

*B"H*

# *DeProgram Program*

*From the Mind of Sha'i ben-Tekoa*

*Transcript*

<http://www.deprogramprogram.com>

*Date: May 10, 2015 / כב אייר תשע"ה*

*Parasha: Bekhukosai / בחוקותי*

*Title: Obama's Plot*

**Copyright: Sha'i ben-Tekoa 2015**

**www.deprogramprogram.com**

*Shalom laYehudim, Shalom laBnai Noach, Shalom laGoyim.* It's the evening of the 2nd day, *kaf-bes beIyar, tav-shin-ayin-beh, Parashas Bekhukosai*, the evening of the 1st, Sunday, 10 May 2015, webcasting from the target of the antiJew in the White House.

Well, if Debkafile's report of last Wednesday, which I did not deal with last webcast, is true, what was predictable six years ago could be coming true. As Obama in his first inaugural address slapped the Jews' in America in the face and in the same minute smiled upon and stretched out his hand to the Islamic world, which is a civilization rooted in Jew-hatred, so today, as he sees the end of his time in office approaching, with the great success of helping the Iranians to build an atomic bomb, this regime which openly, shamelessly like Nazi Germany dreams in public of destroying Israel, Obama is now giving the go-ahead to the UN Security Council to stab Israel in the back and do to it what was done to Apartheid South Africa.

Support for this scenario is on the Debkafile site. Obama has given the go-ahead to France and other countries to draft a solution and begin the process of destroying Israel, G-d forbid. If Debkafile is right, the language of this resolution in the works will recognize the State of Balestine within boundaries that the Council will choose, and of course, Israel will have no say in the matter.

And let us be clear in this world of words we live in polluted, as Orwell taught, with lies disguised as truth: what the Two-State Solution calls for is declaring some 2,000 square miles of the 10,000 square miles that Israel has controlled since 1967 in the middle of the heart of the Holy Land, the high ground, to be a Jew-free zone governed by Muslims who voted last time they voted for the Muslim Brotherhood,

known here as HAMAS, which is what Obama did to Egypt. He brought down the government there that was allied with Washington for decades and in its place, the people voted for the Brotherhood, which is the incubator of all the homicidal maniacs that Islam has made the world bleed: Hamas, al-Qaida, ISIS.

Obama in 2009 went to Cairo and spoke to Islam in general from Al-Azhar -- not the Western-created Cairo University founded in 1908 on the model of Beirut's Syrian Protestant College established in 1867 by Christians from the West. Until 1908, Egypt had no higher education outside of Al-Azhar that is a seminary for Muslim clergymen who commonly use their pulpits on Fridays to shriek hysterically against Jews, the West, modernity, etc. The White House website says Obama spoke at Cairo U, which is a lie. Oh, how I envy future historians who will write of this time in America when this chronic liar was never removed from office.

And now he seems to be on his way to his ultimate goal as president: screwing the Jews in Israel to the max.

The Two-State Solution, which if Debka is on the right track, will proclaim the existence of a State of Balestine and therefore declare Israel guilty of aggression, hostile occupation like, say, Nazi Germany when it rolled into Czechoslovakia, then Poland, then the rest of Europe. Since 1967, when the Soviet Union was the first member-state in the debates at the UN following the Six Day War to liken Israel and its soldiers to Nazi Germany's Storm Troopers, Israel has become the new Nazi Germany.

In fairness to those Soviet Commies at the time, as I show in my book, the earliest such reference that I came across in my research was the first issue of TIME Magazine with Israel as its lede story. That was in August 1948, three months after Israel declared independence, with David ben Gurion on the cover, in which lede story IDF soldiers were compared to Nazis, albeit by implication.

The Two-State Solution calls for turning over the high ground taken from the enemy in 1967 to such men as Saeb Erekat who in 2000 at Camp David agreed with Arafat that the Jews never had a temple on the Temple Mount. Saeb Erekat two years later said the IDF operation in Jenin resulted in the massacre of 500 innocent Balestinians. That was in the middle of the so-called Al-Aqsa Intifada that began with the call that Israel had violated the sanctity of Al-Aqsa when Arik Sharon walked in front of it. Coincidentally, as my book shows, in 1929 Haj Amin al-Husseini spread the lie that the Jews had set fire to al-Aqsa and massacred 500 innocent Muslims.

