

B"H

DeProgram Program

From the Mind of Sha'i ben-Tekoa

Transcript

<http://www.deprogramprogram.com>

Date: September 4, 2014 / י אלול תשע"ד

Parasha: Ki Saytsay / כי תצא

Title: All Islam Is Guilty

Copyright: Sha'i ben-Tekoa 2014

www.deprogramprogram.com

Shalom laYehudim, Shalom laBnai Noach, Shalom laGoyim. It's the evening of the 6th day, *yud beElul*, *Parashas Ki Saytsay*, *tav-shin-ayin-dales*, the evening of the 5th day, Thursday, 4 September, 2014, webcasting from the center battleground between good and evil, between the people of the Book and the people who came up with the nickname People of the Book.

In the original Arabic, the Jews were *ahl al-kitab*. *Kitab* is book in Arabic, same Hebrew root for anything written, like the marriage contract, the *kesuba* or *ketuba* in Israeli Hebrew.

It was the Arabs who called the Jews the People of the Book likely in amazement at the existence of a people where writing and reading was not the magical skill of a court recorder or scribe. For ages leaders of peoples did not have to know how to read and write, no more than today's CEO has to know how to write a computer program that his company uses or its apps.

By the time the Arabs had their first book, the Qur'an, it was in the late 7th century of the Common Era, which means 2,000 years after the Jews got their first book (first Five Books) at Mt. Sinai.

It also means that this was 1,000 years after the cannon of the Tanakh, the tripartite Hebrew Bible, had been closed. It was also some 440 years after the Mishna commentary was completed about the year 200 by *Yebuda HaNasi*/Judah the Prince; more than a century after the 18-volume Babylonian Talmud was completed, further commentary and discussion written in Jewish Aramaic discussing the Biblical text of the Hebrew Tanakh and the Mishnaic Hebrew commentary, plus a generous sprinkling of words in Greek and

Latin and Arabic and Farsi which students of the Talmud were familiar with. Jews were literate in numerous languages.

For almost 2,000 years before the rise of Islam the Jews were writing and reading and living according to laws in a Book, while the sons of Ishmael were still everywhere in the Arabian Peninsula illiterate nomads living according to oral traditions and the whims of the tribal chieftain all of who looked upon the Jews in astonishment. Here was an entire people -- not just writing specialists -- who could read and write and live by laws written in a book.

And I want to know: Why was it that the sons of Israel became literate when they did, but for the next 2,000 years the seed of Yitzhak's half-brother Ishmael did not?

I don't know why, but it did happen that way.

And why was it that in Europe post-Columbus, society began a long process of moving from absolute monarchy to constitutional monarchy, toward democracy? The 18th century was called by historian R.R. Palmer *The Age of Democratic Revolution*. For sure the vanguard of this evolutionary process was the United States, which was the first of the democratic revolutions in 1776, a rebellion that was two-fold: independence from London and a new kind of government.

In 1789 the French had their revolution, very different from America's, but also ultimately toward democracy. In these decades there were democratic movements in Poland and Holland and Switzerland and elsewhere in Europe, and again I want to do know why none of this democratic movement transpired in Araby?

Thomas Jefferson who was there in Paris in 1789 in the middle of the French Revolution as U.S. ambassador as an observer of the revolution was also actively on a daily basis handling a hostage crisis in Algiers then in its fourth year; when American merchant seamen had not only been captured by the Muslims of that walled port city but enslaved by them, and Jefferson too puzzled over the gap between European civilization and life in the North Africa that gave him a headache every day of his time as ambassador in Paris. Over five years he never succeeded in getting those hostages released. Why, he wondered, had Europe developed one-way and Islam another way?

In Jefferson's day, another major player on the global stage was the Ottoman Empire, where the sultan in Constantinople had a harem of like 1500 women tended by African slaves that had been captured and castrated. Turkey was known in the world for its degenerate and corrupt culture, and Jefferson seems to have regarded Islam in general pretty much the way Winston Churchill would a century later: with utter disdain, contempt and revulsion. Churchill said it was the most retrograde force in the world, which conclusion he came too based upon his own first-hand experience in today's Taliban country of Waziristan in the 1890s fighting as an officer in the British army against the same Muslims the West has confronted in our time. Later he was posted to Sudan and fought the Muslims there as well and wrote a book about each assignment.

