad and worried.

10.03.13.

DeProgramProgram.com