

B"H

DeProgram Program

From the Mind of Sha'i ben-Tekoa

Transcript

<http://www.deprogramprogram.com>

Date: June 19, 2014 / **כב סיון תשע"ד**

Parasha: Korach / **קרח**

Title: *It's a Mental Disorder*

Copyright: Sha'i ben-Tekoa 2014

www.deprogramprogram.com

Shalom laYebudim, Shalom laBnai Noach, Shalom laGoyim. It's the evening of the 6th day, *kaf-bes beSivan, Parashas Korach, tav-shin-ayin-dales*, the evening of the 5th day, Thursday, 19 June, 2014, webcasting from the hills of Judea and Samaria once again conquered by the IDF. How many times do we have to do it?

"Conquered" may be one way to describe the flooding of the "West Bank" as antiJews call this real estate in order not to have to call it JUdea because to do that and then support those who say this upland must be Jew-free – men like Abu Mazen, Alan Dershowitz and Catherine Ashton and others – well, that's is speak in oxymorons, I think.

"Conquered" perhaps for a third time over the last 47 years. This month in 1967; again in Pesach, 2002 and now again like ten brigades of IDF, even some reserves called up, are poking around, arresting hundreds, searching for the three teenagers like city policemen, not soldiers but SWAT teams operating in a bad neighborhood. This mixing of realms, this confusing of roles, has been part of the war against civilization waged by these Hamitic, Ishmaelitic Arabs. We say Abu Mazen and Fatah and friends are the terrorists; they say Israel's uniformed soldiers are the terrorists.

Repeating as well this week is the re-arrest of roughly half of the murderers and murderers' assistants a.k.a. terrorists who were released into Judea and Samaria three years ago to liberate Gilad Shalit. Well, they have been re-arrested, half of them, which makes a mockery of the whole process of Shalit's misery in captivity and negotiations for his release. These barbarians were arrested, some of them, thirty years ago for their antediluvian violence against us Jews; held in prison all those years and now we have to return them to captivity, like wild animals who have broken out of their cages.

Well, the top story today in Israel were some words spoken by the Holocaust-Denying criminal participant in the murder of 11 Israeli athletes in 1972 speaking in Saudi Arabia yesterday about wanting to see the three boys released because, he said, "We are all human beings," though as per textbook Arab behavior, he also accused Israel of using the kidnapping to "damage Palestinian infrastructure," to "use violence" against them, "to destroy everything and wreak havoc and destruction throughout the land."

Of course the MSM focused mostly on the request to see the boys go free, an emblem of his humanity but said almost nothing about the usual compulsion in such pronouncements to Jew-bash at the same time in classical antisemitic style, namely, by lying.

It is virtually a law of nature that when an Ishmaelite like Abu Mazen says something favorable in the direction of the Jews, he must immediately take the other tack and bash them with lies. He said Israel is not out to “damage infrastructure,” to “wreak havoc and destruction throughout the land.”

Worse, the MSM here did not report what PMW did which was the words of the official PA daily that Abbas himself rules over. This official newspaper of his moderate, centrist, peace-loving Fatah suggested that Israel was behind the kidnapping in order to create an excuse for Israel’s drive to wreak havoc and destroy.

And I say this is so typically Arabian. How reminiscent it is of the PhD dissertation by this famous intellectual Mahmoud Abbas who said the Jews who died in WWII were murdered by Zionist Jews in order to terrify the survivors into fleeing to Balestine and help them steal it from the Ancient Ones.

They see evil intent where there is none; they see ulterior, hidden motives when there are none. During the Holocaust, they say, Jews murdered Jews, and in this case Israel kidnapped three of their own to further their sinister aggression against the Balestinians.

And I say every one of these accusations is a form of psychological projection. In sending terrorists to kill themselves for the cause, it is the Arabs who sacrifice other Arabs; in accusing Israel today of wreaking havoc and destroying throughout the land, it is the Arabs who wreak havoc here with their atrocities. It is their drive to destroy Israel they project on to us and twist into Israel’s desire to destroy and wreck Balestine. They salivate over stealing our land and squawk in the media that Israel is stealing their land.

“Words, words, words,” Shakespeare put into the mouth of his Danish Prince Hamlet.

