

*B"H*

# *DeProgram Program*

*From the Mind of Sha'i ben-Tekoa*

*Transcript*

<http://www.deprogramprogram.com>

*Date: October 25, 2015 / יג מר חשוון תשע"ו*

*Parasha: Vayeira / וירא*

*Title: Ishmael's Murderous Envy*

**Copyright: Sha'i ben-Tekoa 2015**

**www.deprogramprogram.com**

*Shalom laYehudim, Shalom laBnai Noach, Shalom laGoyim.* It's the evening of the 2nd day, *yud-gimmel beMar Hesbvan, Parashas Vayeira, tav-shin-ayin-vav*, the evening of the 1st day, Sunday, 25 October 2015, webcasting from the Promised Land, the land promised to the Jewish people which makes millions of other people very angry, bitterly resentful, and in the case of the Ishmaelites, homicidally so.

Well, it was another normal day in the Promised Land, roughly a half-dozen or so attempt by the sons of Ishmael to murder the sons of Israel.

About the same number as yesterday, I think. Actually, according to Israel Hayom's chart this morning, there were nine incidents yesterday. Your usual, normal kind of stuff: attempts to stab Jews, cars on the road pelted with rocks. Yesterday a flaming bottle of gasoline was thrown at a car with a mother and little girls, all of whom I think were burnt by the flames, if not too seriously, than G-d. But we all know, from cooking accidents in the kitchen, how painful even the slightest burn can be. So I guess this was a kind of initiation rite for this four-year old Jewess.

The top story at the political level -- if not the normal level where Jews were being targeted for murder -- the prime minister got John Kerry to go along with what is being portrayed -- who knows the truth? -- as Abdallah of Jordan's idea of installing security cameras all over the Temple Mount.

Well, that is fine with me. This might be the way to end the behavior of those Arab harpies, those women who shriek into Jewish faces and call them names. The cameras should also pick up the Ishmael-clones carrying cinder blocks and rocks and Molotov cocktails into Al-Aqsa Mosque.

And I do mean Ishmael-clones; they really are. It was the character of Ishmael in the Torah that led yours truly to not just believe but reason that the Five Books are divine; they are inhuman; they are superhuman. They are too good in terms of everything one expects from a book, from language; it's philosophy and ethics, psychology, poetry and the deepest wisdom about life; even animal anatomy. For decades, I had made myself an unfrocked Middle East expert by reading shelves of books on Araby and Islam and terrorism, anthropology, cultural anthropology, history, linguistics, all worthwhile. But then I read the few verses in the Torah in which Ishmael plays a role, and then the analyses by the great commentators, and it became clear there was more truth and insight into the character of the Arabs here than in all the books I had read, written by men with PhDs in some aspect of Middle Eastern studies.

Yesterday in the Torah reading we were introduced to Ishmael and, boy, are those verses as current and relevant as the latest news. In one of the weekend newspapers, one report cited the security forces reckoning that most of the violence being perpetrated these days by these 16 and 17 years old; Ishmael's age when expelled. In Jerusalem, they are the ones with no conscience, with no human empathy. They are functionally psychopathic personalities.

And what also is true is the power they have to steer the "ship of state," so to speak, the community of Arabs, the Arab street, "street Arabs," a term you will find in the Oxford English Dictionary. In my own travels through Arab countries, I saw them everywhere: idle young men with nothing to do. And I've no doubt that one cause of this excess of young males with nothing to do is the polygamy that is rife in Araby. The Arabs have too many children to raise properly and certainly the economic life is not capable of absorbing them into the workforce. Osama bin Ladin's father had children with 56 different women. These Arabs have too many kids that the society cannot sustain. It is also a culture of inbreeding, making a fetish of marrying one's closest cousins.

They are a culture as well of widespread sodomy, which makes sense. They have this twisted attitude toward women, and a twisted attitude toward young boys. And there also is a connection between that bizarre relationship between the sexes and their sadism and their cruelty.

How different we Jews are in our insistence that one is a Jew via his Jewish mother who infuses her Jewish children with her own milk of human kindness. How wrong the world is to think, as so many do, that the Arabs are Semites too, sons of Abraham - just like Jimmy Carter thinks, whose first book on the Middle East was called *The Blood of Abraham* in which he of course found moral equality between Ishmael and Isaac and it was just greedy of the Jews not to share Balestine with the Balestinians, as he calls them.

