

*B"H*

# *DeProgram Program*

*From the Mind of Sha'i ben-Tekoa*

*Transcript*

<http://www.deprogramprogram.com>

*Date: September 20, 2015 / ח תשרי תשע"ו*

*Parasha: Haazinu / האזינו*

*Title: Kafkaesque*

**Copyright: Sha'i ben-Tekoa 2015**

**www.deprogramprogram.com**

*Shalom laYehudim, Shalom laBnai Noach, Shalom laGoyim.* It's the evening of the 2nd, *lebes beTishrei Parashas Haazinu, tav-shin-ayin-vav* the evening of the 1st day, Sunday, 20 September 2015, webcasting from Herzlandia, the state designed by a victim of anti-Semitism who did not understand it.

So the top story here continues to be the violence against Jews by homicidal Hamites using literally stone-age weapons. In their culture, there is no concept of the dividing line between soldiers and non-combatants. Descendants of nomadic outlaw bandits who never fought over land only portable possessions, what one raider could carry away during a raid; their targets are commonly non-soldiers, the defenseless who are surprised in ambush. Today a family car near Tekoa was penetrated by a stone thrown that hit the baby seat in the backside but not the baby, thank G-d. I know what is it to have a window suddenly shatter and spray me with shards of glass. No fun.

At the same time, in a scene right out of Rod Serling's "Twilight Zone" or Franz Kafka's *The Castle*, world leaders are tongue-lashing Israel for violating the rights of Muslims on our Temple Mount, changing the status quo, when it is they who have changed the status quo. For years, Jews visited but were forbidden to pray as they walked around. Now they can barely hear themselves think surrounding by shrieking Arab harpies.

On Thursday, the UN Security Council issued a statement called for "upholding the status quo" and affirmed that "Muslim worshippers at the Haram a-

Sharif must be allowed to worship in peace, free from violence, threats and provocation.” No mention of Jews and the sanctity of the site to us.

Am I dreaming? It is a matter of visual record, it is evidence, that young Ishmael clones have stored up rocks and bricks and whatever inside Al-Aqsa to pelt Jews with, and here the Security Council sees Muslims (?) not being allowed to worship in peace. What is going on here?

Not that Israel’s ambassador to the UN has helped much, incapable apparently of breaking through the limitations of his secular Zionist upbringing, which like Herzl himself did not teach him about antisemitism.

Earlier on Thursday, Ambassador Rob Prozor -- whom I have great respect for, by the way -- sent a letter to UN Secretary-General Ban Ki-Moon -- this is from JPost -- “reiterating Israel’s commitment to maintaining the status quo and safeguarding the holy sites of the three monotheistic faiths in Jerusalem. Any attempt by the UN to equivocate or avoid a clear statement denouncing those responsible for the outbreak of violence will only encourage further destabilizing activities and further inflame an already dangerous situation.”

Sorry, Ron, but your protestation here about Israel’s commitment to the status and safeguarding the holy sites of the three monotheistic faiths falls on deaf ears because Israel’s venomous critics don’t give a damn about Israel’s ecumenical stewardship. Not one enemy chastises us for not being ecumenical. They throw rocks at us and claim we are aggressing against them.

As in the year 2000, as in the year 1929, the core of this latest violence against Jews is Al-Aqsa Mosque and the Jews’ alleged aggression against it. It is the same-old same-old. Since you can’t stir up the rabble here with appeals to glorious moments in Ancient Palestinian history because there are none, you appeal to Islam the “correct” religion. The Arabs here, these stone throwers, are such losers compared to their Jewish Israeli counterparts in their teens, all they have to console themselves with, as balm for the bitter sense of inferiority they experience, is the belief that at least Islam is the truest, best religion in the world.

And true to form as primitives, their defense mechanisms are most primitive. They aggress against us and get the UN Security Council to accuse of us of aggressing against them.

Of course the king in Jordan and pharaoh in Egypt have expressed their anger. Saudi Arabia -- whose very name signifies the state in the dictatorial hands of one tribe only -- also chimed in with outrage at Israel’s aggression against Haram a-Sharif, which is, in his mind, an exclusively Muslim holy site. All of them say that, including the little king across the river.

Which makes sense. Their holiest site and city is Mecca, which is off limits to non-Muslims. A non-Muslim caught inside the city can be slain on the spot, I think. And so for our Temple Mount.