Jefferson, an 18th century not a 21st century liberal, one of the time's pioneering thinkers, had no political correctness to fight off when he too was disgusted by Islam. He

was the No. 1 hawk for war with Islam for 17 years before he became president and among his first acts was sending a squadron of warships to the Barbary Coast to violently take action against the Muslims there who had been a menace to the U.S. from its legal independence.

Jefferson was a disillusioned post-Christian but surely he was rational enough to recognize that, his own rejection notwithstanding, surely in history accounting for the radically different societies was the different nature of Christianity vs. Islam. Christianity by embracing the Tanakh embraced Jewish morality while the Muslims stole and twisted and corrupted the Tanakh. and boy does that show.

I too want to know why today, among the dominant religions in the world -- Judaism, Christianity, Islam, Hinduism, Buddhism, Jainism -- why is it that only Islam has produced devotees of head-chopping, like what just happened to another American journalist like James Foley, only this one, like yours truly, was a dual citizen of the U.S. and Israel: poor Steven Joel Sotloff? Just like Daniel Pearl; like those four Arabs in the Sinai beheaded last week, all of them victims of arguably the human animal in its worst, lowest and most evil incarnation.

And it is not only these individual agents of Satan who do the actual beheading who are evil. No less are the leaders of every Muslim country who have not opened their mouths to protest this horrific behavior.

And they are joined in this evil by every Muslim preacher, in particular the heads of Al Azhar seminary in Cairo and the head of the Grand Mosque in Mecca. Their silence screams out of their own evil.

And of course the two-headed leadership of HAMAS, Mashaal and Khaniyeh; they too are silent. And Nasrallah at the head of Hizballah. Islam as a whole, not just the so-called extremists, are guilty of the horrible beheadings. Charles Krauthammer yesterday took note of how Obama, even in his bravado declaration of wanting to destroy ISIS, refuses to call them "Islamic extremists" but just "extremists," which Krauthammer said was really odd since they themselves in ISIS they proclaim in their name they are Islamic.

And now there is the fear that 11 jetliners have been stolen in Libya in the fighting there and could be used next week on the anniversary of 9-11, G-d forbid (like the Benghazi fiasco two years ago) to celebrate and commemorate a victory for the evil that G-d told man in the Garden of Eden to reject. Adam and Eve ate from the Tree of Knowledge, and what was the first bit of knowledge they downloaded? They were told, "Now you know there is good and there is evil and they are not the same. There is no moral equivalence -- while we live in age of non-judgmentalism which has been camouflaged by the acceptance of what in the past had been unacceptable. And I say it is no coincidence that in the very same satanic year of 1969 the Balestinians were born at the UN, man landed on the moon and sodomy came out of the closet demanding to be called good, making the case for moral equivalence between normal mammalian behavior called procreation, which takes place between the male and female of the species, and a

kind of pseudo-joining of two males. “No difference,” say the Enlightened. Both are good.

In 1969 the process began of sprinkling holy water as well on Arab terrorists who had been bleeding Jews at that a point for a half-century of renewing national life in the Holy Land. The Arabs had been murdering Zionist Jews at least since the League of Nations Mandate called into existence a Palestine that had never existed under Muslim rule. Only after the Six-Day War, the vocabulary underwent a serious renovation which transformed these terrorists into freedom-fighters.

* * (Musical Interlude) * *

Yeah, the top story in Israel today was finding out that American journalist Steven Joel Sotloff was also an Israeli citizen who had done masters level work at the Interdisciplinary Center in Netanya. He was a hero who on Yom Kippur in the middle of his terrible captivity fasted.

So the top story was again about the unpleasant reality that there are Muslims like this out there, like his demonic murderer for whom religion is not a civilizing system but justifies men at their worst.

We also found out yesterday that most Gazans think that Israel was “painfully beaten” by Hamas last month, which tells us they are either living in a delirium in total denial of the wreckage all around them, hundreds of thousands homeless now, while Israel is not in a similar state of destruction; or they are a nation of slaves: slaves to the terror that they suffer from their own. I mean, if I were an Arab in Gaza and was asked by a polling organization what I thought of the last month, I would only say, “Way to go Hamas! You really did a great job on al-Yahud.” To say the opposite, to criticize HAMAS for bringing on the vast destruction in Gaza and the death of hundreds, maybe thousands, is to risk being murdered by HAMAS.

