

*B"H*

# DeProgram Program

*From the Mind of Sha'i ben-Tekoa**Transcript*<http://www.deprogramprogram.com>*Date: April 19, 2015 /**Parasha: Acharei Mos-Kedoshim / אַחֲרֵי מוֹת-קְדוּשִׁים**Title: Identifying With The Enemy***Copyright: Sha'i ben-Tekoa 2015****www.deprogramprogram.com**

Shalom laYehudim, Shalom laBnai Noach, Shalom laGoyim. It's the evening of the 2nd day, *erev rosh khodesh bes beIyar, tav-shin-ayin-beh, Parashas Acharei Mos-Kedoshim*, the evening of the 1st day, Sunday, 19 April 2015, webcasting from the guilty State of Israel, as seen through European eyes.

That was the message last Thursday, more or less, from 16 of the 28 European Union member-states to EU Ministress of Foreign Affairs, Federica Mogherini, 42, former member of the Italian Communist Youth Federation. In their letter to her, they requested that she proceed with the project of requiring Jewish produce and products made in the "Occupied Palestinian Territories," according to the EU, sent to market in the Union, to be so labeled and thus, by implication, tainted. The letter said people have the right to know where a product is made.

In effect, these European peoples want to boycott Jewish produce and products created in Judea and Samaria, areas that they insist upon calling the "Occupied Palestinian Territories," which says it all. For these European peoples, Israel is a criminal state for squatting on and dominating land that does not belong to Israel but to the Ancient Ones, the Ancient Palestinian people. These are their territories, and Jews have no right to live in them, let alone work in them.

In fact, what is sent by Jewish industry in Judea and Samaria to Europe already is marked as such but only to customs officials who insure these products do not enjoy customs exemptions like other Israeli products.

What is clear is that for Europe, there is no property dispute here. There is nothing to talk about between Israel and the Baletinians, about where to draw a boundary between

the two peoples. For these Europeans, there is no dispute; all the land in Judea and Samaria that Israel has ruled since 1967 does not belong to Israel, and Israel must return this real estate to its rightful owners who for thousands of years have been the Ancient Palestinians.

Official Israel here of course blew a casket last Thursday and fired back, and did so across the political spectrum. Now Europe is saying that it is not enough that products from Jewish Judea and Samaria be denied leniencies; now the products must be clearly labeled for the retail consumer so that he or she can see that the product was not “made in Israel” but made in the “Occupied Palestinian Territories” (which the Jews unjustly continued to occupy).

And while yours truly shares the exasperation with yet another generation of European anti-Jews like Signorina Mogherini -- this former Communist Youth Party enthusiast, yet another enlightened, radical leftist who sees the Balestinians as the Third World victims of capitalist Jews in the service of world imperialism, or thoughts to that effect -- I part company from the across-the-board anger here in Israel at the EU, for I think a real culprit in this affair is Israel itself.

There is something logical and understandable in the EU antipathy to Israel, for Israel has never denied that this territory really is “Balestinian.” After all, this was on display in 2009 at Bar-Ilan as the prime minister spoke and went to, so to speak, the baptismal font in the Church of the Enlightened and confessed his belief that the Balestinians deserve and have a right to a state in Judea and Samaria. All that was needed to be worked out were the details.

Thus Israel is complicit in the European view of things. Israel in 1993 did this first on the White House lawn, and Bibi did it again 16 years later. Israel accepts that Judea and Samaria rightfully belong to the Ancient Ones. And for that Israel has been tongue-lashed in the West ever since.

By contrast, the proper response, I think, to the demand for a Balestinian state has always been the same: from the get-go, Israel should have backed up and supported Golda Meir, of blessed memory. She said there never was such a people, and I would add, Judea and Samaria are rightfully the property of the State of Israel in perpetuity.

The correct answer to the demand for a “Palestinian” state in Judea and Samaria is “There are no ‘Palestinians’ and the Arabs have no right to what is rightfully our land; rightfully according to the League of Nations Mandate which was incorporated into the United Nations Charter embedded in the United Nations Charter.