Arabs are not into any ecumenical brotherhood of man stuff. Which is why Ron Prozor's pride in Israel's safeguarding of the three monotheistic faiths is irrelevant. No one is accusing Israel of failing to do that. No one cares about that. Israel is accused because it does not respect this Muslim holy site that like Mecca should be wholly off-limits to non-Muslims.

They are the ones changing the status quo, and one proof of that is their accusation against us.

Prozor's statement also disappointed when he called for a "clear statement from the Council denouncing those responsible for the outbreak of violence."

"Those responsible"? Now who might they be? This is diplomatic pabulum out of place in this serious situation. Notice Prozor does not himself name or label what he calls "those responsible." He does not tell us who they are.

I'm glad he did not, like the MSM here, call them "Palestinians," but he still avoided the truth that their cause is Islam, the justification for their violence against us is Islam, and they are Muslims.

What's going here is classic aggression against Jews, only presented as Jewish aggression against others. Israel is trying to "change the status quo" that detracts from Islam's sovereign ownership of Haram a- Sharif. That is what is being said.

What Israel's ambassador might speak of is Islamic Apartheid. Sharia law for 14 centuries called for the oppression and humiliation of Jews and the record shows that was the case.

Israel has learn to accept that the rise of the State in 1948 -- thank you G-d -- did not put an end to mankind's habitual hatred of Jews in every generation, none of it ever based in truth. In every generation, there have been lies and allegations of Jewish evil that never had any basis. And that is what is going on here.

The violence continued today. Three men in uniform were moderately burnt when a Molotov cocktail struck their vehicle and set it afire. They got out in time. As mentioned before, a stone-throwing attack between Tekoa and Har Homa directed at a young family passing in the family car took place. No one hurt, thank G-d. But I am sure they were all terrorized.

On Friday, the Knesset Foreign Affairs and Defense Committee authorized the IDF to call up additional Border Police reservists. Committee Chairman Tzachi Hanegbi said, "Border Police fighters have a rich and successful history of dealing with hostile and violent activity."

Yes, they are mostly Druze and I spent a day with one of their units on patrol during the first intifada and can testify to their hatred of the Arabs with whom they don't play games. They of course speak Arabic but long ago had the brains to ally with the Jews who treat them, if not perfectly, far better than the Arabs ever did.

The prime minister has been clear as well in wanting to ramp up the rights of our men in uniform to use lethal force against this latest cohort of rock-throwing

Ishmael-clones. However, in Israel's wretched political system, he has to get permission from Attorney-General Yehuda Weinstein. The latest word is, though, that this otherwise corrupt leftist will go along because the situation demands it.

Israel has to tell the world that in Jerusalem, this city with a Hebrew Jewish name, home to our ancient temples and capital of our country at its most vigorous thousands of years ago and at the present time as well, Israel has zero tolerance for the kinds of abuse of Jews we have known for thousands of years; vicious, antisemitic Muslim abuse. None of these Arabians have the right to throw rocks at us and flaming bottles of gasoline at us and at our homes.

And we will be merciless in self-defense. These Arabs, these Muslims, are today's version of Nazi Germany's thugs and in their ridiculous lies about us as well.

We must tell them: "We are no longer your dhimmi. We are back home now and you Muslims can no longer persecute as you used to. You must learn to respect us and our holy city. We have no claim on Mecca and Medina, and you shall have no claim on our Jerusalem."

\* \* (Musical Interlude) \* \*

And that was one of the most popular of psalms among Jews, Christians and musicians, Psalm 23.

Yes, I see what Ambassador Ron Prosor said at the UN and what the PM has said: very little in the way of fortifying the people of Israel and speaking the truth to the world. Palestinian Media Watch today cited those "moderate" Fatah leaders in the PA egging on the violent ones. The PM, secular sabra that he is, has trouble too seeing the classic antisemitism at work unaffected by the rise of the state.

For sure, antisemitism as it has been known in previous generations is on the rise today. A recent poll of Jews in Europe finds that 70% of them hide their Jewish identity from co-workers and others.

In a YNET report the week before last, it was stated that only 15% of the Continent's Jews were planning to go to *shul* on the High Holidays for fear of "harassment," said the poll, and I say "fear of violence, even murder." My book records several terror attacks in the 1980s against Jews exiting a synagogue on a major holiday as services break. It is getting to be like Nazi Germany all over again in terms of Jews fearful for their lives on the Continent.

