

B"H

DeProgram Program

*From the Mind of Sha'i ben-Tekoa**Transcript*<http://www.deprogramprogram.com>*Date: April 28, 2015 / י אייר תשע"ה**Parasha: Emor / אמור**Title: The Two-State Threat***Copyright: Sha'i ben-Tekoa 2015****www.deprogramprogram.com**

Shalom laYehudim, Shalom laBnai Noach, Shalom laGoyim. It's the evening of the 4th day, *yud beIyar, tav-shin-ayin-beh, Parashas Emor*, the evening of the 3rd day, Tuesday, 28 April 2015, webcasting from the Hellenistic State of Israel.

Well, the top story here today was not surprisingly the Israeli effort to rescue the Israelis stranded in Nepal caught in that humongous earthquake on Shabbos. Two jumbo jets and three smaller planes carrying some 250-rescue personnel and tons of equipment took off yesterday. There have been isolated telephone conversations from Nepal with the media by those there. Trouble is, roads are impassable; it is also not a country with a surplus of helicopters to rent or buy.

The embassy in Katmandu has surely been doing everything it can. The foreign ministry estimates 650 Israelis in the country when the quake hit. More than two hundred had been ushered onto those planes from Israel and are already home. By late afternoon, it was reported that now only 50 have not been accounted for.

There have been reports of rescues of Israelis trapped in various towns and in the wild. Some rescuers got a hold of a 4 x4 and made it to one such isolated group who were desperate one moment and then instantaneously elated when they saw this vehicle going into view and then guys jumping out speaking Hebrew. Shades of Entebbe.

Unfortunately, among all the reports on those in Nepal who finally could contact their families back in Israel and of the tears shed by the families during these joyous phone calls, there was also an unhealthy focus in the reportage on rescuing babies in the arms of Israeli sodomites who go to Nepal to find surrogate mothers. These men

were desperate because they had no milk for the infants, and of course, as Moshe Feiglin pointed out today, no breasts to nurse the babies. It turns out this has become a fashion among some sodomites to go to Nepal where the one plays the role of the husband stimulates himself and hands the seed over to a woman who impregnates herself one way or another. And then after nine months, the couple comes back to fetch the newborn.

Now I am as tolerant as the next guy. Two adults want to act out a fantasy of being husband and wife; who is stop them? But don't ask me to bless the behavior, and when it comes to this fashion of creating a baby this way and raising the baby in their fantasy world, I draw the line. I think it should be illegal.

The dejudaised, anonymous editorialist in the Jerusalem Post today on the contrary pontificated, "The right of parenthood should be self-evident, regardless of one's sexual orientation, inclinations or lifestyle."

First of all, the concept of "sexual orientation" is a complete lie. There is no such thing because it implies there is more than one when there is not. The anorexic woman does not have a different "nutritional orientation," and likewise sex, the activity, is plainly about creating another human being, and there is only one way to do it. It is the act of procreation; it completes with the involuntary ejaculation of seed from the male of the species into the female of the species, seed programmed to seek out an egg to mate with and create more human life.

It is also an extraordinarily pleasurable activity but that is not its central purpose those fleeting moments of pleasure, versus the creation of another human being who gets born and needs two loving parents, one male and one female, and preferably the female who gave birth to the newborn.

I think it is functionally criminal that men are being allowed all over the moribund West to do this. I think it is a form of child abuse. An infant needs to nurse at his mommy's breast. The benefits of that are well known, and no rubber nipple on a bottle can substitute for the real thing.

I think there is a madness loose in the world. A couple of weeks ago, the U.S. Marine Corps reported that all the female candidates in their Infantry Officer Course flunked out, though I think the importance of the story here of this experiment is less the failure of the women to equal the men in physical feats than the very idea of running the experiment at all. What did they expect? What? Is it news among the descendants of Adam and Eve in our generation that men are physically stronger than women? That they had to run this experiment at all speaks volumes about Western culture in its decadent phase.

