

*B" H*

# *DeProgram Program*

*From the Mind of Sha'i ben-Tekoa*

## *Transcript*

<http://www.deprogramprogram.com>

**Date: November 15, 2015 / כ"סלו תשע"ה**

**Parasha: Vayeitzay / וַיֹּצֵא**

**Title: Not "Islamism." Islam!**

**Copyright: Sha'i ben-Tekoa 2015**

[www.deprogramprogram.com](http://www.deprogramprogram.com)

*Shalom laYehudim, Shalom laBnai Noach, Shalom laGoyim.* It is the evening of the 2nd day, *dates be Khodesh Kislev, Parashas Vayeitzay, tav-shin-ayin-vav*, the evening of the 1st day, Sunday, 15 November 2015, webcasting from the bloody hills of Judea.

More death in these hills late Friday before Shabbos. A Jewish family, *abba ve'ima* and five of their six children were riding in the family car toward a celebratory Shabbat before a daughter's wedding when their car was raked with bullets in a drive-by shooting, that wounded them all lightly from the shrapnel if not the bullets but enough to make 40 year-old Rabbi Yaakov Littman at the wheel lose control of the car that ran off the road, crashed and hurt them some more, still not seriously.

But then the homicidal Hamitic psychopaths that shot at them, jammed on their brakes, jumped out of their car, ran over and shot the rabbi and his 18-year-old son Netanel dead.

So there were seven sprawled inside the wreck and outside it, with a Red Crescent ambulance in sight that did nothing to come to help them. It even drove off.

The prime minister said today that he would register a complaint with the International Red Cross. Israeli ambulances coming upon a scene of violence make no distinction between Jew and gentile.

Not that I blame the Arab ambulance crew overly, really. My guess is that they are like their Israeli counterparts in this work: that do it because they want to help people. In the hospitals here, Arabs and Jews work together to help people medically.

But these are, after all, Arabs who live among fellow Arabs where the ultimate authority is not some elected government but "the Arab street." This latest murder of

Jews took place near Otniel, near Hevron, the city where the top dog gang is HAMAS, the local Muslim Brotherhood franchise, what is mistakenly called a “radical, extremist” organization. No, it is not. It is centrist. It represents many of the people in Hevron whose brains are absolutely empty of anything “Palestinian” and full of Islamic hatred.

In other words, this ambulance crew may very well have wanted to come to the rescue, but to do that is to get on the wrong side of the guys who did the deed, these psychopaths; these cruel, conscienceless Muslim, sub-civilized barbarians; these homicidal maniacs. It is one thing to be a first responder; another to risk being murdered yourself.

Anyway, Rabbi Yaakov and son Netanel, *b”y* were buried after Shabbos and another Jewish family and circle of friends is now heartbroken.

Amazingly, the IDF has already arrested the murderer(s). Talk about a successful intelligence network. But also keep in mind how small Judea and Samaria really are. And there is not one sober Israeli -- outside the circle of intoxicated Leftists here -- who thinks it would be a good idea to evacuate Judea and Samaria, which of course would deprive Israel of the power it has today to ride herd on these wild asses of men, these mostly teenagers. Only a brainless Leftist would think this is a good idea, especially after the Oslo process when Israel did abandon much of Judea and Samaria and wound up with the satanic years of the 2<sup>nd</sup> Intifada.

What common sense tells us is that leaving Judea and Samaria, abandoning the ability to go anywhere anytime, to arrest dozens every night as our forces do, would be a profoundly stupid idea in a class with what the Europeans are now doing by letting hundreds of thousands of soulmates of Friday night’s murderers in Paris into their countries. The latest newscasts in the Global Village was that at least one of the seven exploding Muslims in Paris had just entered Europe a month ago among this invasion of mostly young Muslim men.

Europe has lost its mind perhaps because it has lost its identity, its national identities, and above all its Christian identity as a foil to Islam.

Of course the Holocaust Denier here Abbas maintained radio silence on this latest murder of Jews near Hevron even as he clucked in public against the slaughter that evening in Paris.

In Israel, like the rest of the world, that massacre became front-page news here too. In the Global Village we all know everything about everything happening in the world instantaneously. Marshall McLuhan was absolutely right a half-century ago to foresee what he called the coming “Global Village.”

So in Israel today the focus was not on what happened here on Friday but on Paris where Muslims, and not for the first time, machine-gunned people trapped inside a theater or building. If you remember, Muslims did that in Moscow a few years back; Muslims did in Chechnya in a school where they massacred hundreds of kids. In Paris,

it was the audience at a rock concert. Try to imagine the horror, the pandemonium in that theater; the spurting of blood and the gunfire and the shrieking.

As I said before, people call the Muslim Brotherhood “radical extremist,” the prototype of ISIS and al-Qaeda and all these gangs of Muslim butchers, but that is a mistake, this kumbaya fantasy of Islam at work here as a religion of peace troubled by “radical extremists” on the fringes of this culture who do not represent the culture. In the looking glass, reverse world of Islam, such types are really in the center. On the fringes are what Westerners recognize as fellow, civilized, democracy-loving moderates.