Antisemitism is constantly being pumped out of Iran. Daily, it seems, another major player there goes public with the need to destroy Israel.

Arutz 7 reported today that the BBC apologized for yet another antiJew insult, this time using an antisemitic caricature of a great Jewish violinist to publicize a program.

Likewise, halfway around the world from there, at UC Irvine, the antiJew behavior there continues to spew from students and administration alike. UC Irvine is thought to

be the worst campus in the California university system for its antisemitism, presented of course as anti-Israelism. Even the system's statewide regents are complaining that a recent statement issued by the administration there was offensive for not explicitly condemning antisemitism on campus. Instead, what came out was some pabulum about "intolerance," when it was the Jews on campus who pushed for such a statement but that is what they got.

And then of course there was last Wednesday's Republican debate in the States which got opinionator Ann Coulter to sneer at all the support for Israel expressed by the candidates for the "effing" Jews. She has tried since then to spin her own remarks and expression of frustration with the Republican candidates, but that won't wash. Had she had use that vulgar adjective to describe the "effing candidates" pandering to the Jews, she might have gotten away with it.

And this was not Ann Coulter's first jab at Jews. She has referred to herself as a "perfected Jew." That's what Christians are, she said.

She also, for my money, in the title of her new book on the immigration crisis, tiptoes along the borderline of racism. The title says she doesn't want the U.S. become to another "Third World hellhole." I share her distress at the immigration crisis but this smacks of true racism: recoiling from non-white peoples.

She calls herself a Presbyterian, which is one of the more antisemitic mainstream sects. Her father is a Catholic and she grew up in New Canaan, Connecticut, ah yes, bastion of some New England aristocracy.

I don't think she is a serious antiJew but clearly she is not above drawing attention to herself with such a crack.

What I found as disturbing as her antiJew bile was her seeming ignorance of her fellow Americans that she is always advising. I don't think she understands why some four candidates broadcast their support of Israel, not because they are looking for Jewish money but because support for Israel relates to a whole slew of other social issues troubling the country.

Judging by the talkbacks, for example, America does not lack for people who understand that Iran is the great enemy of the U.S. and therefore Israel is an ally in that fight.

Israel also represents the Jews of the Bible that many Americans cherish. Support for Israel is connected, I think, to support for Kim Davis in Kentucky, that clerk who refused to issue a marriage license to two sodomites. Conservatives in the U.S. know that the basis of so much morality is Biblical and they see that morality crumbling.

I don't think it is in dispute that most Americans do not support the Supreme Court's decision on so-called gay marriage, and support for Israel is support for the Biblical roots of that opposition.

Americans with their heads screwed on straight know that Iran and Islam in general are no friends of the United States, and Israel is an ally in their relationship. Support for Israel is related to hatred for the ragheads who pulled off 9-11.

Coulter also seems historically challenged. Support for Israel is important to millions of conservative Christians as the fulfillment of Biblical prophecy. In her own palsied vision, Coulter sounds like LTF who also was caught insinuating that the only reason Congress supports Israel is Jewish money buying them off. I don't think LTF ever recovered from that. Leastwise, he seems less to have a lower profile than before, though that may be connected to his proven idiocy about the Middle East, the "Arab Spring" and all that, which is on a par with that of his soul mate Dr. Joseph Beilin, PhD. AntiJew Jews like these have no understanding of what goes on here.

If Coulter thinks the candidates were sucking up to the Jews, hungry for their money, she knows less about her fellow Americans than she should.

\* \* (Musical Interlude) \* \*

And that of course was "Ya Ribon," in Aramaic, written by Rabbi Moshe of Najar, a student of the Arizal in Tsfat in the 16<sup>th</sup> century who later became the rabbi of Gaza. It is a song we sing around the Sabbath evening table.

Last Tuesday in the Washington Post, veteran foreign affairs columnist David Ignatius lauded Obama's "enormous victory" in the Iran deal. Ignatius had interviewed Ben Rhodes, the deputy national security adviser, who boasted that Obama's deal was his greatest foreign policy achievement, and Ignatius seemed to agree it was not Obama's victory over Iran but Netanyahu!