Then again yesterday, a separate Balestinian polling operation reported that if elections were held today among the Arabs in the Gaza rectangle and Judea and Samaria, HAMAS would win over Fatah 2 to 1. JPost reported: “Most Balestinians surveyed said they preferred HAMAS’s strategy of armed struggle against Israel rather than peace negotiations, which are favored by Fatah.”

Yes. I think it has always been this way. More than political talks about statehood, they are just interested in the “armed struggle,” which is a euphemism for murdering Jews. This is why in 2006 HAMAS won the election and took not two-thirds but three-quarters of seats in the assembly. And that is because none of these Balestinians, Fatah or HAMAS, have spent two minutes of their lives daydreaming about how great it’s going to be to have their own state. They don’t care about having a state. AntiJew feeling is forever coursing through their culture and that is why they prefer the “armed struggle,” this euphemism for murdering people. “Armed struggle” is their term for what civilized peoples call terrorism.

Indeed it is why, among other things, Oslo the process was an abomination from the conceptual get-go. Oslo rested upon the belief that Arafat & Co. had finally sworn off

terrorism, when that was never true because, for starters, these barbarians really don't understand what civilized people mean by terrorism. In fact, in 1974, when Arafat addressed the United Nations General Assembly, he made perfectly clear in his speech – it' in my book -- that his definition of terrorism referred to the intentions of those who commit these violent acts. And he declared that in a conflict if the cause of an individual is just, his violence is just. In Arafat's universe, Fatah's freedom-fighters never commit acts of terror, only Israeli soldiers when they try to prevent "freedom-fighters" from their "freedom-fighting." For example, at Maalot when the Arabs that year took dozens of Jewish school kids hostage and demanded the release of brother terrorists in Israeli prisons, that was "freedom-fighting." And when the IDF tried to free the children and killed the freedom-fighters – who still managed to kill two dozen of the kids -- the IDF were the terrorists.

This is what I had in mind in the first segment about the lunatic 1960s and especially its last lunatic year of 1969: the inversion of values. The making acceptable of what was unacceptable.

JPost's Khalid Abu Toameh on Tuesday also cited a Hezbollah newspaper writing up the rising hatred between Fatah and HAMAS; you know, those two fraternal organizations locked in eternal combat who in April said they had come together in holy, or was it unholy, matrimony.

Abu Toameh reported that Abbas complained in his recent visit to Qatar, to the emir, the Prince-Despot, about the coup HAMAS had been plotting against him last month uncovered by Israeli intelligence, which Fatah responded to by arresting dozens more HAMAS guys in Judea and Samaria. Abbas also told the emir about how in 2006 HAMAS had tried to assassinate him.

And this just amazes yours truly. Here we have the functional equivalent of the same Mafia leaders on display in the classic movie *The Godfather* for whom whacking people, especially business rivals, is not considered immoral. It is just the way things are.

Abbas was reported to have complained to the emir in Qatar that HAMAS has been trying to bring down the PA since its inception in 1994. In 1996, Arafat asked HAMAS to join the PA but HAMAS said that this is a democracy-style arrangement when as Muslims they don't use democracy, the *vox populi*, the voice of the people. They have Sharia law and that is administered by Muslim priests.

But don't tell this to Tsipi Livni and Yair Lapid and John Kerry and all the heads of states and their foreign ministers in Europe. They dream of a State of Balestine that will arise as the Ancient Ones desire with no Jews in it, after all the Jews have been driven from their homes in the West Bank; and it will be a peaceful, democratic, cooperative neighbor to Israel cheek-by-jowl with the state of the Jews.

This was the dream that drives Enlightened foreign policy people on display this week in the multiple complaints of European governments and the U.S. government and the UN and U.S. Ambassador Samantha Power there, all of them calling on Israel to rescind its proclaimed desire to build more homes for Jews in Judea on barren land; a new community.

What a terrible decision the Jews' government has made, they all said; so counter-productive to realizing the Two-State Solution dream.

Yeah. Sure.

* * (Musical Interlude) * *

Yeah, the decision to build a new community in Judea on ownerless, barren land just over the 1949 ceasefire line has drawn the chronic response from the world community which rests upon the conventional morality according which the Jews, unique in the world, are a people that is not entitled to keep the spoils of war. What they took in admitted self-defense in 1967 during an attempt by the sons of Ishmael to kill lots of them and steal all the wealth they had created over a century of bringing the Land of Israel back to life, to destroy their independence, the Jews have to give back and basically let the Arabians have another crack at them, at succeeding a third time in their drive to destroy the Jewish state and of course steal all the property the Jews have.