You got that? There is no substantive difference between Saeb Erekat in 2002 and the Nazi collaborator Haj Amin al-Husseini in 1929. Al-Aqsa Mosque has been the spark for both of those Jew-hating barbarians; both spread the same lie that 500 innocent Arabs had been butchered by Jews.

This is what the Two-State Solution calls for. A 2,000-square-mile district overlooking central Israel emptied of a half-million Jews and in the hands of Erekat, Abbas and others who served their late leader Arafat who had nicknamed his first

Fatah boys, “Storm Troopers” and had them carry around pictures of Adolf Hitler in their pockets.

Thus does old-fashioned, classical Jew-hatred mutate from generation to generation, fueled by the same sickness of the spirit.

Debkafile said Obama is doing this is as payback to Netanyahu for not creating a cabinet supportive of renewed negotiations with these Arab Nazis and for declaring the night before the election that there would be no Balestinian state on his watch.

Now, Debka is not the most reliable of sites. But the Obama portrayed in this report makes sense. This is an egomaniac, or in the contemporary term a narcissist. He likewise experienced Bibi’s declaration on 16 March before the election to be a personal attack against him.

Ergo, this is payback for going against him, the president of the most powerful country on earth. How dare Bibi not obey Obama’s will?

The day after the Debka report last Thursday, the Jerusalem District Planning Committee approved the construction of 900 more homes for Jews in Ramat Shlomo, which you may remember was the same project five years ago at issue when Joe Biden showed up, and that triggered a storm of protest from Obama and a 45-minute phone call of tongue-lashing the people’s choice of leader here, then as now, Prime Minister Netanyahu, by the famous foreign policy genius Hillary Clinton.

In 2009, the Jerusalem Municipality’s Finance Committee announced funding for this project. Biden showed up in 2010 when it was again in the news; and then in 2013 the Municipality approved a budget for it, and here was another government office last week signing off on the plan.

Again, Washington and the Europeans, true to generations of hostility to Jews in their culture, howled at what they called this plan for “East Jerusalem.”

The ignorance of these antisemites is pathetic. Ramat Shlomo is north Jerusalem. It was never in Jordanian hands. It was a no-man’s land, so the operative principle here is what I’ve long noted: the Jews are not allowed to keep the spoils of war. The Two-State Solution calls for Israel to return all land it took from Jordan, and that sends the message that Jordan’s 1967 attempt at destroying Israel was a freebie. No price to be paid. Israel defended itself. Civilians and soldiers were killed. A war was fought that cost a huge amount of money in Israeli terms. Huge loans were taken out afterwards to pay for the war that required decades to return at interest, of course. And here is the world saying it was all a freebie. The Arabs pay nothing for their attempt.

Indeed, the spoils taken are to be handed back, in his case to the Muslim Brotherhood, the same who took over in Egypt until Gen. al-Sisi and the army drove them out and sent them back to prison.

In other words, the Two-State Solution that Obama now wants to kick into high gear is a monstrous, antisemitic plot.

And it will be interesting to see how Israel fights back.

\* \* (Musical Interlude) \* \*

Is it just yours truly, or do others see that behind the scenes of international politics, the engine of the war against Israel is the same-old same-old?

In any case, I find the current scenario fascinating for displaying the same-old same-old only now denied by the Jew-haters. This has been one of the lasting effects of the Holocaust. Until then, antisemitic ideas, that is, the word, the concept, the attempt to explain to Jew-hatred in pseudo-academic, pseudo-scientific terms apart from classical religious calumny, had been in many quarters a legitimate subject. Only at the end did antisemitism connote mass murder.

From the mid-19<sup>th</sup> century until the Final Solution, legions of thinkers in Europe had pondered the revulsion people felt in the presence of Jews -- I have seen and experienced that revulsion myself -- and many of them, Jews included, came up with logical explanations and all toward resolving the hatred by basically calling for the dejudaization of the Jews. That was very much a project of the secular Zionist themselves.

But once the dimensions of the Holocaust took the spotlight, Jew-hatred became a social taboo that really has lasted to this day.