And because Israel does not do this, and has never responded this way, Israel becomes the target of Euro anti-Jews like Signorina Mogherini and other Europeans who exactly like their forebears point the finger of guilt at the Jews. In generation after generation we have been considered guilty of one crime after another. For generations her forebears blamed us Jews for murdering the man from Nazareth, and today, thousands of years later, we stole Palestine from the Palestinians.

So I find Israeli indignation at the latest European anti-Jew attack a bit annoying; Israel leaves itself open to such nonsense; the fantasy of there being such an historic people

with national rights identical to Jewish rights in this land when all the historic evidence is on Israel's side.

For 40 years after the First World War, Haj Amin murdered hundreds under the banner of "Southern Syria." He was in opposition to the creation of a jurisdiction called Palestine by the League of Nations and the Jewish Agency that the League basically called into being to administer the new jurisdiction. Under the Muslims, there was no country called *Falastin*, as they call it these days.

People want to know why Israel's PR is so bad and this why. Once you swallow the poison pill called the Palestinian Narrative, Israel can have no PR. The Palestinian Narrative is a fairy tale, but what bloody consequences it has led to. Once you accept the fantasy of a "Palestinian" nation with national territorial rights here, you condemn Israel to exiting this territory as expeditiously as possible. And if Israel doesn't, it is branded an occupier of land that does not belong to Israel. Israel has never claimed the right to this land and never refuted the counter-claim of the Ishmaelites that the Balestinians have as much right to this land as the Jews do.

The major headline in JPost hard copy on Friday morning, for example, was "Palestinian man runs over Israeli"; we talked about that murder last time. What's important here are the first two words: "Palestinian man," and I want to know why. I mean, what is Palestinian about this fellow who did this terrible thing? In truth, there is nothing. Not his language; not his religion. He has no "Palestinian" history in his head. There is no book in any language in any library recounting the history of this allegedly ancient nation; and there never will be such a book. Why call this Arab murderer, this Muslim murderer, a "Palestinian"? This is bad journalism if you ask me.

Israelis have been their own worst enemies in never shooting down the monumental historical lie of this allegedly ancient nation that has a right to a state here.

The League of Nations said nothing of such a people. The Mandate was to create a Palestine that did not exist under the Muslims and explicitly create it as a Jewish homeland.

The sky will not fall if Israelis start broadcasting the truth that the two-state solution, that antisemitic fantasy of driving hundreds of thousands of Jews from their homes, is history. The dragon of the lie of "Palestinian Nationalism" must be slain; to mix the metaphor, popped like a balloon, for it is the root cause of all the hostility in our time.

Palestinian Nationalism has taken the place of primeval Christianity with its hatred of Jews for the crime of decide. Today the Jews are guilty of having stolen an entire country from an entire people.

And they won't give it back; not even the 22% of "Balestine" that is mistakenly known as the "West Bank."

Hence the European Union, having never heard Israel's counter-claim and refutation of the bogus claim of the enemy, calls this land the OPT, as it is known at the United Nations, that belongs to the "Palestinians" and not to the Jews.

This is the narrative in the mind of that anti-Jewess Mogherini, whose hostility was blatant and could be seen in the videos and still photos of her joint media session with Prime

Minister Netanyahu some weeks back. The day before (or after), she also was in Ramallah meeting the quack doctor of history and terrorist murderer Mahmoud Abbas, and what a difference in demeanor. With Bibi, she was sour and cold; with the Jew-killer, she was all smiles.

If Israel wants to change minds in Europe, Israelis have to change their own minds first about just who these phony Balestinians really are.

\* \* (Musical Interlude) \* \*

Yes, Israelis have to re-think their views of just who these so-called Balestinians really are; there is absolutely nothing Palestinian about them. What they are is Arabs and Muslims, mostly. In this, they are the same people who brought down the World Trade Center. There is no moral difference between those 19 suicide freaks in those airplanes and the suicide freaks we have had, hundreds of them, who massacred Jews on buses, in bus stops, restaurants, in the middle of city crowds.

This came to mind in the report on Friday in JPost with the headline, “Ehud Barak challenges PM to take action against Iran.”