Prime Minister Netanyahu reacted to Abbas’s surprising expression of “caring about the lives of human beings” – not Israelis, not Jews – by, as usual, demanding action to match the words, such as cancelling his pact with Hamas.

By contrast, in the same capital of the Jews in Jerusalem, same government, the Palestinian Nationalist who moonlights as Israel’s Ministress of Post-Zionist Justice Tsipora Livni said Abbas’s words were “very important in our international struggle to get legitimacy for our actions.”

This too is so typical, in this case of Tsipi Livni’s slice of Israeli society, the Enlightened post-Jews. For them, what is most important, just as Livni says here, is “getting international legitimacy for our actions.”

In other words, winning the approval of the goyim, especially in the West. We must be sprinkled with the holy water of their notion of legitimacy. Not our own notion; not HaShem’s notion. For Livni and her ilk, legitimacy is rooted in what the goyim think of us. We have to appeal to their sense of justice and injustice in order to be secure in what we do.

Also typical of her and her community was her description of Hamas as “an extreme Islamist terrorist organization that attacks civilians and children, and does not wage a war for the Balestinian people and their national aspirations, but rather against the existence of the state of Israel. It is forbidden to give them legitimacy.”

There are two or three problems with this statement. One, Hamas is not an extreme Islamist organization. It is just a Muslim organization, and it is not in the least extreme. The very

word “Islamist” was the invention of non-Muslim academics, leading the pack the otherwise estimable Daniel Pipes, concocted in an effort to portray Hamas and company as extremists, not representative, outside the center of Islam which is not violent, not extremist.

This is wrong because while it is true that the overwhelming majority of Muslims are not themselves violent terrorists, the same majority does nothing to censure those who are and even applauds what they do. When the three boys were taken last week, the Arabs in Gaza handed out candies but the media photographed no counter-demonstrators protesting this behavior. What Livni is doing is using the word Islamist in a way to distort political reality.

Her second mistake was to criticize Hamas because it “does not wage war for the Palestinian people and their national aspirations but rather against the existence of the state of Israel.”

The problem here is the implication that Fatah does; that Fatah is different. Fatah wages war, she believes, for the “Palestinian people and their national aspirations,” by implication, in contrast to Hamas, when this is not true. I’d bet good money she does not know that Fatah is a chapter title in the Qur’an which tells the famous story of Muhammad deceiving his enemies by agreeing to a ten-year truce and then after two years, seeing an opening, breaking his word and attacking to win. It is a chapter dealing with the idea of *taqqiya*/dissembling.

Livni’s problem calls to mind another mental gymnastic, a cousin of psychological projection called “splitting.” The little child experiences Mommy as the all-giving mother, but then later on she who chastises and punishes, and it takes a while for the child to understand the unity of the person who can be both.

Think of the children’s story Wizard of Oz with its Wicked Witch of the West and the Good Witch of the East. Both are mommy.

Tsipi Livni cannot face the Arabs’ abysmal, archaic, violent envy of Jews. For Leftists like them, like Rabin was, there are the bad Jew-killers and the good Jew-killers. Hamas is bad. Fatah is good.

Hamas does not want a Balestinian state, she believes; Fatah does – and never mind that the Arab leadership, the mainstream leadership here has been turning down offers of statehood in Judea and Samaria since the 1930s.

* * (Musical Interlude) * *

And that was from Aharon Razel’s first CD years ago: his version of Psalm 23.

So, in a funny kind of way, post-Jewish Leftists like Tsipi Livni whose reality-testing is partially distorted by the need to see the enemy in a more favorable light, have something in common with openly, full-blown antiJews: those who side with our mortal enemies share this habit of distorting the truth and believing in lies.

For example, yesterday in the International New York Times, Rogers Waters, the infamous Pink Floyd founder and notorious antiJew who insists all entertainers, musicians like him, boycott Israel, answered a Roger Cohen column in classical, textbook, antiJew fashion. What prompted Waters was a Roger Cohen column supporting only two of the three BDS objectives: ending the “occupation” and giving full rights to Balestinians of Israel. But as for the third condition, Cohen holds onto the last shred of his Jewish identity by opposing the “right of return.” In that part of soul, mind and brain he remains capable of clear thinking; he knows that means the end of Israel altogether.

