

B"H

DeProgram Program

From the Mind of Sha'i ben-Tekoa

Transcript

<http://www.deprogramprogram.com>

Date: January 7, 2015 / כז טבת תשע"ו

Parasha: Vaeira / וארא

Title: Sfard and Indyk. Ugh.

Copyright: Sha'i ben-Tekoa 2016

www.deprogramprogram.com

Shalom laYehudim, Shalom laBnai Noach, Shalom laGoyim. It is the evening of the 6th day, *kaf-zion beKhodesh Teves, Parashas Vaeira, tav-shin-ayin-vav*, the evening of the 5th day, Thursday, 7 January 2016, webcasting from the land that messes up people's minds.

Take, for example, Michael Sfard's op-ed in the New York Times this week. We'll put the link up.

For those who don't know, he is one Israel's most prominent lawyers who waves the flag of the Balestinian people against his own flag. His bio says he is a "human rights lawyer who serves as a legal adviser to the Israeli organizations Breaking the Silence, Yesh Din and the Human Rights Defenders Fund."

Well, the opening words there are a lie. He is not a human rights lawyer. He never fights for the human rights of the Jewish people in their Land not to be stabbed on Mondays and run over on Tuesdays and shot in a Tel-Aviv café on Fridays. No, Michael Sfard is a defender of the people who trumpet shamelessly their desire to destroy the little Jewish people's only little state.

He begins this op-ed in classic Jewish antiJew fashion, like Norman Finkelstein whose book opened with his dedication to his grandparents who perished in the Holocaust. It is a blatantly preventive maneuver with the message, "Don't call me an antisemite because I am a Jew who mourns over the Holocaust!"

Michael Sfard references his father as a university student in Warsaw in 1968 who was labeled a traitor because he supported Israel in the recently concluded Six-Day War. (That is a major story for another time.)

But this unoriginal preventive opening gambit cannot cover up his hostility to the Jewish people: taking up arms against Im Tirtzu and Ayelet Shaked's proposed legislation that representatives of hostile European countries have to wear a nametag when visiting the Knesset.

These people like Michael Sfar are just pathetic. It is common procedure in many modern corporations to require visitors to HQ to sign in and even wear a tag as they navigate the building. For that alone, the angry opponents of this legislation expose themselves; they are stripped naked of their pretenses. What could be so difficult or wrong in requiring non-Knesset personnel to wear a nametag in that building too? If they are not up to no good, what is there to hide? This is hardly an onerous request for non-Knesset members and non-employees.

Sfar tips his hand in referring to the recent, discomfort-making Im Tirtzu video as well, produced by an "ultranationalist group." And I ask, what is that? What is the difference between a nationalist and an ultranationalist? Chauvinism? One whose natural hatred for his mortal enemies has crossed the line into racism and dehumanizing the enemy? Is that what ultranationalist means?

Patriotic is a synonym for nationalist, so maybe he means it is ultrapatriotic? That's a bit like being ultra-pregnant. You are or you are not.

It is clear that anti-Jew Jews like Michael Sfar are at war with Jewish fellow feeling, their sense of community. He supports those who want to destroy Israel and even inadvertently admits this in this piece. He calls Breaking the Silence a "group of military veterans who oppose the occupation is now barred from speaking to military units and to schools. Both ministers of defense and education have smeared the organization as anti-Israel."

So here he writes that Breaking the Silence is a "group of military veterans who oppose the occupation," but their public pose is breaking the silence about the abuse IDF soldiers allegedly visit upon the Ancient Ones. The group's name indicates that Breaking the Silence is about Israeli war crimes against the innocent victims of Israel's "aggression" in 1967. One would think the group is concerned with reforming the behavior of these out-of-control Israeli storm troopers.

But no, he admits here, this group is about ending the occupation, which is not the same thing.

I am opposed to the IDF abusing anybody. It is wrong, not a good idea, so it is possible to be simultaneously opposed to those statistically insignificant number of soldiers who do that and at the same time be adamant that there be no end to occupation, so called, if by that one means, it is a temporary presence before returning the spoils of war to its rightful, ancient, national landlords. That is what Breaking the Silence stands for: ending the presence of Jews in Judea and Samaria. It is not about the behavior of the soldiers.