As it turned out, this was JPost reporting on the current edition of TIME Magazine with its list of the 100 most influential people on the planet. Ehud Barak painted a picture of his old comrade-in-arms, the Prime Minister, as not acting tough enough with Iran. He said, “Daring actions are needed, not just words,” and he specifically spoke of an attack on Iran and to “boldly engage the region’s moderates against terrorism, radicalism and Iranian hegemony.”

He also said this: “Netanyahu is basically right about Iran and our risky neighborhood. But he can fail to seize opportunities, and on the Palestinian question he grossly ignores the slippery slope awaiting Israel in the form of a one-state solution.”

And in reading this, I was reminded, or rather, transported back 50 years in my mind to my first time in Israel working on a kibbutz. Israel is a very different place these days then it was then, when the kibbutzim were in absolute control of the country, its culture, its economy, its politics, the army officer corps, the pilots. Only 3-5% of the people lived on the kibbutzim and the moshavim, the collective villages, but they ran the show.

They provided the top officers in the army, leading politicians and labor leaders. And it was on such a kibbutz I spent that summer working in the fields and getting to know Israelis.

Posted on this border kibbutz as well was a unit of IDF soldiers from a Labor movement youth group. Before the army, they had been childhood-neighborhood friends whose dream was to build another border kibbutz of their own. They were there for two reasons: to provide added manpower for protection against fedayeen raids across the border from Gaza and also to learn how to farm.

I also got to know the permanent kibbutzniks there, and in the words of Ehud Barak on Friday, I heard their voices again.

Good people. Kibbutz life has its attractions. Only when it came to politics, I found their Social Democratic ideals - like those of my non-Zionist but still social democratic grandparents -- rather flabby.

Also of note, which surprised me, was their Labor Zionist analysis of antisemitism that I had never heard and really was shocked by. Having grown up surrounded by Gentiles, and experienced their antisemitism, I knew it had no basis in reality; that it was totally irrational. I was amazed, though, at how these Israelis had swallowed the antisemitism of the world and believed the Jews themselves were at fault for it. Their idea of being the Chosen People and not breaking bread with others because of the kosher laws, well, that brought it on, they said, and because they were capitalists and moneylenders.

But these Israelis were determined to produce a New Jew who would be unlike Jews in the past, and as for our Arab neighbors, why, they are just like us and we can come to an accommodation with them. We're reasonable people; they're reasonable people. Israelis like these haven't got a clue as to the depth of madness that antiJew hatred can incorporate.

World War II was in large part the result of earth-shaking, delusional thoughts in the mind of Adolf Hitler and millions of others in Europe. The power of antisemitism and its craziness is something Israelis never personally experience.

Ehud Barak certainly never experienced it growing up on his kibbutz which was very much like the one I came to know. Born and raised and educated every day of his first 18 years with Jews and only Jews, he never had the experience of living among non-Jews and hence still does not really know them.

Barak, remember, was also the fool about the time he became prime minister who said that if he were Palestinian, he would join one of the PLO groups too.

This is so typical of this way of thinking. These Leftists refuse to see just how uncivilized the Arabs are when they produce nothing but murderers, terrorists and butchers whom they celebrate as "war heroes." What Barak did at the time was attempt to exercise his Leftist "empathy for the Other" and justify their savage slaughter of Jews here.

And here he was last week warning Bibi about the Palestinian Question that he "grossly ignores. It is a slippery slope to a one-state solution," he said.

That Ehud Barak does not see through the lie of the Palestinian Question as he calls it is typical of his post-Jewish community of Enlightened Israelis. He warns about the one-state solution when his vision of a two-state solution is really not of this world. No Israeli government in its right mind is going to retreat from the high ground, the hills of Judea and Samaria and turn them over to the Arabians. Ain't gonna happen.

And as for fearing the one-state solution: that is the solution for Israel provided Israel drops its politically correct neurosis and deals with the Arabs in the one-state solution as they should. Israel has the right to retain every square meter it captured in 1967 and it is under no moral obligation to give citizenship to the Arabs on this land either.