No, Jimmy, they are not Semites, and because the Arabs descend from Hagar, not from Sarah, they are the way they are.

Actually, today in Israel I think, there is a drop in murder attempts. The security forces may be having their effect.

But what still motivates these would-be Jew-killers is the murderous envy of us Jews. What is Islam, after all, if not a bitter protest against Judaism for having stolen Ishmael's birthright as the first-born son – without of course understanding there was a reason for his being disinherited? It was because of his character. His behavior was far more important than his blood. Jimmy Carter by that book's very title exposed his archaic Christian fixation on blood that Jews do not share.

Yes, the first-born son is special. That is human nature and Judaism takes it into account. But repeatedly in the lives of the Patriarchs, again and again it is the second son who bears the legacy of his father and transmits his faith and religion, the first of these cases being Ishmael himself who though the first born did not merit to inherit the mantle of Avraham.

There is to this very day a flavor of bitter envy on the part of these Arabs, a bitterness that we Jews are so far ahead of them, so all they can do is claim the Temple Mount to be their property because they are the “real” Jews. They have the special covenant now. Islam is the truest and final form of Abraham's monotheism, they say – and never mind the cosmic contradiction between Abraham known to Jews as the kindest man in the world in his generation, and the grim and gruesome, gory and horrific behavior of these savages who spring at Jews with knives in hand to slash and murder them. Avraham, as he really was, would not approve.

It is interesting, though, how in their own minds the Arabs wind up mimicking the Christians who just like them are irritated, shall we say, that the Jews had or have this special relationship with G-d, which makes them greedy Jews who won't share the special covenant. The Roman Catholic Church claims outright to be the Real Israel. Just like Muslims, the RCs claim they are the rightful owners of this special covenant.

Once I had a sneaky, dissembling, Mormon missionary tell me that the Mormons were in-grafted into the Jewish people. I have also heard that term from other non-Mormon missionaries.

“In-grafted”? They seem not think that the Jews have any say in the matter. You know, we do have an historical conversion process. If you want “ingrate” yourself, just proclaiming it is not Jewish legislation.

Everybody wants get into the act and above all these Muslims who are cosmically shameless in their conscienceless belief in their right just to take what we have. That is what this latest Temple Mount violence is all about. The Arabs overran Jerusalem in the year 638 after like a decade and more of a knockdown, drag-out war between the Zoroastrian Persian Empire and the Byzantine Christians that bankrupted and exhausted both empires, allowing into the political vacuum the Arab hordes to swarm in and overrun Jerusalem. These Arabs have an appetite for what others have produced and then invent a story to justify taking it from them, which commonly consists of the

claim that the property was initially theirs, which was stolen from them, and they are returning it to its original owners.

I think Bibi is right to go along with this deal about cameras on the Temple Mount, though I am fearful that our well-intentioned Temple Mount activists will still rebel and become a problem. I think that is playing with fire.

I think our Temple Mount activists are mistaken to think the enemy denying us the right to our Temple Mount is just these locals, when it is not. It is the entirety of Islam, with its 56 states and billion-plus adherents. Not a good idea to provoke that community into taking action against us.

\* \* (Musical Interlude) \* \*

So, we ended the first segment with concern for the potentially huge Islamic menace, which may be the center ring of the three-ring circus of our lives but it's not the only menace.

On YNET.com on Friday, Yediot's long-term military correspondent Alex Fishman reported that Fatah is on the verge an eruption. They have thousands of weapons, assault rifles mostly, and their Tanzim organization, their youth wing, is itching to attack us with these weapons.

The knife boys and girls are clearly this decade's version of the suicide bombers of the Intifada No.2. They reckon on having a very good chance, when they strike, of ending in their own lives.

But Fatah remains a different kettle of fish, or should I say, piranha. Fatah has always preferred assault rifles, RPGs, bombs and remote control bombs, versus these Islamist brothers who prefer the tactile pleasure of knifing Jews and seeing and feeling the warm blood that spurts out at them.

