

B"H

DeProgram Program

From the Mind of Sha'i ben-Tekoa

Transcript

<http://www.deprogramprogram.com>

Date: October 2, 2014 / תשרי תשע"ה ז

Parasha: Yom Kippur / יום כיפור

Title: *Endless Accusations*

Copyright: Sha'i ben-Tekoa 2014

www.deprogramprogram.com

Shalom laYehudim, Shalom laBnai Noach, Shalom laGoyim. It's the evening of the 6th day, *z'ion tes beTishri*, *Parashas Yom Kippur, tav-shin-ayin-heb*, the evening of the 5th day, Thursday, 2 October, 2014, webcasting from the never-ending story of the Jews whose existence never ceases to bother non-Jews in tremendous numbers.

I mean, I first became aware of Israel in 1956 as a school-boy during the Sinai Campaign triggered by Arab murderers known at the time as *fedayeen* who were constantly penetrating Israel from Gaza, and Gaza certainly has been, as a story, at the top of the news in the many years since then.

And in my research into the decades before I was born, the Jews even then were constantly in the news either as Zionists here constantly the targets of murderers in the 1920s and 30s and 40s, and of course the Jews in Europe too who were constantly the news under attack by the National Socialists in Germany and similar Jew-hating political parties elsewhere on the Continent.

And of course before then there were the pogroms in Russia of the first decade of the 20th century and the pogroms in the 1880s in that very same country.

And always in every decade in history we find evidence of this chronic aggression, this criticism of Jews by Gentile peoples who accuse us of one thing or another. In the mid-19th century during the displacement of many people thanks to the Industrial Revolution, Jew-bankers were accused by socialists of being behind the suffering of the masses.

And even earlier in Shakespeare's Elizabethan England in the late 16th century, with capitalism and the Age of Exploration and Discovery starting to bloom following on the heels of the Renaissance, it was such as one of those merchants in Venice, those Jew money-lenders who were such blood-suckers. Shylock demanded a pound of flesh in payment for his usury.

And so today, back to the present in the 21st century, yesterday even in the capital of the greatest empire ever, the U.S. government responded to Jews moving into legally purchased buildings in Jerusalem on land that used to be under illegal Jordanian rule – but no one ever complained about -- and the White House Press Secretary yesterday, Josh Ernest, said, "The U.S. condemns the recent occupation

of residential buildings in the neighborhood of Silwan. The new residents have an agenda that only serves to escalate tensions.”

Yes, it was common in Western communities in recent times for a neighborhood to recoil at the idea that a Jew had bought property in it. The expression was, “There goes neighborhood. The Jews are moving in.” Later it was the Negroes who were moving in.

Only today the language is not “Jews moving in” but an “occupation,” by definition the occupation of property that at best the occupiers have only temporary ownership over because it really belongs to the victims of the occupiers presence, in this case the Holy Ancient Ones daily crucified by the Jews.

The OU/Orthodox Union and others recoiled at this tendentious choice of words out of the Obama administration.

Thankfully here all of our leaders responded properly, starting with the Prime Minister Netanyahu and Mayor Nir Barkat who spoke the truth, saying, more or less, no one has the right to tell us how to govern Jerusalem because it is ours as of right, legal right, and the moral sense of right man has been endowed with by his Creator.

The Obama-Kerry regime in Washington, DC also objected to the prime minister’s speech at the UN on Monday in which he said HAMAS is ISIS and vice versa. Jen Psaki, State Department spokeswoman said, “We don’t agree with that characterization,” which statement is not wholly untrue. The US has never felt threatened by HAMAS as ISIS has threatened.

But the characterization is wholly valid in the matter of ideology. HAMAS is the local Muslim Brotherhood franchise, and the messianic, international one-world government of a caliphate is the dream of ISIS as well.

The point being from Israel’s perspective that regardless of other differences, it is true in both cases, HAMAS and ISIS, that such antediluvian, conscienceless massacre freaks are simply not people with whom negotiations can ever bear fruit. One and all, they are barbaric men of unbridled violence. They believe they have the right to kill anyone, including those on their own side if they think it will help the cause in the long run. Thus can HAMAS fire its rockets from next to schools and not care about the danger to the children inside; indeed, even to hope that they are massacred by an Israeli rocket. With such devils, negotiating is even a sin, a form of cowardice, of refusing to do the right thing, which is to liquidate such enemies of civilization, not talk to them.

This has been a cardinal sin of the Oslo Abomination years, predicated on the belief held by such men such as Beilin, Peres and Rabin that it was possible to transform, more or less almost alchemically, Arafat and his loyalists into people with whom it was possible to enter into a successful partnership to share Balestine.

And methinks the foolishness of that belief was a witch’s brew of malice, naiveté, arrogance and cowardice. The naiveté was a byproduct of the terribly provincial lives those men lived growing up here with only Jews. No sophisticated goyim around, only the oh-so backward Arabs they had nothing to do with. They all grew up in communities made up of post-religious, socialist Zionists with their half-baked ideas about society and how to organize it; communities in which none of them, as individuals, had to operate individually, say, in business; learn how to do business with other men; learn whom to trust, who not to trust. They all grew up in the same hothouse of socialist Zionism, all reading the same one newspaper. The idea that they could transform Arafat and friends into peace-loving partners was not of this world. What were they thinking, in 1993, one would love to know?