Muhammad himself was known as the greatest head-chopper of them all. He did sexually whatever he wanted in opposition to his own dictates to the masses. If Ali Sina’s analysis is on target, Muhammad was a bona fide psychopath. This is “Moses” to these Muslims, their “Jesus.” He’s the man. He’s the role model.

The Jewish community in France’s official body, the CRIF, issued a statement following the Hell on earth last Friday in Paris. They called for a war against “the monstrosity of jihadist fanaticism.”

But I disagree. Fanaticism again implies something beyond the norm, and jihadist as a modifier implies as well something outside the “pacific” center of the Islamic religion. Not all Muslims are jihadis, you know, just the “radical extremists.”

Sorry, but I don’t see things that way. Yes, the overwhelming majority are too indolent to be jihadis but we should not mistake their docility for opposition to them. When 60% of Arabs polled after 9-11 said the Mossad did it; when HAMAS won the elections here in 2006, it is simply denial of reality to see the Arabs here as peace-loving moderates vs. those anti-peace extremists.

In a nutshell, this is Islam, normative Islam, and I reject what academics like Daniel Pipes have sold the world in recent decades. They use the terms “Islamism” and “Islamists,” as if they are a minority who have politicized the religion.

In fact, in Arabic these words don’t exist. They are the invention out of whole cloth of Western academics for their own purposes. They don’t want to be seen as fanatical, dare we say, racist bigots. They say Islam is not represented by the “Islamists,” and I say, “Yes, it is represented by them.” We have to drop the words “Islamist” and “Islam.” These are Muslims plain and simple, these conscienceless haters of non-Muslims, and what justifies their behavior in their own eyes is Islam, not “Islamism.”

Political correctness is what is fueling the nightmare taking place before our eyes in Europe these days literally being invaded by Muslims whom the politically correct in Europe, feeling guilty over European colonialism, cannot see as they really are. Rather, as their religion really is.

Political correctness dictates the equality of all religions. There is no hierarchy of right or wrong. Indeed, Islam is just like Christianity and Judaism, one of the great Abrahamic, monotheistic religions.

But I say, "No, it's not."

\* \* (Musical Interlude) \*

Yes, I think it is political correctness that prevents European man from saying Islam is inferior to Christianity and Europeans should not want it in their countries.

I think every liberal democracy in the free world should outlaw Islam if only because it is polygamous, which has far-reaching, negative consequences for society.

I think in the U.K. there are already court rulings in which classic British law in the matter of marriage have been trashed. The politically correct feel they must respect Islam and refrain from criticizing this religion that is so dear to these Third World people.

How far the mighty have fallen. Two centuries ago in India, the Jewel in the Crown, the Brits thought nothing of putting an end to the local Hindu practice of *suttee* in which the widow of a dead husband had to lie down next to his corpse on the funeral whole pyre as the fire was lit and burned them both. The Brits also fought the Thuggee sect whence cometh our modern word "thug." The Thuggees, like the Muslims, had rationalized and even glorified murdering others not belonging to their cult.

Today, though, where is the English politician who speaks up against Islam and its inferior values and practices? It is no coincidence that of the 56 official Muslim states, maybe one today, Tunisia, deserves the name democracy. Islam means submission and it has never been a devotee of the liberty of the individual that is so dear to Western man.

In a word, Islam does not belong in the West and the sooner Western societies understand that and take action, the better. They should be denying Muslims the right to take up residence in them; they should padlock the mosques.

Islam is not like Judaism, Christianity, Buddhism or Hinduism, Jainism, Baha'i or Shinto. None of these preaches a doctrine according to which everyone in the world must adopt the religion or face execution; or pay a heavy tax to continue practicing their religion. That was the fate of Jews and Christians for fourteen centuries

Islam seems to be a religion of little self-confidence and faith in itself. Without the approval and agreement of others that it is the one and only true form of religion, Muslims are unhappy.

How different they are. There is a tradition in Judaism as old King Solomon who preached, as he spent seven years building the first Temple, that it would be open to all the nations of the world, not just to Jews. Anyone could come and pray and make a contribution/offer a sacrifice.

And indeed to this very day, I see with my own eyes how tourists from all over the world come to the Western Wall and place a request in its cracks.

Versus Islam, whose holy city Mecca is open to Muslims only. If a non-Muslim is caught within the city, anyone who catches him can kill him. Could there be any greater illustration of the abyss that separates Jewishness from Islam than this?

Likewise all the churches that I have ever entered. No one has asked me my religion.

Likewise, this is what is at the heart of the matter over the Temple Mount. The idea and the value of respecting another's person deepest metaphysical beliefs without feeling the need to agree with them or fight against them is an idea wholly unknown in Islam.

On the Temple Mount, Israel wants mutual respect and sharing. We Jews recognize that the Muslims ruled over Haram a-Sharif all those centuries and we respect their historic presence.

But not the Muslims. In fact, what they been doing since 2000 is denying there ever was a Jewish presence on the Temple Mount, so they don't have to share anything.