As far as I could figure out, what Fishman was describing in the headline, "Fatah on the verge of eruption," was the normal, generational dynamic in Araby in which 16 and 17 year-olds want to kill people. It is commonly misunderstood as a rebellion over ideology between the conservative, older generation in power, and the more radical youth.

Nah. It is just youth wanting to dispossess the ruling pasha and his clique. Of course, the rebels spout idealistic complaints of injustice and corruption by the leadership. Abu Mazen is much too accommodating of al-Yahud, they say, when there is nothing more normal than an Arab government fearful not of neighboring peoples but its own people. All the Arab states have not one but two armies. The first is meant to defend the country from foreign enemies; the other army defends the ruling clique from the national army. In Arab lands, you see, just like in Israel, armed soldiers in city streets in battle dress, only in Israel they protect you, the people, from the alien enemy; in Arab lands, they protect the regime from their own people.

And it is about time for the current cohort of Ishmael-clones here -- the same age as Ishmael, 16-17, when expelled from his father's community of hundreds of disciples --

to erupt again. Think of how dangerous Ishmael must have been to be expelled like that. Avraham, we know, was childless all his adult life and all he asked for from HaShem was a son, and Ishmael was the result. As HaShem needed two tries to get things right with the world -- it didn't work out the first time and he had drown the world and start all over -- so Abraham's first son was a huge disappointment, a dangerous disappointment. Avraham was the kindest man in the world who wanted a son all his life and what he got was this potential murderer who must be expelled like Cain. Like Cain the wanderer, the Arabs throughout history have wandered, as nomads.

So what Fishman was describing was a population cohort of Fatah youth, the Tanzim, which means "organization" and is short for Youth Organization, who are itching for some action and it is possible we may have a replay of the last intifada in which the IDF had to retake and subdue Judea and Samaria. This is what may be in the cards.

A real mini-war in which casualties could be high, much worse because they are better armed now. Not a pleasing prospect. Fishman reported that in the Shuafat UNRWA slum in north Jerusalem, there may be as many as 3,000 weapons: M-16s. AK-47s, grenades, IEDs. The IDF took Judea and Samaria in 1967 basically without a shot, since the Jordanian Army abandoned its posts and retreated across the River (excepting Jerusalem of course). We had to take it back in 2002 and, who knows, like getting a booster shot we might have to conquer it again only at a fearful price.

The upside this time, however, is the exposure of the Arabs' PR campaign that matches nicely Hillary Clinton's testimony before the House Committee on Benghazi the other day: lies, lies and more lies. The PA here, you know, the "moderates" who are so different from those incorrigible Islamists -- that was the theoretical basis for the Oslo madness, you could trust them - are putting out the claim that all these boys shot down were just minding their own business and the knives found at the scene were planted by the IDF or the police.

There was that Arab reporter on CNN reporting the fantasy that Israel just killed boys for no reason, even as his producer had on the split screen the boy in Pisgat Ze'ev running at police with a knife.

Congress has just held back \$80 million from the PA because of these lies, and I am waiting for the Israeli government, in vain of course, to link this explosion of lying by the PA these last three weeks with the overall lie of Palestinian Nationalism. It is the same mental dynamic at work. They lie about what is going on now; they lie about history.

Of course Israel will not do that, least-wise under Bibi. He remains what he was educated to be: a non-religious person who likewise prefers to frame the violence here as a political and not a religious problem.

Versus an alternate strategy might be to adopt the role of the Prophet Yeshayahu/Isaiah. Israel, he said, should be "a light to the nations" and in this case that might mean trying to educate and civilize our barbaric cousins, these Arabs.

What Israel needs is an Arabic-speaking prime minister who would conduct weekly classes on the Internet educating these people, civilizing them, pointing out the absolute

superiority of Judeo-Christian civilization over theirs. Sort of like a Tokyo Rose doing propaganda to persuade U.S. forces to lose heart in their war against Japan, the prime minister might lecture our neighbors as to the superiority of Western culture; how superior democratic self-government is to dictatorship, and if they want to enjoy living in a better society, the first step is respect the right of others to believe what they want and in general to swear off murdering people all the time.