And one would also love to ask a Jen Psaki to compare the behavior of ISIS with its head-chopping and crucifying and HAMAS’s hundreds of suicide-bomber strikes which did not chop heads off but blow them off in buses and fast-food restaurants.

Well, that is to reflect upon the classically antiJew response of a Gentile government, like the one today in Washington. But here in Israel, it has been business as usual among politicians, mostly from the right, bashing the prime minister for his continuing public support for the TSS, the so-called Two-State Solution which he did in his meeting with Obama yesterday in the White House; reportedly it was at the top of the agenda. Bibi continues to support the idea of creating a Balestinian state under certain conditions of course that create a “rock-solid” security situation for Israel.

In another age, asking for both a Balestinian state and that type of security would be called “squaring the circle,” hoping for an impossibility. And Bibi knows that.

It has been his tactic since he took office in 2009 to wave the flag of the TSS and Israel’s security interests when he knows this is a call for mixing oil and water.

This tactic certainly has merit as a short-term way of maintaining quiet, but its long-term consequences are not good.

It is easy for Naftali Bennett and Uri Ariel and others on the right to object to Bibi’s tactic as bad for Israel, but they never indicate any appreciation for the constraints the prime minister is under. During the 50 days of rockets this summer he said Israel offered “quiet for quiet,” never making any demand for a true peace with HAMAS. What for?

So there is no shortage of rightist, talk-backers as well who think nothing of calling Bibi a coward for such leadership, with none of them taking into account that he has to deal with Barack Hussein Obama whom I’ll bet Mossad forensic psychiatrists have advised him is borderline institutional and noted how for years he comfortably sat in that church of hatred for whitey, hatred for America, hatred for Jews; a church that awarded an honor on Louis Farrakhan. Bibi has to be very, very careful of how he handles this borderline nut-job.

* * (Musical Interlude) * *

So we left off with Bibi having to deal with a president of the United States unlike every other president since Israel was born. Jimmy Carter for sure in his heart is a philosophical anti-Jew but he showed none of the symptoms that Obama has.

The other day I saw on the Net a video clip of an Obama interview with a French news organization in 2011 saying that in fact the U.S. had one of the largest Muslim populations in the world, which is a statement that is perfectly detached from reality.

And one was intrigued by the sincerity with which he pitched this idea to his interviewer with the same relaxed conviction and confidence – “No drama Obama” – as he told the American people on 26 separate, video-taped occasions, “If you like your doctor you can keep your doctor” when he knew this was not true. This is an indication of a pathological, compulsive liar.

And in fact I also once heard a radio interview with a most persuasive woman who claimed to have been a teenager in Obama’s circles in Hawaii who said Barry Soetero was always telling stories that were untrue.

We are also finding over the last week about how truant he has been about attending security briefings, and how now he is lying about what American intel agencies reported to him about ISIS

He refuses to call attacks by homicidal Muslim maniacs in America anything but “workplace violence.” And daily I become more convinced of the necessity of some men and women in authority in the U.S. government finding the way to declare this man medically unfit to continue at his post.

This is the nasty, lying, antisemitic, Islam-loving president of the United States of America that Prime Minister Netanyahu has to deal with, which fact makes yours truly hesitant to criticize his choice of tactics intended to protect the people of Israel. I consider with respect the possibility that playing along with the Two-State Solution charade could be the best of all possible techniques at the current time. I mean, what

would happen if Israel suddenly told the world the truth that there is nothing Balestinian about these Balestinians and Israel will never hand over the high ground we overran in 1967, which I am sure is in Bibi's head?

This business of handing back strategic territory to the aggressor sworn to annihilate you was tried here between 1994 and 2002 during the Oslo delirium when indeed the Arabs in Area A were allowed to run their own lives, police themselves; and we know that led to the satanic, Al Aqsa Intifada and ultimately the Park Hotel massacre which led to the return of the IDF to Area A.

Also tried in the Age of Oslo was the retreat from Gaza, which some thought would purchase peace from the Arabians there, but it never did.

So anyone still expecting Israel to retreat is expecting us Jews to be morons incapable of learning from experience. We are actually expected, as per the TSS, to retreat again from the hills of Judea and Samaria, which is to expect from us inhuman behavior.

Bibi may also consider the leadership of Mahmoud Abbas the best for Israel for his continuing insistence that violence is not an option for his Balestinians. For that alone Bibi may want to keep him.

Already after his speech at the UN last Friday with its serial lies about Jews, Mahmoud Abbas was saying that if his upcoming draft resolution to be submitted to the UN Security Council fails, he will launch a political war against Israel, a war demanding an international boycott of Israel and take it to the International Criminal Court and smear it with war crimes.