And in this stance by Cohen that legitimizes two of the BDS demands, Waters sees a “tacit admission that Israel is a racist and apartheid state that has different laws for citizens of different ethnic and religious groups.”

As always, my definition of antisemitism is “lying about Jews” and that is precisely what Waters is doing here. How can Israel possibly be a racist state when the Jews are not a race but a nation? Just as Americans or Canadians are not races but nations made up of different races, so the Jews. On top of which, there is not one law in any law book in this state addressing a person’s race.

He also accuses Israel of having different laws for citizens of different religious groups, and I answer, you bet: exactly like all of our neighbors who are officially Muslim states which fact turns, unavoidably, every non-Muslim in them into a second-class citizen.

This is so characteristic of contemporary Jews, the successors to 19th and 20th century antisemites: calling Israel a racist society at the same time they demand that Judea and Samaria become Jew-free, 100% Ancient Palestinian. That would not be a racist state at all.

This is madness. Rather, it is like a glitch in the brain which destroys clear thinking.

Waters wrote, “As for apartheid in the occupied territories, we need not repeat ad nauseum, descriptions of the wall, the checkpoints and the settlements and the roads intended only for Jewish settlers.”

This again is like a spiritual/psychological cousin of color-blindness. You know, the color-blind cannot see certain colors, and the antiJew like Waters cannot see life here *before* there was a wall and before there were separate roads for settlers. For him the world began with the barrier; the world began with the separate roads.

I will allow him his ignorance about the separate roads because they came into being starting two decades ago when Waters may not have slipped into his antiJew mental disorder. For 20 years before the first intifada, from 1967 to 1987, there were no separate roads for Jews and Arabs in Judea and Samaria. It wasn’t even an issue. In those days, the road to Hevron passed through Bethlehem as it had from time immemorial and the road to Bet El in the north and Eli and Shiloh passed through Ramallah.

But then came the first intifada and that meant the Arabs coming out along the sides of the roads to stone Jewish vehicles and throw flaming bottles of gasoline at them. This led to the expensive civil engineering project south of Jerusalem to bore through two mountains to create two tunnels and a highway to bypass Bethlehem. Passing through Bethlehem no longer was safe because drivers had to pass by the UNRWA slum of Dehaisheh, one of so-called refugee camps, and be showered with rocks, which forced the government to erect fences many meters high along the roads. For Roger Waters, these separate roads were built as an expression of racism and apartheid on the part of Jews rather than the homicidal Jew-hatred of his beloved Ancient Palestinians.

Likewise the security barrier that he refers to as the wall, which his beloved “victims of Zionism” likewise see as a manifestation of our ugly, racist nausea in the presence of these racially different people.

And there was more in this letter to the editor. Waters wrote, “The fact is the occupying Israeli Army subjugates an indigenous population using lethal force, including the murder of unarmed children, as Human Rights Watch recently reported.”

This is too a classic specimen of antisemitism: the belief in lies about Jewish perfidy that does not exist. He calls the Arabs the “indigenous” population, when we Jews are the indigenous population who speak the indigenous language. The world only knows about this country because of the Bible found in every church in Waters’s native England which contains more than one thousand

years of Jewish history in this land and not one word of any Balestinian history on the same turf. Who is the indigenous nation, Roger?

The Arabs speak Arabic which is not indigenous to the country but to the Arabian Peninsula which is hundreds of miles away. So what is he thinking when he attaches the word “indigenous” to these Arabs, these Muslims whose homeland, as Arabs and Muslims, is that far away, at the same time he denies the words of the Bible dealing with the Jewish people in this land? This is functionally as loopy as Holocaust Denial. Rogers Waters is as daft as his fellow Brit David Irving in this regard.

* * (Musical Interlude) * *

And now one more thing about this letter by Roger Waters in yesterday’s International New York Times. Like too many of post-Christian Europe’s people today, Waters says he “advocates a two-state solution based upon the 1967 borders,” which is another horrendous rape of the historical record.

What he has in mind is the 1949 cease-fire line which was no border, and in written fact, when one reads the document signed both by Israel and the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan in 1949, it says in plain English that this cease-fire line is not a border; neither side sees it as a border. It is just a cease-fire line with no future political validity, or words to that effect.

So when an antiJew like Waters uses this term, he is raping history. He is saying in 1967 there was a border that Israel crossed over, when there was no border. And in any case the IDF’s movement over it was in self-defense against Arab aggression.