There is also something deeply meaningful and symbolic in the news of former USA Olympic Decathlon Champion Bruce Jenner exposing himself as really a "woman" underneath inside and in recent months or years he has been, as they say, "transitioning" and becoming who he really is inside, a woman.

And I say this is madness that people are thinking and speaking this way. A woman is a womb-man and no man in history has ever been transformed, has ever “transitioned,” into being a woman. No male has ever been fitted with a working womb, fallopian tubes, ovaries, the whole nine yards.

In fact, I have never heard even one of these “transgender” males say, “I am really a women underneath and what I want to do is have a baby. I want to nurse the baby; I want to feed the baby, bathe the baby, tickle the baby.”

In a nutshell, the so-called transgender male hasn’t got a clue as to what it means to be a woman. What he wants is something else: to be a hermaphrodite, to be both sexes at the same time and have sex with himself 24-7.

So it was disheartening in the coverage of the disaster in Nepal here this emphasis, to my mind, on criminal behavior, in the guise of mercy and compassion for sodomites responsible for this child abuse committed by Israelis, by Jews.

I also was disheartened to read of a meeting in the States last week between some Orthodox rabbis and a pro-sodomite camp in search of a way to be more inclusive of this community in Orthodox Judaism.

No one deserves to be abused and persecuted for his addiction, which is what I think all sexual perversion is, like heroin, like cigarettes only much more powerful. But to my way of thinking, there is a country mile separating tolerance, on the one hand, from approval and from the denial that homosexuality is a mental disorder. So it was catalogued in the professional literature before the 1970s and since then I have seen no evidence to show why it was right to declassify it as a mental order.

I once got stuck in traffic in New York trying to go crosstown on Gay Pride day. Most Sundays in the year in Manhattan, you can see a parade down Fifth Avenue for one ethnic group or cause or another. And that Sunday it turned out to be the Gay Pride parade and so the police regulated traffic as usual. They let the marchers march for a couple of minutes, then halt the parade to let that cross-town traffic proceed.

So I get stopped at the intersection and witness this parade, and what I saw was pathological behavior: grown men prancing around in women’s underwear; writhing atop floats gyrating to canned music. It was a carnival of sexuality on display having nothing to do with making babies and raising healthy children to be good citizens. On display was a parade of self-stimulating hedonists, from the Greek word for pleasure.

* * (Musical Interlude) * *

On the political front, our usual beat, I imagine you all saw how Wendy Sherman, Under Secretary of State, threatened Israel on Monday and not for the first time with a knife in the back if we don’t kowtow to the wishes of her master, the Imam in the Oval Office.

Sherman, remember, is by academic training a social worker, a profession not known for its tough mindedness, and so it is no wonder that when she presided in 1994 over the

negotiations with North Korea over its nuclear ambitions under President Clinton, that turned out to a fiasco of incompetence.

But never mind. She has in her heart what Robespierre during the French Revolution called the proper *virtu*, the proper philosophic temperament. In his day, during the Terror, a politician without the proper *virtu* was sent to the guillotine by him. Sherman of course does not have that power, but she remains in charge of the nuclear negotiations with Iran over its nuclear ambitions. Heaven help us.

Anyway, she addressed a gathering of so-called Reform Jews who I know from personal experience haven't got a clue as to what it really means to be a Jew and thus whose views of Israel are commonly all mixed up; mixed up with what's fashionable inside the Beltway, where political philosophy is dominated by the old line White Anglo Saxon Protestant aristocracy. For the entire stable of State Department Court Jews, Aaron David Miller, Richard Haas, Danny Kurtzer, Martin Indyk, their role model for a statesman is James Addison Baker, III, famous for his "F... the Jews" crack; famous for accepting LTF's insulting idea of addressing the Senate Foreign Affairs Committee and sneering that when Prime Minister Yitzhak Shamir of Israel wanted peace, "Here's the White House phone number," and then read it out slowly one number at a time.

So there was Wendy Sherman meeting fellow Democrats of Jewish extraction to tell them, and of course the world, that if the new coalition that Prime Minister Netanyahu puts together does not pursue the Two-State Solution, as the prime minister indicated he would not on election eve last month, well, then the tradition of the United States shielding Israel from world antiJewry in the Security Council at the UN is no longer to be depended on.