Sfard resents being fingered for being anti-Israel and being an arm of foreign powers, foreign entities, but if they are opposed to the resurrection of Jewish life in Judea and Samaria, they are most certainly enemies of the Jewish people, to which Sfard and men like him gravitate. Anyone who opposes the presence of Jewish sovereign rule in the hills of Judea and Samaria overlooking the deepest crack in the crust on the entire globe, the Jordan River Valley, is an enemy of the Jewish people because Israel could not evacuate these hills as many foreign entities and foreign nations want and survive. Those who ask us to evacuate these hills are asking us to commit suicide.

What is the Two-State Solution if not a euphemism, code, for driving a half-million Jews from these hills back down toward the coastal plain, and handing over sovereignty to the Arabs, those who repeatedly support the Muslim Brother, locally known as Hamas, that granddaddy of ISIS and Al-Qaida?

The Two-State Solution means allowing ISIS types to set up artillery pieces within sight of Israel's main airport and even for small arms within range of the Knesset of Israel.

Sfard speaks of the "occupation of Palestinian territory" and in doing that, he cuts himself off like the fourth son on Passover Eve from this people. He cuts the umbilical cord of Jewish identity that has sustained this people for thousands of years of abuse by the nations of the world. The first words the Creator of the Universe to Abraham command him to go to a Land that the Creator will show him. And by my count, until the end of the Five Books, this promise is repeated in some form 180 times. This belief in the connection between this Land and the Jewish people is the bedrock of Jewish belief that this real estate belongs to one people only, the Jewish people, so when Michael Sfard and his pathetic ilk call this acreage "Palestinian territory," he sides with the Jewish people's mortal enemies who believe these hills are rightfully theirs, enemies who stop at nothing to act out this belief by murdering us all the time. When people such as Michael Sfard & Co. throw in their lot in with these Arabs, they turn themselves into targets for accusations of treason.

Sfard also flogs the old horse of the "expulsion of Balestinians from their homes when the State of Israel was created in 1948."

First of all, in 1948, the word "Palestinians" referred to Jews and for sure, some of them were expelled from their homes -- like the entire Jewish community in the Old City of Jerusalem where the Jewish community had been the largest for centuries on end. All of them were expelled. The Jews in Gush Etzion was also expelled, that is, those who were not massacred.

But of course Sfard means by "the Palestinians in 1948," the Arabs whom he claims were expelled from their homes. In truth, some were expelled, a statistically insignificant percentage of them. Overwhelmingly, the plurality were migrant workers

who had no immovable property and as migrant workers they had no home to flee from and could easily flee because there was for them nothing to protect. Arabs who did have homes largely did not flee.

Worse, Sfarid uses the term Nakba to refer to this mythical expulsion. Most who fled never saw a Jewish soldier. Nakba was invented to compete with Holocaust, which means the Nakba is when the Jews acted like Nazis, and the mythical Balestinians became like the Jews victimized by Nazis. The Nakba myth is in a class with Jews poisoning the wells of Europe. More hallucinatory, antiJew fantasies.

So to recap: the hysteria of Sfarid & Co. at the prospect of having to wear nametags identifying the source of their funds, which come from malevolent goyim, is evidence of the truth that this is exactly what there are: agents of enemy influence.

* * (Musical Interlude) * *

Yes, the State Department like Michael Sfarid expressed its displeasure with Ministress of Justice Ayelet Shaked's proposed legislation. In her presentation of it, she referenced a 1938 law in the States requiring foreign agents to register as such.

But State Department spokesman John Kirby dismissed her analogy. He said these were two different things but did not explain what was different about them.

Shaked in an op-ed with the JTA/Jewish Telegraphic Agency noted that her proposal imposes no restriction on any NGO activity. What could be so terrible about requiring visitors to the Knesset to wear an ID?

And not only did the State Department huff and puff about this alleged aggression against democracy, not surprisingly so did the post-Jews of the American Jewish Committee -- which must be about five old millionaires today. They also expressed concern over the bill. It poses "many risks including Israel's reputation as a confident and open society that has long been true democracy's sole Middle East outpost."