These Muslims live in a universe so unlike the West (which includes Japan and Korea) the democratic West. It is no accident and certainly no fault of the West that in the 20<sup>th</sup> century when the Arabs went free of Turkish then British and French colonial rule, under their own steam they created governments whose leaders were one brute after another: Muammar Gaddafi, Saddam Hussein, Hafez al-Assad, Ali Abdallah Salah in Yemen and the kings of Morocco and Jordan with medieval powers still intact.

But political correctness enforces blindness in the West, with a major dose of cowardice thrown in too, I think. Cowardice has played a major role in the reign of Emir Barack Hussein. No one wants to be called a racist for wanting to impeach this first black president; no major news outlet wanted to call for his impeachment and face an IRS investigation that can cripple a business.

This guy Obama, I am confident, since last Friday, has, true to form, despite his feigned criticism of the horror in Paris, still not spoken the words "Islam" and "Muslim." His performance after Ft. Hood should have had alarm bells ringing all over the Republic. And then last January his outrageous absence from possibly the greatest political demonstration in history in Paris after the Charlie Hebdo and Hyper Cacher massacres.

His support for toppling Gaddafi and Mubarak and their replacement by the Muslim Brotherhood and other militants for Islam; his calling ISIS the "junior varsity," all of these have been indicative of his feelings and his thoughts, his incapacity, for whatever reasons, of criticizing Islam. And in that he is no American in his heart or mind. Islam, which he likes, is the mirror image the best of the West.

What happened in Paris on Friday was the logical consequence of the West, the French, the U.S. and now Germany welcoming hundreds of thousands of young male Arabs into their countries.

Last Friday was the result of in 1980 throwing holy water on the PLO in the so-called Venice Declaration as a legitimate organization instead of a syndicate of international terrorist enemies of civilization. And they did that because the PLO had mugged the continent with terror strikes in the 1970s and because the PLO had become the modern practitioners of "legitimate" Jew-killing since the Holocaust.

\* \* (Musical Interlude) \*

Yes, the horrors here and in Paris share the same root - Islam -- which Western man, leastwise its leaders, has lost the ability to pass judgement on as inferior to their own civilization and one that should not be welcome in their countries.

In my book, when Winston Churchill mounts the stage of the history of Zionism, I chart his doings and quote some of his own writing on Islam that he encountered face-to-face in today's Afghanistan-Pakistan region and Sudan. Churchill, born in the glory decades of the British Empire, was shameless in his rejection of Islam as anything but the most retrograde of cultures. He wrote that at the end of the 19<sup>th</sup> century and it is as true today as then.

Last Thursday in Tel-Aviv, Haaretz, today owned by German investors, ran a conference, a kaffeeklatch of like-minded, Enlightened opinionators in journalism, the arts and politics called The Israel Conference for Peace. They published a magazine-size collection of opinions by these famous attendees that came free as a supplement with the weekend papers, every one of these opinion pieces oblivious to the consequences of their utopian, two-state solution.

And one of the participants in the conference was not there in person, Prince Turki al-Faisal of Saudi Arabia, former head of intelligence and former ambassador to the U.S., whose uncle King Abdallah in 2002 issued the Saudi Peace Plan, midwifed by errand boy Little Tommy Friedman.

Prince Turki, of course, did not actually attend the Haaretz shindig by actually coming to Israel, oh no. He appeared in a taped video interview calling on Israel to revive the "peace process" by adopting his uncle's peace initiative according to which, in exchange for handing back the land it took in 1967, Israel would receive full acceptance by all the Arab states.

To which I say, "Whoop di doo." Then Israel can continue to fight for its life as all Arab states live in fear of neighboring Arab states and their endless subversion.

But what really got yours truly's attention was his criticism of ISIS, whom he called apostates. Prince Turki said, "To consider that chopping off someone's head, in the way that ISIS is doing, goes against the whole grain of Islamic tradition and thought and theology and practice. When ISIS does this in the name of Islam it is robbing me and 1.5 million Muslims of their faith."

This has got to join other famous definitions of chutzpa. How many nations in this world are infamous for chopping off heads as punishment? I know of only one and it is his. Yet here he says this goes against "the whole grain of Islamic tradition and thought and theology and practice."

Which language had me thinking of Edward Said and Hanan Ashrawi who learned to mimic fine words pleasing to the Western ear but with no real understanding of them.

The West has got to come to grips with the truth of Islam as a culture not on the same level of social development found in the West and for this it must be denied entry to the West.

The West has got to drop the politically correct fantasy of a multi-cultural world in which all cultures are of equal worth.

No, they are not.

\* \* (Musical Interlude) \*

And that was Chronicles, 1:16.

Last item: before I forget, even before the horror of more Jew-killing here in Judea on Friday afternoon and later in Paris, the day before, in Beirut, the same ISIS boys set off a bomb in a Shiite Hezbollah neighborhood that slaughtered 43 people.

The West must say, “Enough is enough.” Francois Hollande said France is at war, but I don’t think he said against whom. And I hope he does not mean “Islamism” and its “radical extremists.”

The enemy is Islam itself.

*Leila tov miEretz Yisrael.*