In order words, if the Security Council wants to cripple Israel with the kinds sanctions slapped on Apartheid South Africa that brought down that regime, the Obama regime won't stop them.

Israel has to, in other words, ignore its own analysis of its fight for survival and instead pledge allegiance to the Two-State Solution. And what is the Two-State Solution? On the very same day 13 September 1993 when the Oslo Abomination documents were signed with such fanfare on the White House Lawn, Hanan Ashrawi of the PLO murder syndicate said on television that that no peace was possible so long as even one settler remains. And that has been PLO policy ever since.

So the Two-State Solution in Arab eyes and those of world antiJewry like the EU bureaucrats in Brussels means the complete evacuation of all Jewish communities in Judea and Samaria and, according to the Arabs, the Jewish Quarter in Jerusalem no less. We are talking about some half-million Jews whom the Enlightened expect to see driven from their homes and forced to live somewhere else.

It means turning over all their homes and theirs schools and synagogues, hundreds of them, that surely will be turned into either mosques or stables.

It means handing over all the nice roads that Israel built and paid for; all the electrical infrastructure, the telephone lines, the cell phone towers, medical clinics.

The Two-State Solution according to Wendy Sherman would mean the expulsion of more Jews from their homes than were driven from Spain in the summer of 1492. Abandoning the hills of Judea and Samaria, the Jews would also be giving up the high ground overlooking tiny Israel's coastal plain and its one international airport.

This is what Bibi Netanyahu should be supporting, according to Wendy Sherman and her superiors John Kerry and Barack Obama.

You maybe saw as well news of the annual Washington, DC journalists' dinner last week where Sultan Barack Hussein made a joke of Prime Minister Netanyahu wanting to attend his funeral.

And I say this is projection. Obama is implying Bibi wants to see him dead, when it is Obama who wants to see Bibi dead and Bibi's country in ruins.

Muslims like him cannot abide the existence of Israel. Black Jew-hatred burns very bright in some quarters of that community. Without Jew-hatred, no one would ever have heard of Louis Farrakhan. What did he ever do or say of importance besides his public outbursts of classical Jew-hatred?

Like Rev. Jeremy Wright who preaches not "God Bless America" but "God damn America," and for twenty years Barack Obama sat and listened to that man's sermons and even honored him with the expression *The Audacity of Hope* for the title of his illegal book. And I say "illegal" because Obama was given an advance by a publisher to write about the state of black America but instead wrote his autobiography which included numerous episodes of dubious veracity.

That is who Barack Obama is, and one can only hope that there are people in Washington who understand his virtual obsession with Israel, who keep in mind his serial insults of Prime Minister Netanyahu and to be on guard against his malevolence toward the Jewish state, which is par for the course for a Muslim.

Yesterday, Wendy Sherman warned her audience of post-Jews that if the new government steps back from a commitment to the two-state solution which is "something a vast majority of U.S. Jews support," that will make America's job of standing up for Israel internationally tougher."

And in this she was giving these people their marching orders. They were told that "the vast majority of American Jews support the two-state solution" that expresses their loyalty to their president as American citizens who do not want to be accused of dual loyalty. Back Bibi against Obama was her subliminal message and you are disloyal to your fellow Americans."

Above all, what is disgusting here big time is that Obama, Kerry and Sherman all demand this complete insult to the people of Israel who voted for Bibi. Israel is a democracy and by their ballots the people made clear Bibi was their preferred leader.

But here is Obama, Kerry, Sherman & Co., thinking they know better what is best for the State for Israel than the voters in Israel. Obama's years, you see, as a community organizer in Chicago and state senator in Springfield whenever he showed up gave him a

far greater understanding of Israel and our neighbors. He knows the region and our conflict better than Netanyahu and all of his supporters in Israel as well.

It is the disrespect for the Jewish people in Israel that is monumental here and yet another abomination in Obama's time in office.