Yeah, right. The abuse of language here gives me the creeps. The AJC is worried about Israel's reputation as a democracy by this legislation? There could be nothing more democratic than this proposed bill than to guard and defend and shield Israelis from anti-Jews abroad who do not have the franchise. Allowing non-Israelis aboard, non-Jews among the goyim and antiJew Jews, to influence life in Israel is a direct attack on democratic self-rule.

It is an interference. Israelis deserve to be shielded from nations plainly hostile to Israel. No foreigner has the right to political power here equal to that of a country's citizens. Democracy means "people power," with the people in this case, the *demos*, being Israel's self-governing citizens who are under no obligation to allow hostile goyim and hostile antiJew Jews in the Diaspora to have power over us inside Israel. To object this proposed

legislation on the grounds it is undemocratic is nonsense. It makes no sense. This bill defends Israeli democracy.

It is just another chapter, I'm afraid, in the endless struggle between Jews and our enemies who are forever aggressing against us. Does that sound like Jewish paranoia? Last webcast, I brought up the fact the UNHRC is obsessed with Israel as a criminal enterprise to the exclusion of all other human rights cases on the planet. In this case, the goyim abroad are angry that Israel will now reduce their power to harm the Jewish people in their homeland that they brought to life with blood, toil, sweat and tears.

The EU bureaucrats in Brussels and foreign ministries throughout Western Europe are also surely in a snit over this proposed legislation. How dare the Jews try to limit their power over life in the Holy Land?

In a similar vein, many Jews are perpetually in a snit because all the nations who have relations with Israel refuse to locate their embassies embassy in Jerusalem. This expresses their rejection of the right of the Jews to rule in Jerusalem. This bothers many post-Jewish Israelis, but for yours truly, it only makes me smile.

I think that, in a way, having no foreign embassy in Jerusalem is algebraically the same as having all of them in Jerusalem. It is unanimous testimony to the universal recognition of the unique connection between the Jewish people and Jerusalem and that a lot of people can't stand that.

When the Muslims under Jordan in the 1950s and 60s ruled over the Old City and the Temple Mount and the whole old walled city, not a creature was stirring, not even a mouse who protested this situation at the UN. No Security Council and General Assembly resolutions; no Apartheid Weeks on university campuses. Jordan's King Hussein never thought the Arabs in Judea and Samaria and Jerusalem were a nation separate for his Jordan and other Jordanian subjects who deserved their own independent state. And he was descendant of the Prophet Muhammad.

Only when al-Yahud, those Christ-killing Jews returned to full rule in Jerusalem did the world break out in a rash of discomfort. The Jews had returned to rule for the first time since the year 63 BCE and this fact freaked out no small number of people. It even fueled the invention of the Balestinians in order to reverse Israel's victory.

What Israel did over those six days left the world starry-eyed not only by the military prowess and expertise of this people that had had no military for thousands of years; they were dumbfounded by the decent treatment Israelis gave the defeated Arabs who just the day before had been wailing and shrieking in million-man mobs, "Slaughter the Jews!"

It is now forgotten after almost 50 years that for the first two decades in this period, there were no checkpoints, no separate roads for Jews and Arabs; no concrete barricades placed at bus stops. Israeli Jews on weekends used to shop in Gaza and Qalqilya, and the non-kosher patronized Arab restaurants in east Jerusalem. Many Israelis had their cars fixed over the Green Line because it was cheaper.

In this reaction abroad to Shaked's proposed bill, we see the timeless resistance to the Jewish people and its rights and successes. Since 1967, the world has expressed in its pseudo-world government that the world has never come to grips with the rightness of Israel's victory and its right to keep the spoils of war.

Instead, as my book shows, they invented a fictitious nation, a phony historical nation, to challenge the right of the Jewish people to sovereignty in Judea and Samaria and Jerusalem.

The fact is, the League of Nations recognized that right way back when, but its mandate has never been fully respected by the nations of the world. The League simultaneously did several things: it produced a map called Palestine that straddled the Jordan River; it called for Palestine to the Jewish homeland but also allowed for the suspension of this Mandate east of the Jordan River. That was 77% of the League's approved map, which left the remaining 23% west of the River for the envisioned Jewish homeland.