Well, I am not particularly worried about that. Ultimately, that could mean an Israeli lawyer arguing before a panel of judges on that court as the great rabbi the Ramban argued for the Jewish religion before King Jaime in Barcelona almost 800 years ago and bested an apostate Jew, a man like a Martin Indyk or Daniel Kurtzer. If Israel cannot come up with a good debater to face down these ridiculous charges, it is in worse shape than I thought.

Abbas this week also threatened to "re-examine the PA's security cooperation" with Israel which is truly an empty threat. It is this cooperation which keeps this – the technical term in Yiddish is *putz* -- alive. With Israel's backing, HAMAS in Judea and Samaria would eat him and his cronies alive.

Yesterday the AP was leaked a draft of this resolution that Abbas is going to present to the UN calling for an Israeli evacuation of Judea and Samaria by 2016 and the rise, when Israel does that, of the old-new State of Balestine. Speaking to reporters in Ramallah yesterday, he said there is no need for an armed intifada. His political war, he said, was the way to go.

And so perhaps for this alone Prime Minister Netanyahu sees no profit in changing the status quo. Boldly dismissing the TSS as Naftali Bennett wants could lead to instability and who knows where that could lead to? Like the doctor who takes the Hippocratic Oath, a prime minister's first responsibility is to do no harm and refrain from uncertain risk-taking.

So on the one hand, yours truly would love to see an Israeli leader speak the truth that the Oslo process was a bloody failure; a leader who stops resorting to Israel's "security concerns" as an issue and starts demanding Israel's rights.

But on the other, the temptation is not to want to rock the boat. And that I think is Bibi's direction.

* * (Musical Interlude) * *

So, of more and immediate concern in Israel today than the so-called peace process and where it goes from here, has been the chronic, daily violence in Jerusalem for months now by rock-throwing Arab boys, wild asses of Ishmael-clones about the same age that he was when driven from his father's tents thousands of years ago; you know, 16 and 17 year-olds who today pelted a car whose passengers were teenage girls terrified by the smashing of their windows and the stones that hit some of them.

It has become a daily headache that the government has yet to study and find a solution for. Like a third of light rail cars have been damaged and taken out of service. Yesterday and today the police and border guards had to provide special protection for the Jewish families moving into the newly purchased buildings in the City of David area.

Imagine that. The City of David below the Temple Mount is not firmly in our hands but exposed to violence by Arabs as bloody-minded as any Cossack or pogromist or Crusader thug that we have ever faced.

It is now 47 years since we Jews returned to be sovereign masters of Jerusalem but we have yet to screw down full control and earn the respect of the locals and the world for our sovereign rights.

Israel, I think, has to go on the offensive big time and shamelessly in opposing every hostile remark regarding Jerusalem made especially by our fellow Western democracies. The Arabs, these Muslims, are a lost course; lost in their hallucinatory versions of historical truth.

But the best of Gentile nations remain open to change and should be led to do that by ardently resisting every challenge to our sovereign rule and ownership of Jerusalem. These goyim must be told, the leaders in London, Paris, Washington and Brussels that 100 years ago Jerusalem was home to less than 10% of its current population and the explanation for the phenomenal growth since then, the return to life of the city, is blindingly simple and clear. The responsibility for this resurrection of Jerusalem lies solely with the Jews and only the Jews. We built this modern city, and not on rock and roll but blood, sweat and tears.

Jerusalem, the world must be told again and again is a Jewish name, and if your language uses "Jerusalem," as in English, Spanish, French, Russian, Italian, Romanian, Portuguese, Greek, etc. that language group must admit that Jerusalem is ours and only ours. It is a city of world-class hospitals now and schools, yeshivas and universities; hotels for tourists to visit its historic sites, and its present lovely condition is wholly the handiwork of us Jewish people, while the so-called Balestinians never in history built so much as a public toilet.

The nerve of these predatory Arabs to demand ownership is chutzpa of cosmic dimensions.

* * (Musical Interlude) * *

B"H

DeProgram Program

From the Mind of Sha'i ben-Tekoa

Transcript

<http://www.deprogramprogram.com>

02.10.14.

DeProgramProgram.com

Date:

Parasha:

Title:

DeProgramProgram.com

Copyright: Sha'i ben-Tekoa 2014

www.deprogramprogram.com

Okay. It is always a pleasure at this time of year to know that the last few weeks of *shichos*, of having to rise an hour earlier than usual in order to pray for forgiveness, is finally at an end, climaxed this year on Shabbos with Yom Kippur, this day of release.

Jews tragically unknowledgeable of their people's theory and practice, so-called Reform and other uneducated Jews, think the holiest day of the year is Yom Kippur when it plainly is not.

Just ask yourself: is it called that in the Ten Commandments? No, it is not.

Actually, though, one day is cited in the Ten Commandments for special attention. No. 4 and it is not Yom Kippur. It's the Sabbath. Shabbos is the holiest day of the year. And the seed of those who know that is the seed that survives.

So *leila tov* and have a *tsom kal*/an easy fast but above all remember it is still Shabbos, the one and only true holiest day of the year, so we'll sign off with that: *Shabbat shalom miEretz Yisrael*.