* * (Musical Interlude) * *

Continuing with this latest threat from Obama via Wendy Sherman: Steve Rosen formerly of AIPAC wrote on the Gatestone site of Robert Malley, the hardest left of all the State Department Jewboys, probably the most deJudaized of them all.

He is Obama's director of Middle East Policy on the National Security Council and he was reported to have told last week at least one European nation, more or less, what Sherman said. The administration is prepared to abandon the decades-long policy of protecting Israel at the U.N. in favor of a French proposal being drafted that holds high the banner of the Two-State Solution.

And in this regard Rosen cited as well French Foreign Minister Laurent Fabius who says the purpose of this resolution is "pressure from the international community to dictate clear parameters for a negotiated solution which will conclude in 24 months."

Talk about diplomatic double talk. He wants to "dictate a negotiated solution". But if it is dictated by the international community, it will not be negotiated by the two parties to the conflict, will it?

And in Rosen's piece I could not help but note the coincidence that both Malley and Fabius are radically deJudaized Jews and how so many of the players in our lives here in Israel are pro-Jew Jews or anti-Jew Jews. It is alike Jews arguing among themselves and the goyim taking sides.

Malley is a case. His father was an Egyptian Jew whose role models seem to have been French intellectuals of the hard left and in fact for some years he edited in Paris a magazine on Africa, its economics and politics, from a typically Euro-left position.

His mother as well is a piece of work, a New York Jewess in the employ of the Algerian FLN delegation at the UN, the role model for the PLO, when Robert was born in 1963. In the 1950s, as my book reports, the war in Algeria against France was the fashionable war among the international left -- what Viet Nam would become in the 1960s and 70s for America -- and apparently Barbara Silverstein of New York was a leftist enamored of these conscienceless, Marxist-Leninist brutes when she went to work for them the year following Algerian independence and admittance to the UN as a member state. It is virtually certain, then, that Robert, son of these two, was exposed to no Jewishness whatsoever.

And as for Laurent Fabius: he was born in Paris to two Jews who had converted to Catholicism and raised him in the Church. And one can only imagine, with that history, what his childhood was like. For such boys, their Jewish background is a humiliation.

How interesting that Israel has to do battle with antiJew Jews such as these. In a way, the international argument, as I say, is the goyim choosing sides: which Jews to support. The pro-Jew Jews or the anti-Jew Jews like Malley who, like Sherman, threatens to abandon Israel to the malevolence of the Security Council, a potentially lethal malevolence; doing to Israel what was done to Apartheid South Africa: cripple it economically, G-d forbid.

* * (Musical Interlude) * *

Finally, on the violence scoreboard: yesterday a firebomb hit a Jew-driven pickup truck in the tribal land of Benjamin north of Jerusalem which was totally engulfed in flames, though the Jew behind the wheel was able to escape unscathed.

There was also the firebombing of a bus on Route 443, the alternate Jerusalem-Tel Aviv highway. Fortunately, the bus was empty save for the driver who also got out in time.

I guess it was more of the daily, weekly eruptions of homicidal violence by these Arabs whose soul mates in Baltimore, Maryland the last two days have been on TV screens around the globe via their throwing of firebombs and rocks at police and looting, arson and deliberate attempts to murder policemen, sending some to the hospital.

Such violent types, I think, should never ever be listened to either in Baltimore or Jerusalem. Their complaints are simply excuses to justify their primal and primeval evil urges. In Baltimore, we are told, the rioters are protesting the killing of one of their own in police custody, and I say every one of them who looted a store did not give a damn for that dead boy or in order to protest police brutality. Not one of them.

These are just the lowest of the low. Everyone who attacked the cops was not motivated by their allegedly racist behavior but just because these are anti-social types who hate all authority and must be dealt with mercilessly.

I could not help but notice the similarity between the terrible rioting in Baltimore and what our police and soldiers are subject to by rock throwing and Molotov cocktail throwing brutes.

How interesting as well that in the reign of King Barack who was promised to be the first post-racial president, relations between the races have not been this bad since the 1960s.

Obama is a destroyer, a force for evil.