That means Judea and Samaria, according to the League, are legally ours.

* * (Musical Interlude) * *

Well, a top story in Israel today concerned a soulmate of Michael Sfar, Martin "The Turkey" Indyk. Indyk in Yiddish means turkey.

He evidently was interviewed on PBS this week and smeared Israel's prime minister with allegations of, what, bad taste via his disrespect and political ambition expressed even in front of the casket of assassinated St. Isaac Rabin lying in state.

The prime minister denied the quote. Indyk said Netanyahu said, "He's a hero now but if he had not been assassinated, I would have beaten him in the elections. And then he would have gone into history as a failed politician."

Well, first of all, it was perfectly clear in the polls that in November 1995, 17 months into the Oslo Peace Abomination, Rabin was way out of favor in Israel because it was already clear, judging by the wave of terror attacks already, that Bibi would have beaten Rabin at the polls.

But then footage of the event at which Indyk claimed to be sitting next to Netanyahu when he said this showed he was nowhere near him.

Indyk then said it happened somewhere else that day at the funeral.

Frankly, I don't think that what Bibi was reported to have said was so bad. If true, perhaps a bit tasteless given the circumstances, but I guess that was Indyk's intent.

But in any case, it is clear from Indyk's history that his latest performance on PBS was meant to, and not for the first time, insult the prime minister of Israel. In 2014, he and his ventriloquist's dummy John Kerry tried to get Netanyahu to fork over the spoils of war

but failed, and for this failure, they both blame the Jew Netanyahu for the failure of their peace initiative.

The truth is that Martin Indyk is a Johnny One-Note on Israel. It is always Israel's fault for the absence of peace, for not implementing the Two-State Solution because smearing Israel like this is his bread and butter, his profession. He is at the top of his game as a leading Jew-bashing Jew that has taken him to the heights of his profession as a Court Jew or in the vernacular, the King's Jewboy.

For playing this role, he has enjoyed a fine salary, surely has a fine home in either Georgetown or Arlington or Alexandria, and an easy life. He meets no deadlines; he produces nothing except the occasional op-ed bashing Israel and his one vacuous book.

Martin Indyk, like Daniel Kurtzer, like Daniel Shapiro, the current US ambassador, like Aaron David Miller and Robert Malley are all like capos in the death camps. They volunteer to serve the antiJew power, to sell out their own people, for the rewards of that position. Bashing Bibi has been a major part of this man Indyk's success in life.

He was born in England after the War. The family then went to Australia when little Martin grew up and suffered who knows what traumas as a boy that made him want to betray the Jewish people.

He went through university Down Under majoring in the Arab-Israeli conflict and got a degree, I guess, by telling his professors what they wanted to hear, and later, I think, Australian politicians and foreign service and intelligence service personnel.

He moved to the U.S. selling himself as a warm supporter of Israel – if not warm enough to want to live here himself. And he got a job with AIPAC by singing their song until he had made enough contacts at the State Department and switched sides. No more promoting Israel's case to Congress; now he became a State Department official under James "F the Jews" Baker, and the rest is history.

He and his soulmates, like Michael Sfard and LTF/Little Tommy Friedman, make me sick.

* * (Musical Interlude) * *

Last item, a good news item. The government has approved a plan to build a new town for the Druse community in the north. It will be the first new Druze town built since 1948.

It's about time, but the governments are not wholly to blame. The Druze insist on, according to their religion, living on hills and slopes so construction is that much harder.

But in any case, the governments of Israel could have done more.

It is a most unusual community. They speak Arabic but do not identify as Arabs. As my mother tongue is English but I'm no Englishman, so they are non-Arab Arabic speakers.

07.01.15.

DeProgramProgram.com

Their record as defenders of the State of Israel is magnificent. They recognize Israel as the Jewish state; they have no problem with that. The number of fallen of their soldiers in the IDF has always been very high.

It is a good thing that the State is finally looking after them a little bit better.

Leila tov veShabbat shalom miEretz Yisrael