Once again, like using the words “indigenous” and “racist,” antiJews like these hold historical truth in contempt and do so in order to smear Jews with criminal intent.

Waters also in his letter wrote, “I won’t lower myself to answer the slur of antisemitism yet again. But it is spurious and a smokescreen, a charge that should be reserved for extremist bigots not thinking people who believe in equal rights for all, including Jews and Balestinians.” That is why he advocates the two-state solution, he writes, and in my book I make clear in the first chapter this is lousy arithmetic. There already are and have been for decades four states in possession of a piece of Palestine with three of them Jew-free Arab states in possession of more than half. He does not know this.

Again, history is not the antiJews’ strong point. And let’s not forget Waters’s classic charge of Jews as child-killers. That is most representative of the antiJew throughout centuries, even millennia of Jew-hatred that has nothing to do with truth. For Waters, the plight of the putatively Paleolithic Balestinians is just an excuse to exercise in our time this archaic behavior.

In a similar light, it was reported yesterday that Roger Waters’s fellow Brit, with an unpronounceable Scotch Gallic name, the Rev. Paraic Reamonn has been appointed the new pastor of St. Andrew’s Scottish Church in Jerusalem, built in the 1920s under the British Mandate, the same Scottish Church we have read about lately for producing that report rejecting the idea that Scripture “offers any peoples a privileged claim for possession of a particular territory because promises of the Land of Israel were never intended to be taken literally.”

And I ask, how is a Jew who can read the Bible in the original, which I am sure he cannot, to respond to this lunacy? By my own count the first five books of his bible and mine contain 180 statements pairing the Children of Israel with this real estate. Moreover, not one sentence in the Bible suggests any of these promises is not to be taken seriously or literally, especially when the voice speaking them is the G-d of the Bible.

And I suggest this is a form of dementia on the part of antiJews like this Scotsman of the cloth. For sure he is not a dysfunctional psychotic. I worked for several years with such people and mental illness such as theirs is commonly diagnosed by their behavior which features an inability to take basic care of themselves: to wash, dress, eat, interact normally with other people.

This Scot, this man of the cloth, wrote in 2011, also used the word “indigenous” to describe Israel’s “strategy of dispossessing the indigenous population of Balesitine that began in 1948 and continues to this day – grabbing their land, demolishing homes, stealing their water, uprooting their olive trees and killing them.”

Notice, too, like Waters the ahistorical reference. This fellow thinks the struggle over this land began in 1948.

In proclaiming the mission of his new assignment, he said “The church’s greatest need is to challenge ordinary, decent Jews in Israel who, like ordinary, decent Afrikaners in South Africa of old, are blind, utterly blind, to the reality of what is done in their name and its human consequences. Such Jews are destroying their own souls.”

Yeah, this Christian divine has come here to open our eyes to the fact of our stealing their land, killing them, stealing their water, destroying their homes.

Sometimes I think I spend too much time on DPP on non-Israeli events like this mindset of world AntiJewry, but that is how I see things. The leftist elite here and abroad think the problem is the absence of a state for the allegedly primeval Balesitians when the root of all evil around here is the brain activity of people as daffy about Jews today as their forebears were for thousands of years.

* * (Musical Interlude) * *

Last thing: for those who think we Jews are the obstacle to peace around here, I say, just look at some of the international news stories this week: the massacres in Iraq, the mass beheadings there and machine-gunning of bound captives in ditches, the Sunni of the Shi’a.

And then there was the massacre in Kenya on Monday by Muslims of people watching the World Cup. The culprits were said to be Somalia’s Muslim cultists called Al-Shabaab, which is Arabic for The Youth. They murdered about 50 people. The same cult was responsible at the last World Cup games four years ago in Kampala, Uganda when they slaughtered 77 people.

So that was on Monday in Kenya, in the town of Mpeketoni. And then the next night probably the same enflamed Muslims struck another village nearby, called Poromoko and murdered 15 more people there.

That Roger Waters and the new pastor of the Scottish Church are obsessed with alleged Jewish murder that doesn’t occur and pay no mind to Muslims every day these days slaughtering one another and other people is further evidence of a classical mental order called Jew-hatred.

Leila tov miEretz Yisrael.