\* \* (Musical Interlude) \* \*

Maybe you saw the op-ed piece in the Washington Post on Friday by two American academics presumably of Jewish extraction who for sure do not live Jewish lives, one Steve Levitsky, a professor government at Harvard and Glen Weyl professor of economics at the University of Chicago, whose lede sentence was, “We are lifelong Zionists,” and you knew right way these people were liars in a class with Hillary Clinton. Lifelong Zionists don’t make their lives in Cambridge, Massachusetts or Hyde Park in Chicago, they move to Israel. So here the first sentence was already a lie.

Next sentence: “Like other progressive Jews...” Oh boy. And again you know you are being forewarned that these two don’t know what it means to be a Jew. There is no adjective necessary, just Jewishness/ *Yabadus*. There is no such thing as a “progressive” Jew, a Reform Jew, a Left-wing Jew, a Reconstructionist Jew, a Conservative Jew. Judaism needs no modifiers. “Progressive Jews” connotes constant change. On the country, classical Jewishness is the exercise of the most conservative ideology in the history of the human race. Judaism claims that the wisdom and the statutes found in the Five Books of Moses are to be preserved and lived by, conserved for all time. They are over three thousand years old. Tat’s really (!) conservative.

These two Jewish ignoramus then go on to claim that a lesson of the Holocaust is to embrace universal human rights. To which I say, “What?” The Holocaust was about just the opposite: the denial of human rights to one special people (mostly) whom tens of millions of people in Europe, North Africa and the Middle East wanted to exterminate like diseased cattle. Nothing universal about that. These two self-declared lifelong Zionists who never made Aliya were protesting “the undemocratic measures undertaken in pursuit of Israel’s survival, such as the occupation of the West Bank and Gaza the denial of basic rights to the Balestinians living there.”

Dear me. They object to the “undemocratic measures undertaken in pursuit of Israel’s survival.” In other words, “democratic measures” are more important than Israel’s survival. I guess these two never heard of the undemocratic occupation of Germany and Japan for decades after World War II in which American and Allied martial law trumped democratic self-rule, which resulted from the aggression against civilization by Germany and Japan.

We are in a similar situation. Seen from another angle -- the true Zionist angle -- Israel is not in occupation according to settled international law. The situation does not fit the criteria.

They express their dismay at the “apartheid-like regime,” and never mind the anti-Apartheid Jews in South Africa who battled that system before making Aliyah say there is no comparison, none whatsoever.

Worse, I say, this is classic antisemitism by my definition. Accusing Israel of apartheid is an Orwellian corruption of language. Apartheid was about skin-color. People were divided between whites, blacks and mixed coloreds, when our relationship to these Arabs, these Muslims, has absolutely to do with their appearance. And to accuse Israelis of apartheid is classical antisemitism because it is a lie.

Here were these two self-proclaimed Zionists accusing the Zionist state of color discrimination where there is no truth to that.

Here were two PhDs in elite American universities spewing antisemitism, with the ironic touch that both would probably call themselves Jews.

What a world. What a wacky, twisted, perverted world we live in.

\* \* (Musical Interlude) \* \*

Lastly, here’s another letter to the Jerusalem Post I sent out today but I doubt Lawrence Rifkin will publish it. Sometimes he does, many times he does not publish what I send. It refers to the JPost piece on Avi Dichter, on October 23, last Friday, reviving his national identity bill. “MK Avi Dichter is right to declare, ‘this is a battle for the country’s image and national identity.’ “

“And as in any war, one targets not only the enemy’s men and materiel but his morale and his national identity.

“Dichter’s proposed legislation is therefore only half the battle. Israel must also target the national identity of the enemy, which every honest supporter of Israel knows to be an anti-Semitic hoax. Israel must accept that Golda Meir was right (if politically incorrect) on 17 June 1969 in a London Sunday Times interview to say that, “There was no such thing as Palestinians.”

“Israel must strengthen its national identity and combat the enemy’s narrative by exposing his claim to statehood in Judea and Samaria as bogus. After all, the essence of anti-Israel propaganda these days is, ‘The Zionists stole Palestine from the Palestinians,’ which requires Golda’s riposte: ‘There were no ‘Palestinians’ when we brought our country back to life and we didn’t steal anything.’”

*Leila tov miEretz Yisrael.*

25.10.15.

**DeProgramProgram.com**