Put another way, for the Arabs' aggression in 1967, there is no penalty. They aggressed against the Jews in an attempt to steal Jewish-owned and developed real estate; the Jews defended, took away land from their aggressors but now the Jews must return what they took. And never mind that in the intervening almost half-century, the Jews have invested even more billions in infrastructure: homes, towns, factories, medical clinics, synagogues, community centers, swimming pools, roads and gas stations; an electrical grid, cell phone towers. The Jews must vacate Judea and Samaria and turn it all over to its "legitimate" owners. This is the politically correct opinion in Washington, New York, London, Paris, Geneva, Japan and etc.

And yours truly awaits the day an Israeli leader tells the world that this policy proposal, this so-called Two-State Solution, is a fantasy and is really nothing but ageless Jew-hatred in disguise.

As we were told for thousands of years by Christians and Muslims where we could and could not live, in which slum we had to live or ghetto, which nice area we could not live in, so today the nations of the world, the goyim, tell us where we can and cannot live in our own ancient Biblical homeland that we brought back to life.

This subliminal principle about Jews not being allowed to keep the spoils of war is nothing but age-old discrimination, persecution and injustice.

This invention of a "Palestinian" nation that never existed which has the better title to the land of *Gvaot* is likewise nothing but ageless anti-Jewism in disguise.

Likewise the news story today of Prime Minister Netanyahu yesterday bowing to Jordan's demand that the new bridge built to serve the non-Muslims wanting to ascend the Temple Mount must be undone. Haaretz headlined, "Amman warns that the structure would spark a diplomatic crisis," and apparently the prime minister, presumably in consultation with military and Mossad intelligence, decided to cooperate with Amman which Israel has since 1967 recognized as the authority for Islam on the Mount, never the locals, never the PLO.

MK Moshe Feiglin and others of course objected bitterly, and all self-respecting Jews must be upset at this news, but again, yours truly refrains from personal attacks on Bibi as a coward or corrupt.

Also in the news today in a related story was the arrest of scores of Islamists in Jordan, ISIS even, I think; even I get confused about all these groups, and that situation played a significant part in Bibi's decision. Namely, Jordan likely told Israel its rule is fragile, which has long been known. The country is infested, like all Arab countries, with jihadis who would only too happy to bring King Abdallah down and take over the country.

And again I say in this situation in which we Jews are denied access to our holiest site, we still can make political capital of this reality saying that at bottom the Arab desire to destroy Israel is a religious quest. Sovereignty over the Temple Mount is evidence the Temple Mount is the nuclear core of the conflict which has always been religious; which over the last generation has been covered up by the myth of Balestinian freedom-fighters, a tale worthy of *A Thousand and One Nights*, the tale of the Ancient Ones who had their entire ancient homeland stolen by the Jews.

So the prime minister's decision yesterday to accede to Jordan's request that it dismantle this bridge us saddening but, on the one hand, is further ammunition against the fools of the Left like Livni and Kerry and the Europeans who continue to believe this conflict is all about the injustice done to the Ancient Ones and how Israel has to hand over the West Bank for that will mean peace in our time.

Yeah. Right.

* * (Musical Interlude) * *

Last item: JPost reported today on an Egyptian TV interview with former foreign minister Ismail Fahmy who said relations with the U.S. remain strained because the U.S. is still insisting that the Muslim Brotherhood be included in the political process instead of its having been ruled an illegal terrorist organization last December. He said the Americans have not yet "appropriately learned the lesson of dealing with terrorism. He said the Americans had contacted the government "constantly in order to integrate political Islam into the political process."

This is an extraordinary statement. Boy, how far the U.S. has come since the days of Thomas Jefferson, the great radical philosopher of democracy, "Father of American Liberalism" who coined the expression "a wall of separation between church and state" who was simultaneously most hostile to Islam -- versus the current, Democratic, liberal occupant of the Oval Office who wants Islam involved in Egypt's government.

It is also an extraordinary statement for being further evidence of Barack Hussein's Obama's unAmerican values, which helps to explain his anti-American policies.

When oh when is this guy going to be yanked from office?

Leila tov veShabbat shalom miEretz Yisrael.