Caroline Glick wrote this up on Friday in her column, saying, "The takeaway lesson is obvious. Obama never intended to stop Iran from going nuclear. The goal of his nuclear diplomacy with the mullahs was to beat Israel."

Yes, I thought that from Day One of his presidency and have never stopped thinking that. I had no idea at the time, though, he was such a chronic liar about everything. But I did already feel and reason that this guy was pro-Muslim and as such hates Israel for putting Islam to shame by its very superiority, and that it is unfair that Israel has a nuclear umbrella that Iran does not. For Muslims, whatever the Jews have, they covet, and experience its lack as some kind of injustice.

And don't forget it was Ben Rhodes, son of a Gentile mother and Jewish father, who wrote Obama's jaw-dropping Cairo speech which was full of the cracked brain delusions of the wackiest storefront preacher in an inner city mosque. I remember my horror watching that speech live from Al Azhar, four months into his tenure as president, thinking, "Lord, have mercy. This guy is race hustler in a class with his own preacher of twenty years, Rev. Wright, big friend of Louis Farrakhan. I listened and watched as he delivered one ridiculous perversion of historical truth after another in praise of Islam. I

watched in shock and fear. I thought to myself that he is definitely on their side and therefore will be an enemy of Israel.

In addition, his friendship with Bill Ayres and Bernadine Dohrn, whom I literally crossed paths with in the late 1960s, made me fear the worst.

His friendship as well with Rashid Khalidi, the Edward Said of the day, whose book I read on the rise of the “Palestinian” national consciousness, which was his pretentious, academic attempt to discuss and track the historical lie that there ever had been a Palestinian nation.

So I, for one, was not surprised on Friday to read that Ignatius quoted Ben Rhodes boasting Obama’s “enormous victory” not over Iran but Bibi. Netanyahu, you know, King of the Jews who stole Al-Quds from Believers in Muhammad, “peace be upon him.”

For Obama, Iran has never been the enemy; Israel is the enemy. That is the “fundamental change” he promised in his campaign in 2008.

So I find this is just more proof that this is an antisemite. He is no doubt an Islamophile. He has refused to use the term “Islamic terrorism.” He denied Islam had anything to do with the massacre of U.S. soldiers at Ft. Hood by a Muslim lunatic bellowing “Allahu Akbar!” Alone among world leaders he refused to fly to Paris following the Charlie Hebdo massacre. He called the victims at the Hyper Cacher grocery “some folks,” not Jews murdered by a Muslim Jew-hater.

I will not be surprised if after he leaves office, he retires to Mecca and comes out of the closet as the Muslim that he is.

\* \* (Musical Interlude) \* \*

Yes, antisemitism is in the air again. It rises and falls like the tides. Jeremy Corbyn, friend of Hamas and Hezbollah, is now the elected Labor Party head in England. Ann Coulter has now exposed her hostility. And JPost reported that in the city of Jena, Germany, its Social Democratic mayor, one Albrecht Schroter, blames Israel for the Syrian refugee crisis and advises the federal government in Berlin to “break with the restraint toward Israel as an occupying state.”

Yes, and how’s about breaking with Turkey for occupying Northern Cyprus?

Of interest here is that the British Labor Party today is a reverse, mirror image of what it was in the 1930s and 40s when it was the biggest supporter of Zionism. And not one scrap of paper can be found in England from that period in support for the Balestinians, as that term is used today. In those days, the Labor Party did not think Zionism was a racist doctrine. It was Jews vs. Arabs, and the left supported the Zionists.

Likewise, in the States for decades it was the Democratic Party that was home to American Jewry. I was a Democrat like my whole family, descended from labor organizers, until 1984 when that race hustler and antiJew Jesse Jackson at the Democratic

20.09.15.

## DeProgramProgram.com

convention in San Francisco that year would not let a protest against antisemitism be written into the Democratic Party platform. That is when yours truly bailed.

Now here too is this Social Democrat in Germany infected with antiJewitis of the brain.

How the world turns. A generation ago, Evangelical Christians were not seen as great friends of Jews and Israel. A generation ago, the Republicans were the hostile party.

Today the greatest enemy of the Jews is arguably the Democratic president in the White House.

Okay, that is it for this evening. Tuesday evening is Erev Yom Kippur, *Kol Nidrei*. No webcast then.

I will be back Thursday, G-d willing. *Tsom kal*, have an easy fast and *Leila tov miEretz Yisrael*.