Indeed, the demand that we do is functionally inhuman. The Arabs in Judea and Samaria in every year of the Zionist renaissance have produced murderers of our people without end. In 1967, we drove out the official Jordanian army and we are under no rational

or moral imperative to give the Arabs who remained behind citizenship and the right to vote in our Knesset. They do not deserve citizenship in our Jewish state. There are 21 Arab states, officially Arab states, and this is the only officially Jewish one. And if they don't like living as a foreign, non-Jewish element on our terms, they are free to leave.

We must treat them as individuals entitled to human decency. But that is not the same as giving them equal rights to ours in our community, these people with a wretched record of butchering us all the time.

\* \* (Musical Interlude) \* \*

And that was from the Song of Songs, 5:1.

Speaking before of Ehud Barak and his leftist habit of misplaced empathy for the enemy and an imaginary moral equivalence between the two sides, Israel Hayom newspaper today said that on Friday that Obama said (my translation from the Hebrew) that the U.S. may be flexible on relaxing the sanctions against Iran. He said, "Part of John Kerry's role is to formulate formulas that will enable the other side to present the agreement as more acceptable in its political system."

Heaven help us when the Secretary of State thinks like that about those criminal, terrorist Muslim priests. He seems not to know that in their system, a dictatorship, no selling of the agreement to others is necessary. All that matter is the potentate at the top, Khomeini.

And then in nice contrast on the same page, Israel Hayom reported on an op-ed piece in the Washington Post yesterday by Natan Sharansky in which he asked, "When did America forget to be America?" He claimed that Obama has systematically ignored Israel's pleas in the matter of the Iranian nuclear project. He said, "Obama believes that only after an agreement is signed will it be possible to expect Iran to change [and keep its end of the bargain.] "As a prisoner of Zion, I cannot equate this approach to that of the U.S. and its dealing with the Soviet Union. The differences cry out to the heavens." He said of Obama "because he does not believe in the moral rightness of the West, he has surrendered the advantage the U.S. had in its dealings with the Soviet Union."

Indeed, under Ronald Reagan, the U.S. attitude toward the dictatorship in Moscow was clear, versus Obama playing PR agent for the Iranians. Sharansky is so right.

Lord have mercy.

Israel Hayom also reported that Mort Zuckerman, the real estate tycoon who bought U.S. News and World Report, called Obama's "turning his back on Israel unforgivable."

So gradually after all these years, some people are emerging to speak the truth about this Barack Hussein Obama fellow. Hence the vote last week by the Senate Foreign Relations Committee with all the Democrats on board.

Though this remains a troublesome turn of events. The great Andrew McCarthy on the National Review website describes the Committee vote as potentially no real block to Obama. I myself, without his legal training and knowledge, had a feeling that this was so,

for Thomas Jefferson thought that in foreign affairs, the president's prerogatives were virtually royal, in the words of historian Henry Adams, versus domestic affairs in which Congress has the upper hand.

So McCarthy explains why this Senate Committee vote may even work to Obama's advantage, but at least one can be thankful for the evidence of some sobriety when it comes to this man. More than this Senate vote needs to be done, but at least serious opposition to this enemy of the West, enemy of the U.S. and enemy of Israel, is now more out in the open.

\* \* (Musical Interlude) \* \*

Lastly, in keeping with the theme of Leftists identifying with the enemy and finding moral equivalence, like an Ehud Barak who still seems to believe in making a deal with the phantom Palestinians, Israel National News reported on Jerusalem Deputy Mayor Zalman Kalmanovich, whom the whole country knows as the first burn victim in the first intifada when he was hit with a firebomb, who is protesting a ceremony set for this week in Tel-Aviv connected to Independence Day on Thursday. It is a leftist event to "acknowledge the pain and aspirations of those living on the other side."

Translation: Moral equivalence between the Israeli soldiers and civilians killed in action and terrorist atrocities, and the terrorists who killed them in the name of Balestine.

You know, "they're just like us and aspire to statehood just like us." Kalmanovich asked for legal steps to block the abomination but this will be the 9<sup>th</sup> year in a row these leftist entertainers and journalists, these narcissists, will hold the event.

Well, I share his disgust if not his demand the event be prevented. Free speech does mean allowing obnoxious fools to have their say too.

*Leila tov miEretz Yisrael.*