Thus Israel-haters today angrily deny they are antisemites when they demand Israel create a Jew-free zone for Muslim fanatics in the middle of their tiny country.

Listen to how Reuters reported last Friday -- ironically on the 70<sup>th</sup> anniversary of V-E Day, the day peace was declared in 1945 -- on the concern Western diplomats have for the “anti-democratic legislation” being pushed by the incoming Ministress of Justice in Israel Ayelet Shaked. The Europeans said it looks like it is “designed to shut down criticism.”

Moreover, “Benjamin Netanyahu’s formation of the most right-wing government in Israel’s history has fuelled concerns in Europe and the United States about further settlement building and the dimming of prospects for peace.”

Yes, Israel builds more homes for Jews on barren land it took in self-defense in battle and that “dims prospects for peace.”

“But it also has diplomats on edge about wider policy proposals, particularly on social and judicial affairs, where the far-right *Bayit Yehudi*/Jewish Home Party is determined to leave its mark. Ultra-nationalist Bayit Yehudi, led by Naftali Bennett, has secured the Justice Ministry for Shaked who is a divisive figure in Israeli politics making outspoken comments against Balestinians while promoting a pro-settler agenda. She has backed controversial bills including one that would enshrine Israel as the Jewish-nation-state.”

Yes, she has spoken against the Balestinians. During World War II, the British could say nasty things about the Germans and Americans about the Japanese but for Jews who say nasty things about those who butcher us all the time, “Oh we can’t have that. That’s racism!”

I find this simply amazing, actually, for lurking beneath this language is age-old Jew-hatred, only Reuters would deny that vigorously, as Obama claims to care so much about

Israel. It was just last week he waxed admiring of Israel, and if Debka is right, he was simultaneously sending John Kerry to Europe and the Saudis to work on a resolution screwing Israel to death.

In our time, public, shameless anti-Jew antagonism is out of bounds. So what is done is to smear a patriotic party with being “far-right and ultra nationalist.” That is language used to describe Jean-Marie Le Pen in France, just kicked out his own party by his daughter for his anti-Jew cracks. The Jewish Home Party is like, say, the UK’s National Front, ultra-nationalist, which is evidenced by Ayelet Shaked’s support for bolstering the Jewish identity of this state which has been its *raison d’etre* since 1948; which identity is emblazoned on the state flag. That identity is constantly being attacked, but she dares to strengthen it?

I think it astonishing that today the same people can smear Israeli patriots like her with being ultra-nationalist while in the same breath support a Palestinian Nationalism that calls for a Jew-free state. Their national liberation is movement that is never branded racist, indeed antisemitic, for such statements. But when Israel reacts to the endless attempts to destroy the identity of the world’s only Jewish state, this is “far-right and ultra-nationalist” which in context are the covert ways of calling Israelis racists because they want a state that is as officially Jewish as any of the 21 Arab states are officially Arab.

This Reuters reports also recoiled at Shaked’s desire for a law to restrict donations from foreign governments to NGOs in Israel.

Horrors. How dare these Israelis not allow foreigners to send money into the country to support traitorous propaganda outfits?

How unacceptable to these anonymous Western diplomats the idea of Israel not allowing foreigners to do that; to back enemies of the democratically-elected government inside the country. Who do these Jews think they are denying the right of outside parties to determine the fate of the people of Israel?

According to one critic, “The legislation being proposed is anti-democratic and looks designed to shut down criticism. It is the sort of thing you see normally coming out of Russia.”

This is the voice of Matthew Duss, the president of the Foundation for Middle East Peace in Washington. He said, “This has very negative connotations. It creates a hostile environment for those who express legitimate criticism and would put Israel in some very bad company.”

Yes, the Foundation for Middle East Peace. According to its mission statement, it “recognizes that the Israeli-Palestinian conflict is at the core of the Arab-Israeli conflict...it supports the cause of peace in Israel and Palestine. In 1992, in view of the growing threat of Israeli settlements to peace, the Foundation introduced the bimonthly Report on Israeli Settlements in the Occupied Territories.”

The very vocabulary here betrays the underlying antiJewism. This conflict is *not* Israeli-Palestinian; it is Arab-Israeli. Those two formulations I deal with in my book. It was the Arab-Israeli Conflict in the 1950s and 60s; then the polarity of the violence was

switched. Israel took position Number One as the aggressor and the phantom Palestinians took Israel's place as the underdog victim of aggression.

In other words, Israel's attempts to choke off funding for enemies of Israel who see Israel as the aggressor is an attempt at being "anti-democratic."

See what I mean? Prior to the Holocaust and really for all of Jewish history, anti-Jews had no inhibitions about smearing Jews with evil deeds. Today, by contrast, the Jews have become "ultra-nationalists" which is the old smear of hating the Gentiles as Voltaire and other Enlightened European deep thinkers thought.

It's the same-old same-old rancid wine in new bottles.

\* \* (Musical Interlude) \* \*

I was reminded last week following the attempted massacre in Garland, Texas and the abuse heaped on Pamela Geller of a famous poem penned by the English poet W.H. Auden entitled, "September 1, 1939," the date World War II began when the Nazis invaded Poland. The poem is famous for several lines but especially Auden's lament at the end of the 1930s that it was a "lowdown, dishonest decade." By that readers understood his sadness at how totalitarian regimes, the Nazis in particular in that decade, had been appeased by the democratic nations.

He wrote "From Luther until now...that has driven a culture mad, find what occurred at Linz...what huge imago made a psychopathic god...the enlightenment driven away."

He was thinking surely of the trajectory of Germany in history from Luther in the 16<sup>th</sup> century to Linz in Austria in the 20<sup>th</sup>. That is the town that Hitler called his hometown... "a psychopathic god, the enlightenment driven away..."

And I thought of that poem when reading the official, anonymous New York Times editorial in the wake of what happened at Garland. "Those two men were would-be murderers. But their thwarted attack, or the murderous rampage of the Charlie Hebdo killers, or even the greater threat posed by the barbaric killers of the Islamic State or Al-Qaeda, *cannot justify blatantly Islamophobic provocations* like the Garland event. These can only serve to exacerbate tensions and to give extremists more fuel. Some of those who draw cartoons of the Prophet Muhammad may earnestly believe they are striking a blow for freedom of expression, though it is hard to see how that goal is advanced by inflicting *deliberate anguish* on millions of devout Muslims who have nothing to do with terrorism...to pretend that the Garland event was motivated by anything other than hate is hogwash."

Yes, Pamela Geller and Robert Spencer, according to the Times, were not motivated by concern for freedom of speech but hatred. For the Times here is not concerned with the hatred in Muslims with assault rifles hating people enough to want to murder kill them for not liking their prophet. It is concerned with the hatred of Geller and Spencer for Islam which of course has no justification.

This is the take-away for the New York Times: concern for Geller's hatred and the "millions of devout Muslims inflicted with deliberate anguish when they have nothing to do with terrorism."

Most Germans also had nothing to do with actually murdering Jews either.

I read this crap and I am less angry than depressed at the spinelessness of such Americans who think this way, the so-called liberal elite who are light-years away from true liberals. No real liberal, like Thomas Jefferson, ever had anything but contempt for Islam. The editorialist here is so just perverse and wrong-headed and really plain ignorant.

On 9-11, what were the sentiments of the majority of Arab Muslims, who admittedly in their majority never committed an act of terrorism in their lives? There were lots of reports and smiles from many quarters.

Worse here is the ignorance here of Islam as a culture, as a society, where the common man's thoughts and feelings count for nothing. These are all dictatorships. What does it matter what the millions think or don't think?

It is overwhelmingly an illiterate population for whom events in Garland, Texas might as well have taken place on the dark side of the moon. Does this dippy editorialist really think millions were anguished by this gathering of some political cartoonists in Texas?

Imagine two lunatic and angry Mormons offended by the satirical Broadway show called *The Book of Mormon* who show up on Times Square with AK-47s to massacre the audience and players. What would the Times say of such behavior? Would it groan over the anguish of the two Mormons with assault rifles driven to their nefarious plan?

Above all, in the New York Times editorial was the lowdown, dishonest use of the words "blatant Islamophobic provocations."

And I say anyone who uses the terms "Islamophobia" and "Islamophobic" is a shallow fool and a coward.

\* \* (Musical Interlude) \* \*